Cosmology

Archived study guides

These archived materials are no longer updated. This archive was exported from a saved copy of these guides.

Export date: 2026-09-05T17:13:38.281Z

Contents

Unit 1 – Introduction to Cosmology and the Universe

1.1 Definition and scope of cosmology

Cosmology seeks to unravel the mysteries of our universe, from its birth to its ultimate fate. It's a field that combines physics, astronomy, and mathematics to understand the cosmos on the grandest scales imaginable.

In this chapter, we'll explore the big questions cosmologists grapple with. We'll look at how they use observations and theories to piece together the story of our universe, from the Big Bang to the cosmic web of galaxies we see today.

Introduction to Cosmology

Goals of cosmology

- Understand the large-scale structure and dynamics of the universe
- Investigate the fundamental laws of physics that govern the universe
- Determine the composition and distribution of matter and energy in the universe (dark matter, dark energy)
- Explore the early stages of the universe and its subsequent evolution (Big Bang, inflation)
- Make predictions about the future of the universe based on current observations and theoretical models (heat death, Big Crunch)

Interdisciplinary nature of cosmology

- Combines elements from various branches of science
- Physics: Relies heavily on physical laws and theories to describe the behavior of the universe
- General relativity: Describes the gravitational interactions on cosmic scales
- Quantum mechanics: Necessary for understanding the early universe and high-energy phenomena
- Astronomy: Observational data from astronomical instruments provide crucial evidence for cosmological theories
- Telescopes: Collect data across the electromagnetic spectrum to study distant objects and phenomena (Hubble Space Telescope, James Webb Space Telescope)
- Surveys: Map the distribution of galaxies and large-scale structures in the universe (Sloan Digital Sky Survey, Dark Energy Survey)
- Mathematics: Mathematical tools and models are essential for formulating and testing cosmological theories
- Chemistry: Understanding the formation and evolution of chemical elements in the universe (nucleosynthesis)

- Computer science: Simulations and data analysis techniques are crucial for modeling complex cosmological processes

Key questions in cosmology

- Origin of the universe:
- How did the universe begin?
- What were the initial conditions that gave rise to the universe we observe today?
- What is the nature of the Big Bang, and what happened in the earliest moments of the universe? (Planck epoch, grand unification)
- Evolution of the universe:
- How has the universe changed over time?
- What physical processes have shaped the large-scale structure of the universe? (cosmic web, galaxy clusters)
- How did galaxies, stars, and planets form and evolve?
- What is the role of dark matter and dark energy in the evolution of the universe?
- Fate of the universe:
- What is the ultimate destiny of the universe?
- Will the universe continue to expand forever, or will it eventually collapse back on itself? (heat death, Big Crunch)
- How will the properties of the universe change in the distant future?
- What is the nature of dark energy, and how does it influence the long-term evolution of the universe?

Observational vs theoretical cosmology

- Observational cosmology:
- Focuses on gathering and analyzing observational data about the universe
- Uses telescopes and other instruments to study the properties and distribution of galaxies, clusters, and other cosmic structures
- Measures the cosmic microwave background radiation, which provides information about the early universe
- Studies the expansion of the universe through observations of distant supernovae and other cosmological probes (Type Ia supernovae, baryon acoustic oscillations)
- Theoretical cosmology:
- Develops mathematical models and theories to describe and explain the observed properties of the universe
- Uses the laws of physics to make predictions about the behavior of the universe on large scales
- Investigates the fundamental forces and particles that govern the universe (gravity, dark matter particles)

- Explores concepts such as inflation, dark matter, and dark energy to account for observational evidence
- Simulates the formation and evolution of cosmic structures using computer models (N-body simulations, hydrodynamical simulations)

1.2 Observable universe and cosmic horizons

The observable universe is the portion of space we can potentially see from Earth, limited by light's speed and the universe's age. It's a sphere around us, stretching about 46.5 billion light-years in every direction, but it's not the whole universe.

Cosmic horizons are boundaries that limit what we can observe or interact with in the universe. They're crucial for understanding the universe's structure and how events can influence each other, shaping our view of space and time.

The Observable Universe

Observable universe and its limits

- Observable universe is portion of entire universe potentially observable from Earth limited by speed of light and age of universe
- Represents maximum distance from which light has had time to reach us since Big Bang approximately 46.5 billion light-years in every direction
- Observable universe is sphere centered on observer (Earth)
- Observable universe does not represent entire universe which may be much larger or even infinite in size
- Observable universe changes over time as light from more distant regions has time to reach us
- Due to expansion of universe some regions once observable may no longer be so as they now lie beyond cosmic horizon (edge of observable universe)

Significance of cosmic horizons

- Cosmic horizons are boundaries limiting our ability to observe or interact with certain regions of universe
- Arise due to finite speed of light and expansion of universe
- Existence of cosmic horizons has important implications for understanding universe
- Limit amount of information gatherable about universe as a whole
- Define observable universe and its contents (stars, galaxies, clusters)
- Influence causal structure of universe determining which events can influence each other (cosmic microwave background)

Types and Implications of Cosmic Horizons

Types of cosmic horizons

- Particle horizon

• Maximum distance from which light could have reached us since beginning of universe

• Represents boundary between observable and unobservable regions of universe

• As time passes particle horizon expands encompassing more of universe

• Event horizon

• Boundary beyond which events cannot currently affect an observer due to expansion of universe

• Events beyond event horizon not accessible to us even in principle unless expansion of universe slows down or reverses

• In accelerating universe event horizon converges to fixed proper distance limiting region of universe ultimately observable (dark energy)

Implications for universe structure

• Cosmic horizons limit ability to determine true size and global structure of universe

• Cannot directly observe or interact with regions beyond cosmic horizons

• Universe may be much larger than observable universe or even infinite in extent

• Unobservable regions beyond cosmic horizons may have different properties or structures compared to observable universe

• Could include variations in distribution of matter, energy, or fundamental constants of nature

• Existence of cosmic horizons has implications for cosmological theories and models

• Models must account for limitations imposed by cosmic horizons and potential existence of unobservable regions

• Observable universe may not be representative of universe as a whole challenging cosmological principle which assumes homogeneity and isotropy on large scales (clusters of galaxies, cosmic web)

1.3 Fundamental principles and assumptions in cosmology

Fundamental Principles and Assumptions in Cosmology

Cosmology rests on a small number of powerful assumptions that make it possible to model the entire universe with tractable mathematics. These principles constrain what kinds of universes are physically reasonable and guide how we interpret observations from telescopes and satellites.

Cosmological Principle and Assumptions

The cosmological principle states that on sufficiently large scales, the universe is homogeneous and isotropic.

• Homogeneity means the universe looks the same from every location. The distribution of matter and energy is uniform once you zoom out far enough, past individual galaxies and even galaxy clusters, to scales of hundreds of megaparsecs.

• Isotropy means the universe looks the same in every direction. There's no preferred direction: no cosmic "up" or "down," no special axis. An observer facing any direction sees statistically the same large-scale structure.

These two properties together are a strong claim. On small scales, the universe is obviously lumpy: stars, galaxies, and voids are not evenly distributed. The cosmological principle only applies at very large scales, where those local variations average out.

Why does this matter? Without it, the math becomes unmanageable. The cosmological principle lets cosmologists use the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) metric, a simplified description of spacetime geometry that assumes uniform curvature everywhere. This metric is the backbone of nearly every standard cosmological model.

Role of the Copernican Principle

The Copernican principle states that Earth does not occupy a special or privileged position in the universe. It's named after Nicolaus Copernicus, whose heliocentric model displaced Earth from the center of the solar system, but the modern version goes much further: no location in the universe is fundamentally different from any other.

This principle serves as the philosophical foundation for the cosmological principle. Here's the connection: if we observe the universe to be isotropic from Earth, and Earth isn't special, then the universe should appear isotropic from every location. Homogeneity plus isotropy from one point implies isotropy everywhere.

The Copernican principle also carries a deeper implication: the laws of physics, including constants like the gravitational constant and the speed of light, are the same everywhere. This assumption guided the development of general relativity as a universal theory of gravity and supported the acceptance of the expanding universe model after Hubble's observations in the late 1920s.

Concept of the Expanding Universe

The universe is not static. Galaxies are moving away from each other, and the farther apart they are, the faster they recede. This relationship is captured by Hubble's law:

$$v = H_0 d$$

where v is the recession velocity of a galaxy, H_0 is the Hubble constant (currently measured at roughly 67–73 km/s/Mpc, depending on the method), and d is the galaxy's distance from the observer.

A few things to understand clearly about expansion:

- It's not that galaxies are flying through space away from a central point. Space itself is expanding, carrying galaxies along with it.
- Light traveling through expanding space gets stretched to longer wavelengths. This is cosmic redshift, and while it's analogous to the Doppler effect, it's actually caused by the expansion of space rather than the motion of a source through space.
- Running the expansion backward in time implies the universe was once extremely hot and dense, converging toward a singular state. This is the basis of the Big Bang model.

The expansion has major consequences for cosmology:

- The universe has a finite age, currently estimated at approximately 13.8 billion years.
- There is an observable universe with a finite horizon, beyond which light has not had time to reach us.
- Observations of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation and galaxy redshift surveys (such as the Sloan Digital Sky Survey) provide strong, independent lines of evidence for expansion.

Assumptions of the Standard Cosmological Model

The Lambda Cold Dark Matter (ΛCDM) model is the current standard model of cosmology. It combines the principles above with general relativity and a specific recipe for the universe's contents.

Its core assumptions are:

- The universe is homogeneous and isotropic on large scales (the cosmological principle).
- General relativity accurately describes gravity on cosmological scales, meaning gravity arises from the curvature of spacetime.
- The universe contains three main components:
- Ordinary (baryonic) matter: protons, neutrons, electrons, roughly 5% of the total energy budget.
- Cold dark matter: slow-moving, non-baryonic particles that interact gravitationally but not electromagnetically, roughly 27%.
- Dark energy: represented by the cosmological constant Λ, driving the accelerating expansion, roughly 68%.

The ΛCDM model successfully explains a wide range of observations:

- The detailed pattern of temperature fluctuations in the CMB, as measured by the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) and the Planck satellite.
- The large-scale structure of the universe, including galaxy clusters, filaments, and the cosmic web.
- The accelerating expansion discovered through observations of Type Ia supernovae.
- Baryon acoustic oscillations and gravitational lensing measurements, which independently confirm the model's predictions.

Despite this success, significant open questions remain:

- The nature of dark matter is unknown. Leading candidates include weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs) and axions, but none have been directly detected.
- The nature of dark energy is equally mysterious. The cosmological constant is the simplest explanation, but alternatives like evolving scalar fields have not been ruled out.
- The model does not explain the initial conditions of the universe. Cosmic inflation is the leading framework for how the universe reached its early uniform state, but inflation itself is not yet part of the confirmed standard model.
- At the earliest moments (the Planck epoch, before roughly 10^{-43} seconds), general relativity breaks down and a quantum theory of gravity would be needed. No complete theory exists yet.

Even with these gaps, ΛCDM remains the most widely accepted cosmological model because no alternative matches its breadth of observational support.

1.4 Overview of the cosmic timeline

The Cosmic Timeline

The cosmic timeline maps the entire history of the universe, from the Big Bang roughly 13.8 billion years ago to the present day. Understanding this timeline is central to cosmology because it connects the physics of the very early universe to the large-scale structures we observe today. Each epoch represents a distinct phase where different physical processes dominated, and together they explain how a hot, dense initial state evolved into the universe of galaxies, stars, and planets we inhabit.

Epochs of universal history

The universe's history is divided into a sequence of epochs, each defined by the physical conditions and dominant processes at that time. The timescales in the earliest epochs are extraordinarily short, but the changes happening during them were dramatic.

- Planck Epoch (0 to 10^{-43} seconds)
-

The very earliest moment after the Big Bang. Temperatures and densities were so extreme that our current physics breaks down. A complete theory would require a quantum theory of gravity, which doesn't yet exist.

-

Grand Unification Epoch (10^{-43} to 10^{-36} seconds)

-

Gravity had separated out as a distinct force, but the strong nuclear, weak nuclear, and electromagnetic forces were still unified into a single force. As the universe cooled, this unified force began to break apart.

-

Inflationary Epoch (10^{-36} to 10^{-32} seconds)

-

The universe underwent an incredibly rapid exponential expansion, increasing in size by a factor of at least 10^{26}. This brief burst of inflation is what made the observable universe so smooth and uniform on large scales.

-

Electroweak Epoch (10^{-32} to 10^{-12} seconds)

-

The strong force had already separated, but the electromagnetic and weak nuclear forces remained unified as the electroweak force. By the end of this epoch, they split apart as well.

-

Quark Epoch (10^{-12} to 10^{-6} seconds)

-

All four fundamental forces were now distinct. The universe was filled with a hot, dense soup of quarks, gluons, and other particles called a quark-gluon plasma. Temperatures were still too high for quarks to bind together.

-

Hadron Epoch (10^{-6} seconds to 1 second)

-

The universe cooled enough for quarks to combine into hadrons, composite particles like protons and neutrons. Matter and antimatter hadrons annihilated each other, leaving a slight excess of matter.

-

Lepton Epoch (1 second to 10 seconds)

-

Leptons (electrons, positrons, and neutrinos) dominated the energy content of the universe. Most electron-positron pairs annihilated, and neutrinos decoupled from matter, streaming freely through space.

-

Photon Epoch (10 seconds to ~380,000 years)

-

This long epoch covers the period when the universe was an opaque, hot plasma of atomic nuclei, electrons, and photons. Photons constantly scattered off free electrons, so light couldn't travel freely. Big Bang nucleosynthesis occurred in the first few minutes of this epoch (see below).

-

Matter-Radiation Equality (~50,000 years)

-

A transitional moment when the energy density of matter equaled that of radiation. After this point, matter's gravitational influence began to dominate, setting the stage for structure formation.

-

Recombination and Decoupling (~380,000 years)

-

The universe cooled to about 3,000 K, allowing electrons to combine with nuclei and form neutral atoms for the first time. With free electrons gone, photons could finally travel unimpeded. The light released at this moment is what we detect today as the cosmic microwave background (CMB).

-

Dark Ages (~380,000 years to ~400 million years)

-

No stars or luminous objects existed yet. The universe was filled with neutral hydrogen and helium gas, slowly clumping under gravity. This period is called "dark" because there were no sources of visible light.

-

Reionization (beginning ~400 million years)

•

The first stars and galaxies ignited, producing enough ultraviolet radiation to ionize the surrounding neutral hydrogen. This process, called reionization, gradually made the universe transparent to ultraviolet light again.

•

Galaxy Formation and Evolution (~400 million years onward)

•

Galaxies assembled through gravitational collapse of overdense regions, then continued growing through mergers with other galaxies and accretion of gas. This process is ongoing.

•

Present Day (~13.8 billion years)

- The universe continues to expand, and that expansion is accelerating due to dark energy, which now dominates the universe's total energy budget.

Key events in cosmic evolution

Three events deserve special attention because they left behind observable evidence that we can test against predictions.

Inflation

During the Inflationary Epoch, the universe expanded exponentially in a tiny fraction of a second. This solves several problems that the standard Big Bang model alone can't explain:

1. Horizon problem: Regions of the CMB that are too far apart to have ever been in contact have nearly identical temperatures. Inflation explains this by proposing they were in contact before being stretched apart.
2. Flatness problem: The universe's geometry is measured to be very close to flat. Without inflation, this would require extraordinarily fine-tuned initial conditions.
3. Monopole problem: Certain high-energy theories predict exotic particles (like magnetic monopoles) that we don't observe. Inflation diluted them to undetectable levels.

Tiny quantum fluctuations during inflation were stretched to cosmic scales, becoming the density variations that eventually grew into galaxies and galaxy clusters.

Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN)

Nucleosynthesis took place during roughly the first 3 minutes after the Big Bang (overlapping the Lepton Epoch and the start of the Photon Epoch), when temperatures were between about 10^9 and 10^{10} K. Protons and neutrons fused to form the lightest elements:

- Deuterium (^2H)
- Helium-3 (^3He)
- Helium-4 (^4He), which accounts for about 25% of ordinary matter by mass
- Trace amounts of Lithium-7 (^7Li)

Heavier elements were not produced here. They formed much later, inside stars. The predicted abundances from BBN match observations remarkably well, providing strong support for the Big Bang model.

Galaxy Formation

Starting around 400 million years after the Big Bang, slight overdensities in the matter distribution (seeded by inflation) collapsed under gravity to form the first stars and protogalaxies. Galaxies then grew through:

1. Mergers with other galaxies
2. Accretion of surrounding gas and smaller satellite galaxies

This process continues today. The Milky Way, for example, is currently absorbing several smaller dwarf galaxies.

Redshift and universal expansion

As the universe expands, the space between galaxies stretches. This stretching also affects light traveling through that space, increasing its wavelength. The result is called cosmological redshift: light from distant objects is shifted toward the red (longer wavelength) end of the spectrum.

Redshift is quantified as:

$$z = \frac{\lambda_{\text{observed}} - \lambda_{\text{emitted}}}{\lambda_{\text{emitted}}}$$

A galaxy with $z = 1$ has had its light wavelength doubled since emission. Higher redshift means the light has been traveling longer and the object is farther away.

Hubble's Law describes the relationship between a galaxy's distance and how fast it appears to recede:

$$v = H_0 \times d$$

where v is the galaxy's recessional velocity, H_0 is the Hubble constant (currently measured at roughly 67–73 km/s/Mpc, depending on the method), and d is the distance to the galaxy.

This relationship means more distant galaxies recede faster, which is exactly what you'd expect in a uniformly expanding universe. Redshift measurements are one of the primary tools cosmologists use to estimate distances and map the expansion history of the universe.

Evidence for the cosmic timeline

Four major lines of evidence support the timeline described above.

Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) Radiation

The CMB is relic light from the recombination epoch, released about 380,000 years after the Big Bang. It has a nearly perfect blackbody spectrum at a temperature of about 2.725 K. The CMB is remarkably uniform across the sky, but it contains tiny temperature fluctuations (on the order of 1 part in 100,000). These fluctuations correspond to the density variations that eventually grew into the large-scale structure of the universe. Missions like COBE, WMAP, and Planck have mapped these fluctuations in increasing detail.

Big Bang Nucleosynthesis Predictions

BBN theory predicts specific relative abundances of light elements. For example, it predicts the universe should be roughly 75% hydrogen and 25% helium-4 by mass, with trace amounts of deuterium and lithium-7. Observations of primordial gas clouds and old, metal-poor stars confirm these ratios, providing independent support for the hot, dense early universe described by the Big Bang model.

Hubble's Law and the Expansion of the Universe

Systematic redshift surveys show that galaxies are receding from us in all directions, with more distant galaxies moving faster. This pattern is consistent with a universe that has been expanding from an initially compact state. Observations of Type Ia supernovae in the late 1990s further revealed that this expansion is accelerating, pointing to the influence of dark energy.

Age of the Oldest Stars and Galaxies

If the cosmic timeline is correct, nothing in the universe should be older than about 13.8 billion years. The oldest known globular clusters contain stars aged around 12–13 billion years, consistent with this prediction. Similarly, the most distant galaxies observed (at high redshift) have properties consistent with forming during the reionization epoch, a few hundred million years after the Big Bang. These age measurements serve as an independent cross-check on the timeline.

Unit 2 – Historical Development of Cosmological Theories

2.1 Ancient and medieval cosmologies

Ancient Greek cosmologies laid the foundation for early scientific understanding of the universe. These models placed Earth at the center, surrounded by celestial spheres carrying heavenly bodies. The geocentric view dominated Western thought for centuries, influencing religious and philosophical ideas.

Aristotle and Ptolemy were key figures in developing and refining the geocentric model. Their work shaped cosmological thinking for over a millennium, blending mathematical precision with philosophical concepts of natural motion and perfect circular movement in the heavens.

Ancient Greek Cosmologies

Features of ancient Greek cosmologies

- Geocentric model places Earth at the center of the universe with heavenly bodies revolving around it
- Celestial spheres are concentric spheres surrounding Earth, each carrying a celestial body (Moon, Sun, planets, stars) and rotating at different speeds to explain the apparent motion of celestial objects
- Two-sphere model consists of the celestial sphere containing stars rotating daily around Earth and the terrestrial sphere containing Earth at the center
- Circular and uniform motion assumes celestial bodies move in perfect circles at constant speeds, with epicycles and deferents introduced to explain observed irregularities

Aristotle and Ptolemy's geocentric contributions

- Aristotle (384-322 BCE) developed the concept of celestial spheres, proposed a finite, spherical universe with Earth at its center, introduced the idea of "natural motion" for terrestrial and celestial objects, and believed in the immutability of the heavens and the perfection of circular motion
- Ptolemy (c. 100-170 CE) refined the geocentric model in his work "Almagest", introduced epicycles and deferents to explain the apparent retrograde motion of planets, developed a mathematical model to predict the positions of celestial bodies, and his model remained the dominant cosmological framework for over 1,400 years

Religious Influences and Comparative Cosmologies

Religious influence on medieval cosmology

- Christian cosmology incorporated Aristotelian and Ptolemaic ideas, placing Earth at the center of the universe with heaven above and hell below, viewing the universe as created by God who set celestial bodies in motion, and considering the celestial realm perfect and immutable
- Islamic cosmology was influenced by Greek and Ptolemaic ideas as well as Islamic teachings, with Earth at the center surrounded by celestial spheres, God as the creator and maintainer of the universe, and an emphasis on studying astronomy and mathematics to understand God's creation

- Geocentric model aligned with religious scriptures and interpretations, with celestial spheres and the hierarchy of the universe mirroring religious hierarchies

Cosmologies across ancient civilizations

- Babylonian cosmology divided the universe into heavens, earth, and underworld, with a flat, circular Earth floating on an ocean and a domed sky above, and celestial bodies moving across the sky influencing earthly events

- Egyptian cosmology featured a universe emerging from a primordial ocean (Nun), a flat Earth with the sky goddess (Nut) arched above and the underworld below, and the Sun god (Ra) traveling across the sky during the day and through the underworld at night

- Chinese cosmology composed of Heaven (yang) and Earth (yin), with a circular Heaven revolving around a square, stationary Earth, and five elements (wood, fire, earth, metal, water) governing the cosmos and influencing earthly phenomena

- Similarities include Earth being central to the cosmological structure, celestial bodies influencing earthly events and human lives, and divine or supernatural forces governing the universe

- Differences lie in specific deities and their roles in creation and maintenance, shape and structure of Earth and the celestial realm, and emphasis on mathematical models and predictive power (more prominent in Greek and Islamic cosmologies)

2.2 Copernican revolution and the heliocentric model

The Copernican Revolution shook up our view of the cosmos. It put the Sun at the center, not Earth, and explained planet movements more simply. This shift challenged old ideas and sparked conflict with religious authorities.

Galileo and Kepler built on Copernicus' work with key observations and laws. Their contributions strengthened the heliocentric model and set the stage for future scientific advances, reshaping our understanding of the universe.

The Copernican Revolution

Features of heliocentric model

- Proposed the Sun, not the Earth, at the center of the universe

- Planets, including Earth, orbit around the Sun in circular paths (Mercury, Venus, Mars, Jupiter, Saturn)

- Moon orbits around the Earth

- Explained retrograde motion of planets more simply than geocentric model

- Retrograde motion: apparent backward motion of planets in the sky (Mars, Jupiter)

- Geocentric model required complex epicycles and deferents to explain

- Heliocentric model: retrograde motion a natural consequence of Earth and other planets orbiting Sun at different speeds

- More mathematically elegant and simplified calculations compared to Ptolemaic system

- Still believed in circular orbits and required some epicycles to match observations

Contributions of Galileo and Kepler

• Galileo Galilei:

• Used telescopic observations to support heliocentric model

• Discovered four moons orbiting Jupiter (Io, Europa, Ganymede, Callisto), challenging idea that all celestial bodies must orbit Earth

• Observed phases of Venus, consistent with planet orbiting Sun

• Faced opposition from Catholic Church for supporting heliocentric model

• Johannes Kepler:

• Introduced concept of elliptical orbits, replacing Copernicus' circular orbits

• Developed three laws of planetary motion based on observations of Mars:

1. Law of Ellipses: Planets move in elliptical orbits with Sun at one focus

2. Law of Equal Areas: Line segment joining planet and Sun sweeps out equal areas during equal time intervals

3. Law of Periods: Square of orbital period of planet proportional to cube of semi-major axis of its orbit

• Kepler's laws provided more accurate description of planetary motion and further supported heliocentric model

Implications of Copernican revolution

• Challenged prevailing Aristotelian and Ptolemaic worldviews that placed Earth at center of universe

• Conflicted with literal interpretation of some biblical passages (Joshua 10:12-13, describing Sun standing still)

• Catholic Church initially resisted heliocentric model, seeing it as threat to authority and established theological understanding of universe

• In 1616, Church banned Copernicus' book "De revolutionibus" and declared heliocentric theory heretical

• Galileo faced Inquisition and forced to recant support for heliocentric model in 1633

• Contributed to shift in relationship between science and religion, as scientific observations began challenging traditional religious beliefs

• Acceptance of heliocentric model required reinterpretation of biblical passages and reassessment of humanity's place in universe

Impact on scientific understanding

• Marked significant paradigm shift in history of science, challenging long-held geocentric model

• Paved way for further advancements in astronomy and physics

• Isaac Newton's laws of motion and universal gravitation

• Development of modern celestial mechanics

- Provided more accurate and predictive framework for understanding planetary motion and celestial phenomena
- Demonstrated power of scientific observation, mathematical modeling, and empirical evidence in overturning established beliefs
- Inspired further scientific inquiry and contributed to Scientific Revolution of 16th and 17th centuries
- Had far-reaching consequences beyond astronomy, influencing philosophy, theology, and general perception of humanity's place in universe

2.3 Newtonian physics and gravitation

Newtonian physics laid the groundwork for understanding motion and gravity. It introduced laws that explain how objects move and interact, from apples falling to planets orbiting the sun. These principles gave cosmologists their first mathematical toolkit for describing how the universe works at large scales.

That said, Newtonian physics has real limits. It falls short in explaining phenomena like Mercury's orbital drift or the behavior of light near massive objects. Recognizing those limits is what eventually paved the way for Einstein's theories and our modern understanding of space and time.

Newtonian Physics and Gravitation

Key concepts of Newtonian physics

Newton published his three laws of motion and his law of universal gravitation in the Principia (1687). Together, they describe how forces cause objects to move and how gravity acts between any two masses in the universe.

- Newton's first law (law of inertia): An object at rest stays at rest, and an object in motion keeps moving at a constant velocity, unless an external net force acts on it. This is why a hockey puck slides across ice until friction slows it down, and why planets keep orbiting rather than stopping in space.
- Newton's second law: Force equals mass times acceleration, expressed as $F = ma$. Here F is the net force on an object, m is its mass, and a is its acceleration. A larger force produces a larger acceleration, and a more massive object requires more force to accelerate at the same rate.
- Newton's third law: For every action, there is an equal and opposite reaction. Forces always come in pairs. A rocket pushes exhaust gases downward, and those gases push the rocket upward with equal force.
- Law of universal gravitation: Every object with mass attracts every other object with mass. The force is given by $F = G\frac{m_1 m_2}{r^2}$, where G is the gravitational constant (6.674×10^{-11} N m^2/kg^2), m_1 and m_2 are the two masses, and r is the distance between their centers. Notice that the force drops off with the square of the distance: double the distance and the gravitational pull falls to one-quarter.

Mathematical framework for celestial motion

Newton showed that Kepler's empirical laws of planetary motion could be derived mathematically from his laws of motion and gravitation. This was a huge deal because it unified terrestrial and celestial physics under one framework.

- Kepler's three laws describe planetary orbits: (1) planets travel in ellipses with the Sun at one focus, (2) a line from the Sun to a planet sweeps out equal areas in equal times, and (3) the square of a planet's orbital period is proportional to the cube of its semi-major axis. Newton proved all three follow directly from the inverse-square law of gravity.

• Orbital mechanics treats celestial motion as a balance between gravitational pull and inertia. A planet's inertia wants to carry it in a straight line, while gravity curves its path into an ellipse. Near the Sun, a planet speeds up as gravity does more work; farther away, it slows down.

• Tides result from the gravitational pull of the Moon and Sun on Earth's oceans. The Moon has a stronger tidal effect than the Sun despite being far less massive, because tidal force depends on how close the attracting body is (it falls off with the cube of the distance, not the square).

• Orbit types depend on an object's velocity relative to the Sun's gravitational pull: 1. Elliptical orbits are closed paths. Most planets and short-period comets follow these. 2. Parabolic orbits are open paths where an object has exactly escape velocity. Some long-period comets approximate this. 3. Hyperbolic orbits are open paths where an object exceeds escape velocity and passes through the solar system only once, like the interstellar object 'Oumuamua.

Implications for universal understanding

Newton's framework carried deep philosophical implications about the nature of space, time, and predictability.

• Absolute space: Newton assumed space is a fixed, unchanging backdrop. Motion happens within this background, and it provides a universal reference frame, like an invisible 3D coordinate grid that exists whether or not anything occupies it.

• Absolute time: Time flows at the same rate everywhere, for everyone, regardless of motion or location. Two perfectly synchronized clocks would always agree, no matter where you placed them. (Einstein later overturned this assumption.)

• Deterministic universe: If you knew the exact position and velocity of every particle in the universe at one moment, Newton's laws would let you predict the entire future. The mathematician Laplace captured this idea with his thought experiment known as Laplace's demon, an intellect that could calculate everything that would ever happen.

• Clockwork universe: This analogy compares the cosmos to a perfectly engineered machine. Once set in motion, it runs according to fixed, predictable laws, like the gears of a clock. The regular orbits of the solar system seemed to confirm this picture.

Limitations in astronomical explanations

Despite its power, Newtonian gravity fails in several important situations, and recognizing these failures drove cosmology forward.

• Precession of Mercury's orbit: Mercury's closest approach to the Sun (its perihelion) shifts slightly with each orbit. Newtonian gravity predicts most of this shift due to the gravitational tugs of other planets, but it's off by about 43 arcseconds per century. Einstein's general relativity accounts for this discrepancy exactly, and it was one of the theory's first major confirmations.

• High-speed and high-gravity regimes: Newtonian physics breaks down when objects move at speeds approaching the speed of light or when gravitational fields become extremely strong. Near black holes or neutron stars, you need general relativity to get accurate predictions.

• Dark matter and dark energy are concepts that go beyond anything Newton could have anticipated: 1. Dark matter was proposed to explain why galaxies rotate faster than Newtonian gravity predicts based on visible mass alone. Galaxy rotation curves show that outer stars orbit too quickly unless there's unseen mass holding them in. 2. Dark energy was hypothesized to explain why the expansion of the universe is accelerating rather than slowing down, as Newtonian gravity would suggest. It accounts for roughly 68% of the total energy content of the universe.

2.4 Einstein's theory of relativity and its cosmological implications

Relativity and Its Cosmological Implications

Relativity reshaped how we understand space, time, and gravity. Einstein's theories showed that time slows near massive objects and that gravity isn't a force pulling on things but a warping of the spacetime fabric itself. These ideas underpin much of modern cosmology, from the expanding universe and the Big Bang to dark energy and gravitational waves.

Key Concepts of Relativity Theory

Special Relativity deals with objects moving at constant velocities (inertial reference frames). It rests on two postulates:

1. The laws of physics are the same in all inertial reference frames. This extends Galilean relativity to include electromagnetism and optics, not just mechanics.

2. The speed of light in a vacuum is constant for all observers, regardless of their motion or the motion of the source. The Michelson-Morley experiment (1887) provided early evidence for this by failing to detect any change in light's speed due to Earth's motion.

These two simple postulates lead to surprising consequences:

- Time dilation: A moving clock ticks slower relative to a stationary observer. This isn't just theoretical. Muons created by cosmic rays in the upper atmosphere should decay before reaching the ground, yet we detect them at Earth's surface because their internal "clock" runs slow at near-light speeds.

- Length contraction: Objects physically shorten along their direction of motion as they approach the speed of light. Relativistic particle accelerators must account for this when guiding high-speed particles.

- Relativity of simultaneity: Two events that happen at the same time in one reference frame may occur at different times in another. Einstein's classic thought experiment involves lightning strikes at both ends of a moving train: a trackside observer sees them as simultaneous, but a passenger on the train does not.

Mass-Energy Equivalence is captured by the famous equation $E = mc^2$. Because c (the speed of light) is enormous, even a tiny amount of mass corresponds to a huge amount of energy. This relationship is what powers nuclear fission (splitting heavy nuclei) and nuclear fusion (combining light nuclei, as in stars). Mass and energy are not separate quantities; they're two expressions of the same thing.

General Relativity extends special relativity to include gravity and accelerated motion. Its foundation is the equivalence principle: there is no experiment you could perform inside a sealed box that would distinguish between gravitational acceleration and the acceleration of the box itself. Einstein's famous elevator thought experiment illustrates this. If you're in an elevator accelerating upward in deep space, you'd feel weight on your feet just as if you were standing on Earth's surface.

From this principle, Einstein concluded that gravity is not a force transmitted between objects. Instead, mass and energy curve the geometry of spacetime, and objects move along the straightest possible paths (called geodesics) through that curved geometry.

Gravity as Spacetime Curvature

Spacetime is a four-dimensional continuum that fuses three spatial dimensions with one time dimension. In Newtonian physics, space and time are separate and absolute. In relativity, they're woven together, and massive objects distort this fabric.

The core idea of general relativity is that mass and energy tell spacetime how to curve, and curved spacetime tells objects how to move. A planet orbiting a star isn't being "pulled" by a gravitational force. It's following the straightest available path (a geodesic) through spacetime that the star's mass has curved. The orbit looks curved in three-dimensional space, but in four-dimensional spacetime, it's the natural trajectory.

This framework produces several observable effects:

- Gravitational time dilation: Clocks tick slower in stronger gravitational fields. GPS satellites orbit where gravity is weaker than at Earth's surface, so their onboard clocks run slightly faster. Without relativistic corrections (about 38 microseconds per day), GPS positions would drift by roughly 10 km daily.
- Gravitational lensing: Light follows geodesics too, so its path bends when passing near a massive object. Astronomers observe this when a foreground galaxy cluster bends and magnifies the light of more distant galaxies behind it, creating arcs and multiple images.
- Orbital precession: In Newtonian gravity, a planet's elliptical orbit stays fixed in space. In general relativity, the orientation of the ellipse slowly rotates. Mercury's orbit precesses by an extra 43 arcseconds per century beyond what Newtonian physics predicts, and general relativity accounts for this precisely.

Cosmological Implications of Relativity

When Einstein applied general relativity to the universe as a whole, his field equations naturally predicted a universe that was either expanding or contracting. At the time (1917), the prevailing assumption was that the universe is static and eternal. To force a static solution, Einstein introduced the cosmological constant (Λ), a term representing a kind of repulsive energy woven into spacetime itself. If Λ was tuned to exactly balance the gravitational attraction of all the matter in the universe, the result was a static, finite cosmos.

This balance turned out to be unstable, and observations soon overturned it. In 1929, Edwin Hubble showed that distant galaxies are receding from us, with their recession speed proportional to their distance (Hubble's law). The universe was expanding. Einstein reportedly abandoned the cosmological constant, calling it his "greatest blunder."

The story didn't end there. In 1998, observations of distant Type Ia supernovae revealed that the expansion of the universe is accelerating, not slowing down as gravity alone would predict. The best explanation is a non-zero cosmological constant, now associated with dark energy, a mysterious component making up roughly 68% of the total energy content of the universe. Einstein's "blunder" turned out to be real, just not for the reason he originally introduced it.

Observational Evidence for Relativity

General relativity makes specific, testable predictions. Several landmark observations have confirmed it:

Bending of starlight. General relativity predicts that light passing near a massive object will be deflected by a precise amount (twice the deflection Newtonian gravity would predict). During the total solar eclipse of 1919, Arthur Eddington's expedition measured the positions of stars near the Sun and found deflections matching Einstein's prediction. This result made Einstein world-famous and established general relativity as the leading theory of gravity.

Gravitational redshift. Light climbing out of a gravitational field loses energy and shifts toward longer (redder) wavelengths. This has been confirmed in multiple ways: through spectral observations of the dense white dwarf Sirius B, and in the laboratory by the Pound-Rebka experiment (1959), which measured the tiny frequency shift of gamma rays traveling up a 22.5-meter tower at Harvard. Both results support gravitational time dilation and the equivalence principle.

Precession of Mercury's orbit. Mercury's perihelion (closest approach to the Sun) shifts by about 574 arcseconds per century. Newtonian gravity, accounting for the gravitational pull of other planets, explains all but 43 arcseconds of this. General relativity predicts those remaining 43 arcseconds exactly. This was one of the first confirmations of the theory, and it resolved an anomaly that had puzzled astronomers for decades.

Unit 3 – The Big Bang Theory and Supporting Evidence

3.1 Origins and development of the Big Bang theory

Historical Development and Key Principles of the Big Bang Theory

The Big Bang theory explains how the universe began from an extremely hot, dense state roughly 13.8 billion years ago and has been expanding ever since. It's the foundational framework of modern cosmology, supported by multiple independent lines of evidence built up over nearly a century of observation and theory.

Origins of the Big Bang Theory

The story starts with Edwin Hubble's observations in the late 1920s. Hubble found that distant galaxies are moving away from us, and that more distant galaxies recede faster. This relationship, now called Hubble's Law, was the first strong observational evidence that the universe is expanding.

But the theoretical groundwork was already being laid. In the 1920s, Alexander Friedmann and Georges Lemaître independently worked out solutions to Einstein's general relativity equations showing that the universe could be expanding. Einstein himself had resisted this idea, even adding a "cosmological constant" to keep his model static, something he later called a major blunder.

Lemaître took the expansion idea to its logical conclusion. In 1931, he proposed the "primeval atom" hypothesis: if the universe is expanding now, then running the clock backward means everything was once compressed into a single, incredibly dense point. This was the conceptual seed of the Big Bang theory.

In the 1940s, George Gamow and his collaborators Ralph Alpher and Robert Herman built on Lemaître's idea. They proposed that the early universe was extraordinarily hot and dense, and they made a bold prediction: if the universe started in such a state, there should be a faint glow of residual radiation still permeating all of space. This predicted afterglow is the cosmic microwave background radiation (CMBR).

That prediction was confirmed in 1965 when Arno Penzias and Robert Wilson, working at Bell Labs, detected a persistent microwave signal coming uniformly from every direction in the sky. They couldn't explain it as instrument noise or any local source. It turned out to be the CMBR, and its discovery provided powerful confirmation of the Big Bang model. Penzias and Wilson received the Nobel Prize for this work.

Since then, the theory has been refined significantly:

- Inflationary theory (proposed by Alan Guth in 1980) explains why the universe appears so uniform and geometrically flat on large scales. It posits a brief period of exponential expansion in the universe's first fraction of a second.
- Dark matter was incorporated to explain why galaxies rotate faster than visible matter alone can account for (observed through galaxy rotation curves).
- Dark energy was added after 1998 observations showed the universe's expansion is accelerating, not slowing down as expected.

Principles of the Big Bang Model

Several core principles define the Big Bang framework:

The universe began from a singularity roughly 13.8 billion years ago. At this initial moment, conditions were unimaginably extreme, with temperatures and densities far beyond anything reproducible in a lab.

The universe has been expanding and cooling ever since. As space itself stretches, the energy within it spreads out and cools. The CMBR, now measured at about 2.7 Kelvin, is the cooled remnant of the radiation from when the universe was roughly 380,000 years old.

Expansion is isotropic and homogeneous on large scales. Isotropic means it looks the same in every direction; homogeneous means it's the same at every point. This doesn't mean the universe looks identical everywhere (obviously galaxies cluster in some places and not others), but on the largest scales, the distribution of matter is remarkably uniform. The near-perfect uniformity of the CMBR supports isotropy, and surveys of large-scale structure support homogeneity.

The laws of physics are consistent throughout the universe. The same physical processes that operate here on Earth apply everywhere and at every time in cosmic history. This assumption, sometimes called the cosmological principle, is what makes cosmology possible as a science.

The universe is composed of ordinary matter, radiation, dark matter, and dark energy. Current measurements (from the Planck satellite and other sources) put the breakdown at roughly 5% ordinary matter, 27% dark matter, and 68% dark energy. Dark matter and dark energy have not been directly detected in a lab but are inferred from gravitational effects and the accelerating expansion.

The model rests on Einstein's general relativity, which describes gravity not as a force between objects but as the curvature of spacetime caused by mass and energy.

Key Scientists Behind the Big Bang Theory

Georges Lemaître (1894–1966) was a Belgian Catholic priest and physicist. He independently derived expanding-universe solutions from general relativity and proposed the primeval atom hypothesis in 1931. For decades his contributions were underappreciated, with Hubble often receiving sole credit for the expanding universe concept. Lemaître is now widely recognized as the father of Big Bang cosmology.

George Gamow (1904–1968) was a Russian-American physicist who transformed Lemaître's qualitative idea into a quantitative physical theory. Along with his students Alpher and Herman, he worked out the physics of Big Bang nucleosynthesis, explaining how the lightest elements (hydrogen, helium, and trace amounts of lithium) formed in the first few minutes after the Big Bang. Their prediction of the CMBR was one of the most important theoretical predictions in 20th-century physics.

Other major contributors include Edwin Hubble (observational evidence for expansion), Alexander Friedmann (mathematical models of an expanding universe), Arno Penzias and Robert Wilson (CMBR discovery), and Alan Guth (inflationary theory).

Milestones in Universe Evolution

The universe's history can be broken into distinct epochs, each defined by the physical processes that dominated at the time:

1. Planck epoch ($t < 10^{-43}$ seconds): The earliest conceivable moment. All four fundamental forces were likely unified. Current physics can't describe this era because we lack a working theory of quantum gravity.

2. Grand unification epoch ($10^{-43} < t < 10^{-36}$ seconds): Gravity separates out as a distinct force. The strong, weak, and electromagnetic forces remain unified.

3. Inflationary epoch ($10^{-36} < t < 10^{-32}$ seconds): The universe undergoes exponential expansion, increasing in size by a factor of at least 10^{26}. Tiny quantum fluctuations get stretched to cosmic scales,

eventually seeding the formation of galaxies and large-scale structure.

4. Electroweak epoch ($10^{-32} < t < 10^{-12}$ seconds): The strong force has separated, but the electromagnetic and weak forces are still merged as the electroweak force.

5. Quark epoch ($10^{-12} < t < 10^{-6}$ seconds): The electroweak force splits into the electromagnetic and weak forces. The universe is a hot plasma of quarks, gluons, and other particles, too energetic for quarks to bind together.

6. Hadron epoch ($10^{-6} < t < 1$ second): The universe cools enough for quarks to combine into hadrons, including protons and neutrons. Most matter and antimatter annihilate each other, leaving a slight excess of matter.

7. Lepton epoch ($1 < t < 10$ seconds): Leptons (electrons, positrons, neutrinos) dominate the energy density. Neutrinos decouple from matter during this period.

8. Big Bang nucleosynthesis (10 seconds $< t < 20$ minutes): Protons and neutrons fuse to form the nuclei of light elements. The result is roughly 75% hydrogen and 25% helium by mass, with trace amounts of lithium and deuterium. This predicted ratio matches what we observe today, which is one of the strongest pieces of evidence for the Big Bang.

9. Photon epoch / Recombination (up to $t \approx 380{,}000$ years): The universe is an opaque plasma of ions and photons. At around 380,000 years, it cools to about 3,000 K, allowing electrons to combine with nuclei to form neutral atoms. Photons are released and travel freely for the first time. This is the light we detect today as the CMBR.

10. Structure formation ($380{,}000$ years $< t < \sim 1$ billion years): Gravity pulls matter into denser regions seeded by those early quantum fluctuations. The first stars ignite, galaxies assemble, and heavier elements are forged in stellar cores and supernovae.

11. Present-day universe ($t = 13.8$ billion years): The universe continues to expand, and that expansion is accelerating due to dark energy. Complex structures exist at every scale, from galaxy clusters down to planets and life on Earth.

3.2 Primordial nucleosynthesis and light element abundances

Primordial Nucleosynthesis

Within the first few minutes after the Big Bang, the universe was hot and dense enough for nuclear fusion to occur. Protons and neutrons slammed together and fused into the lightest elements: hydrogen, helium, and trace amounts of lithium. This process is called primordial nucleosynthesis, and it set the chemical starting point for everything that came after.

The Big Bang model predicts specific ratios of these light elements, and observations match those predictions remarkably well. That agreement is one of the strongest pieces of evidence supporting the Big Bang theory.

Process of Primordial Nucleosynthesis

Primordial nucleosynthesis took place roughly 10 seconds to 20 minutes after the Big Bang. During this window, temperatures dropped from about 10^{10} K to 10^{9} K, cool enough for nuclei to stick together but still hot enough for fusion to proceed.

Here's how the fusion chain worked:

1. Protons and neutrons fused into deuterium (a hydrogen isotope with one proton and one neutron). This is the essential first step because nearly all heavier nuclei build from deuterium.

2. Deuterium nuclei fused further, producing helium-3 (two protons, one neutron) and tritium (one proton, two neutrons).

3. Helium-3 and tritium then fused to form helium-4 (two protons, two neutrons), which is by far the most abundant product after hydrogen.

Trace amounts of lithium-7 and beryllium-7 also formed, but the rapidly dropping temperature shut down fusion before anything heavier could be built. That's a key distinction: primordial nucleosynthesis only produced the lightest elements. Heavier elements like carbon and oxygen were forged much later inside stars through stellar nucleosynthesis.

Predicted Light Element Abundances

The Big Bang model makes precise, testable predictions about how much of each light element should exist. These predictions depend heavily on one parameter: the baryon-to-photon ratio (the density of ordinary matter in the early universe).

- Hydrogen: ~75% of all baryonic matter by mass
- Helium-4: ~25% of all baryonic matter by mass, giving a helium-to-hydrogen ratio of roughly 1:12 by mass
- Deuterium: ~1 part in 10^5 relative to hydrogen
- Helium-3: ~1 part in 10^5 relative to hydrogen
- Lithium-7: ~1 part in 10^{10} relative to hydrogen

The deuterium abundance is especially useful as a diagnostic tool. Unlike helium-4, deuterium is fragile and gets destroyed inside stars rather than created. So any deuterium we observe today must be primordial, making it a clean test of the model.

Observational Evidence and Implications

Evidence Supporting the Predictions

Several independent lines of observation confirm the predicted abundances:

•

Cosmic microwave background (CMB): The CMB is the remnant radiation from when the universe cooled enough for atoms to form, about 380,000 years after the Big Bang. Its spectrum encodes information about the baryon density, which directly constrains the predicted element ratios. Measurements from satellites like WMAP and Planck are consistent with the nucleosynthesis predictions.

•

Deuterium in distant quasar absorption systems: When light from distant quasars passes through primordial gas clouds, absorption lines reveal the deuterium content of that gas. Because these clouds have undergone very little stellar processing, they preserve near-primordial compositions. Measured deuterium abundances match the Big Bang prediction well.

•

Helium in low-metallicity regions: Astronomers measure helium-4 abundances in metal-poor galaxies and H II regions (clouds of ionized hydrogen). These environments have experienced minimal enrichment from stellar nucleosynthesis, so their helium content reflects primordial levels. The observed helium-to-hydrogen ratio of roughly 1:12 by mass matches the prediction.

-

The cosmological lithium problem: One notable tension exists. The observed lithium-7 abundance in old, metal-poor stars is about a factor of 3 lower than what the standard model predicts. This discrepancy remains an active area of research and could point to new physics or to poorly understood processes that deplete lithium in stellar atmospheres.

Implications for the Big Bang Theory

The close match between predicted and observed light element abundances is one of the three classic pillars of the Big Bang theory, alongside the expansion of the universe and the CMB.

This agreement tells us that the universe really was once hot enough and dense enough for nuclear fusion to occur everywhere, and that it expanded and cooled on a timescale consistent with the model. The fact that a single parameter (baryon density) simultaneously fits the abundances of multiple elements makes the case especially compelling. It would be very difficult for an alternative cosmological model to reproduce these results by coincidence.

Together with the CMB and the observed expansion of the universe (Hubble's law), primordial nucleosynthesis provides a coherent, well-tested framework for understanding the origin and early evolution of the universe.

3.3 Observational evidence supporting the Big Bang model

Observational Evidence for the Big Bang Model

The Big Bang model rests on multiple independent lines of observational evidence. Each one points to the same conclusion: the universe began in a hot, dense state roughly 13.8 billion years ago and has been expanding ever since. The fact that these very different observations all converge on the same picture is what makes the Big Bang model so robust.

Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation

The cosmic microwave background (CMB) is the afterglow of the early universe. About 380,000 years after the Big Bang, the universe cooled enough for atoms to form, allowing photons to travel freely for the first time. Those photons have been streaming through space ever since, stretching to longer wavelengths as the universe expanded. Today they show up as microwave radiation corresponding to a temperature of about 2.7 K.

Arno Penzias and Robert Wilson discovered the CMB in 1965, initially mistaking it for instrument noise. Their detection earned a Nobel Prize because it confirmed a key prediction of the Big Bang model: if the universe started hot and dense, there should be leftover thermal radiation everywhere you look.

- The CMB is nearly isotropic (the same in every direction), which matches the Big Bang prediction of a uniform early universe.

- Tiny temperature fluctuations (on the order of 1 part in 100,000) were mapped by successive satellite missions: COBE (1989), WMAP (2001), and Planck (2009). These fluctuations correspond to slight density variations that eventually grew into galaxies and large-scale structures.

- Alternative models like the steady-state theory and plasma cosmology have no natural mechanism to produce this uniform background radiation, making the CMB one of the strongest pieces of evidence against them.

Expansion of the Universe

In the late 1920s, Edwin Hubble observed that light from distant galaxies is systematically redshifted, meaning its wavelength is stretched toward the red end of the spectrum. This redshift indicates that galaxies are moving away from us, and the farther away a galaxy is, the faster it recedes.

This pattern is exactly what you'd expect if space itself is expanding. Think of it this way: if you run the expansion backward in time, everything converges toward a single, extremely dense point. That's the core logic behind the Big Bang model.

- Redshift works through the same principle as the Doppler effect for sound. As a galaxy moves away, the light waves it emits get stretched to longer (redder) wavelengths.
- The relationship between distance and recession speed is captured by Hubble's law: $v = H_0 \times d$, where v is the galaxy's recessional velocity, d is its distance, and H_0 is the Hubble constant.
- The Tolman surface brightness test provides additional support. In an expanding universe, the surface brightness of distant galaxies dims in a specific, predictable way. Observations match the expanding-universe prediction, not the steady-state prediction.

Hubble-Lemaître Law in Cosmology

The Hubble-Lemaître law (renamed by the IAU in 2018 to credit Georges Lemaître's earlier contribution) formalizes the expansion relationship:

$v = H_0 \times d$

H_0 (the Hubble constant) represents the current expansion rate of the universe, measured in km/s/Mpc. Current estimates place it around 67–73 km/s/Mpc, depending on the measurement method. This range reflects an ongoing debate in cosmology known as the "Hubble tension."

The reciprocal of H_0 gives a rough estimate of the universe's age. With $H_0 \approx 70$ km/s/Mpc, you get an age of approximately 13.8 billion years (refined by CMB data and other constraints).

The value of H_0 also connects to the density parameter Ω, which influences the universe's ultimate fate:

1. Open universe ($\Omega < 1$): Expansion continues forever, gradually slowing but never stopping.
2. Closed universe ($\Omega > 1$): Gravity eventually reverses the expansion, leading to contraction.
3. Flat universe ($\Omega = 1$): Expansion slows asymptotically toward zero. Current observations strongly favor a flat or very-near-flat geometry.

Galaxy Distribution and Large-Scale Structure

Galaxies are not scattered randomly through space. They organize into a vast web-like pattern that the Big Bang model successfully predicts.

- Clusters are gravitationally bound groups of hundreds to thousands of galaxies (e.g., the Virgo Cluster, the Coma Cluster).
- Superclusters are even larger groupings of clusters (e.g., the Shapley Supercluster, the Hercules Supercluster).

- Filaments are thread-like chains of galaxies stretching hundreds of millions of light-years, forming the boundaries of enormous voids, regions nearly empty of galaxies. The Boötes Void, for example, spans roughly 330 million light-years.

This structure grew from the tiny density fluctuations visible in the CMB. Slightly denser regions attracted more matter through gravitational instability, eventually forming the galaxies, clusters, and filaments we observe today.

Large galaxy surveys like the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) and the 2dF Galaxy Redshift Survey have mapped millions of galaxy positions and redshifts, building detailed 3D maps of this cosmic web.

One striking feature is the "end of greatness": beyond scales of about 1 billion light-years, the universe's structure smooths out and appears homogeneous and isotropic. This matches the cosmological principle assumed by the Big Bang model. Steady-state and other alternative models struggle to explain both the intricate web-like structure at smaller scales and the smooth uniformity at the largest scales.

3.4 Alternative theories and their limitations

The Big Bang theory, while widely accepted, isn't the only explanation for our universe's origins. Alternative theories like the steady-state model and oscillating universe hypothesis offer different perspectives on cosmic evolution and structure.

These alternatives challenge the Big Bang's assumptions, proposing either an eternal, unchanging cosmos or a cyclical universe of expansions and contractions. However, mounting evidence from cosmic microwave background radiation and galactic observations increasingly supports the Big Bang model.

Alternative Theories to the Big Bang

Alternative theories to Big Bang

- Steady-state theory
- Proposes the universe has always existed and will continue to exist forever without a beginning or end
- Assumes continuous creation of matter maintains a constant average density throughout space and time
- Predicts no observable evolution or change in the universe's appearance on large scales (perfect cosmological principle)
- Oscillating universe model
- Suggests the universe undergoes an infinite series of expansions and contractions, each lasting trillions of years
- Each cycle begins with a Big Bang, expands, then contracts into a Big Crunch, rebounding to start a new cycle
- Universe is closed and contains enough matter to eventually halt expansion and trigger a contraction phase

Assumptions of alternative theories

- Steady-state theory assumptions and predictions
- Perfect cosmological principle states the universe appears the same from any point in space and time, with no observable evolution

- Continuous creation of matter occurs at a slow rate of approximately one hydrogen atom per cubic meter per billion years to maintain constant density
- No observable changes in the universe's structure or appearance over cosmic time scales
- Oscillating universe model assumptions and predictions
- Universe is closed and contains sufficient matter density to eventually halt expansion and cause a gravitational collapse (Big Crunch)
- Each expansion-contraction cycle lasts for trillions of years, with no net increase in entropy over a complete cycle
- Predicts the presence of cosmic microwave background radiation from previous cycles, similar to the Big Bang model

Evidence against alternative theories

- Evidence challenging steady-state theory
- Discovery of cosmic microwave background radiation (CMB) supports a hot, dense early universe inconsistent with steady-state predictions
- Observed evolution of galaxies and quasars over cosmic time contradicts the perfect cosmological principle (Hubble Deep Field)
- Hubble's law and the measured expansion of the universe imply a changing, non-static universe
- Evidence challenging oscillating universe model
- Accelerating expansion of the universe due to dark energy suggests insufficient matter density for a future Big Crunch
- Observed matter density is much lower than the critical density required for a closed, recollapsing universe (WMAP, Planck data)
- Second law of thermodynamics and increasing entropy argue against a perfectly cyclic universe without net changes

Big Bang vs alternative theories

- Big Bang model strengths
- Explains the observed expansion of the universe through Hubble's law and the measured redshifts of distant galaxies
- Predicts the existence and properties of the cosmic microwave background radiation, which has been confirmed by observations (COBE, WMAP, Planck)
- Accounts for the observed abundances of light elements (hydrogen, helium, lithium) through Big Bang nucleosynthesis
- Big Bang model limitations
- Singularity problem: the model breaks down at the initial moment of the Big Bang, where physical laws lose meaning
- Horizon problem: unconnected regions of the universe have similar properties despite no causal contact in the early universe

- Flatness problem: the universe appears to have a nearly flat geometry, requiring fine-tuned initial conditions
- Alternative theories strengths and limitations
- Steady-state theory attempts to avoid the singularity problem by proposing an eternal universe but fails to explain key observations like the CMB and galaxy evolution
- Oscillating universe model addresses the singularity problem by replacing it with a Big Bounce but lacks observational support and conflicts with evidence for dark energy and increasing entropy

Unit 4 – Cosmic Inflation and the Early Universe

4.1 The inflationary paradigm and its motivations

The Inflationary Paradigm

Cosmic inflation proposes that the universe underwent a brief but extraordinarily rapid expansion in its earliest moments, roughly 10^{-35} seconds after the Big Bang. This idea was developed to fix several serious problems with the standard Big Bang model, and it has become one of the most important frameworks in modern cosmology.

Horizon and Flatness Problems

The Horizon Problem

The cosmic microwave background (CMB) has a nearly uniform temperature across the entire sky, with fluctuations of only about 1 part in 10^5. That's the puzzle: in the standard Big Bang model, distant regions on opposite sides of the sky were never in causal contact. They never had time to exchange energy or information, so there's no obvious reason they should have reached the same temperature.

Inflation resolves this by proposing that all those regions were once close enough to interact and reach thermal equilibrium. Then exponential expansion stretched them far apart, well beyond each other's horizons. The uniformity was established first, and then inflation carried those regions to the vast separations we observe today.

The Flatness Problem

Observations show that the universe is very close to spatially flat, meaning its total energy density is nearly equal to the critical density. The trouble is that in standard Big Bang cosmology, flatness is an unstable condition. Even a tiny deviation from perfect flatness in the early universe would have grown enormously over time, producing a universe that's either obviously closed or obviously open. Getting the near-perfect flatness we observe today would require absurdly precise fine-tuning of initial conditions.

Inflation solves this naturally. Exponential expansion drives the spatial curvature toward zero, much like inflating a balloon makes a small patch of its surface appear flat. No matter what curvature the universe started with, inflation pushes it so close to flat that the residual curvature is negligible.

Inflation and Magnetic Monopoles

Grand unified theories (GUTs) predict that magnetic monopoles, hypothetical particles carrying a single magnetic pole (north or south), should have been produced abundantly in the early universe. These monopoles would be extremely massive (around 10^{16} GeV) and stable, so they should still be around today. Yet extensive experimental searches have found none, placing an upper limit of roughly 10^{-30} monopoles per nucleon.

Inflation offers a clean solution. If monopoles were produced before or during inflation, the exponential expansion would have diluted their density to negligible levels. The volume of the universe increased so dramatically that any pre-existing monopole population was spread vanishingly thin, consistent with the observed absence.

Principles of the Inflationary Paradigm

Inflation is driven by a hypothetical scalar field called the inflaton. The basic mechanism works as follows:

1. The inflaton field starts with a large potential energy density that dominates the energy content of the universe. This potential energy acts like a cosmological constant, producing negative pressure and a repulsive gravitational effect that drives exponential expansion.

2. The inflaton "slow-rolls" down its potential. The potential must be sufficiently flat so that the field changes slowly, sustaining inflation long enough to solve the horizon and flatness problems. The standard requirement is at least about 60 e-folds of expansion (meaning the scale factor grows by a factor of e^{60}).

3. During this slow roll, quantum fluctuations in the inflaton field get stretched to macroscopic and eventually cosmic scales. These fluctuations become the primordial density perturbations that later seed the formation of galaxies, clusters, and the large-scale cosmic web.

4. Inflation ends when the inflaton reaches the minimum of its potential. The field then oscillates around that minimum and decays into standard model particles through a process called reheating. This fills the universe with radiation and matter, and standard Big Bang evolution takes over from there.

Motivations for Inflationary Theory

The primary motivations are the three problems discussed above: the horizon problem, the flatness problem, and the magnetic monopole problem. Each represents a case where the standard Big Bang model either requires extreme fine-tuning or conflicts with observations, and inflation resolves all three with a single mechanism.

Beyond fixing these problems, inflation provides something the standard Big Bang model lacks entirely: a physical origin for the primordial density fluctuations. Quantum fluctuations in the inflaton field, stretched to cosmic scales, produce a nearly scale-invariant spectrum of perturbations. These perturbations show up as the temperature anisotropies in the CMB power spectrum and as the large-scale distribution of matter (including baryon acoustic oscillations).

Observational support for inflation comes primarily from CMB measurements, which confirm a nearly scale-invariant power spectrum of perturbations, consistent with inflationary predictions. The spectral index of scalar perturbations, n_s, has been measured to be slightly less than 1 (around 0.965), matching the prediction of most slow-roll models. Ongoing and future research focuses on further constraining inflationary models, particularly through the search for primordial gravitational waves via B-mode polarization of the CMB, which would provide direct evidence of the energy scale at which inflation occurred.

4.2 Physics of the inflationary epoch

Scalar Fields and Inflationary Dynamics

Scalar fields are the engine behind cosmic inflation. They provide the energy that drove the universe's exponential expansion in its earliest moments, and their quantum behavior seeded the large-scale structures we see today. Understanding how these fields work is central to understanding the inflationary epoch.

Scalar fields in inflation

A scalar field assigns a single number (a magnitude) to every point in spacetime. Unlike vector fields (which have direction) or tensor fields (which carry even more geometric information), a scalar field is the simplest type of field. The Higgs field is a confirmed example; the inflaton is a hypothetical one proposed specifically to drive inflation.

What makes scalar fields useful for inflation is their potential energy. If a scalar field sits in a state where its potential energy is much larger than its kinetic energy, the field's energy density behaves like a cosmological constant. This creates negative pressure, which in general relativity acts as repulsive gravity. That repulsive gravity is what causes the universe to expand exponentially.

The relationship between pressure p and energy density ρ for a scalar field dominated by potential energy $V(\phi)$ is approximately $p \approx -\rho$, which satisfies the condition for accelerated expansion.

Inflaton field dynamics

The inflaton is the hypothetical scalar field responsible for inflation. Its behavior is often visualized as a ball rolling down a hill, where the hill's shape represents the potential energy curve $V(\phi)$.

- The inflaton starts high on its potential, where $V(\phi)$ is large and the field's kinetic energy $\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2$ is small.
- It slowly rolls down the potential. During this slow roll, the potential energy dominates and the universe expands exponentially.
- Quantum fluctuations in the inflaton field cause tiny variations in when different regions of space stop inflating. These variations translate into density perturbations.
- Those density perturbations become the seeds for galaxies, galaxy clusters, and the large-scale structure of the universe. They also show up as temperature anisotropies in the cosmic microwave background (CMB).

The slow-roll approximation works when two conditions hold: the potential must be flat enough that the field doesn't accelerate too quickly, and the field's acceleration $\ddot{\phi}$ must be small compared to the friction term $3H\dot{\phi}$ from the expanding universe.

Inflationary Conditions and Models

Conditions for inflation

For inflation to occur and persist long enough to solve the horizon and flatness problems, several conditions must be met:

1. The inflaton's potential energy must greatly exceed its kinetic energy: $V(\phi) \gg \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2$.
2. The potential energy curve $V(\phi)$ must be sufficiently flat so the field rolls slowly. This is captured by the slow-roll parameters ϵ and η, both of which must be much less than 1.
3. The Hubble parameter H remains approximately constant during inflation, given by $H^2 \approx \frac{V(\phi)}{3M_{\text{Pl}}^2}$, where M_{Pl} is the reduced Planck mass.
4. As the inflaton rolls further down the potential, its kinetic energy grows. When kinetic energy becomes comparable to potential energy ($\varepsilon \approx 1$), inflation ends.

5. After inflation ends, the inflaton oscillates around the minimum of its potential and decays into Standard Model particles. This process, called reheating, fills the universe with radiation and sets the stage for Big Bang nucleosynthesis.

Types of inflationary models

Single-field inflation is the simplest class of models. One scalar field drives the entire inflationary period. Examples include:

- Chaotic inflation (Linde), where the inflaton starts at a large field value with a simple potential like $V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2$.
- New inflation (Linde, Albrecht & Steinhardt), where the field slowly rolls away from an unstable maximum of the potential.

These models are economical but sometimes require fine-tuning of the potential to match CMB observations, particularly the scalar spectral index n_s and the tensor-to-scalar ratio r.

Multi-field inflation involves two or more scalar fields contributing to the dynamics. This opens up richer phenomenology:

- Hybrid inflation uses one field to drive expansion and a second field to trigger the end of inflation through a phase transition.
- Assisted inflation allows multiple fields, each individually too steep for slow roll, to collectively sustain inflation through their combined energy.

Multi-field models can produce distinctive signatures like isocurvature perturbations and non-Gaussianity in the CMB.

Eternal inflation takes the idea further by proposing that inflation never fully stops everywhere. Quantum fluctuations can push the inflaton back up its potential in some regions, keeping those regions inflating even as others exit inflation and thermalize. The regions that stop inflating form bubble universes, each potentially with different physical constants. This leads to the concept of a multiverse, a vast collection of causally disconnected regions with diverse properties. Eternal inflation is a generic prediction of many inflationary potentials, though its implications remain difficult to test observationally.

4.3 Predictions and observational tests of inflation

Predictions of Inflation

Inflation, a brief period of exponential expansion in the very early universe, makes several concrete, testable predictions. Two of the most important are spatial flatness and nearly scale-invariant primordial fluctuations. These predictions connect directly to what we observe in the cosmic microwave background and the large-scale distribution of matter.

Spatial Flatness of the Universe

Inflation predicts that the universe should have a spatial geometry very close to flat (Euclidean). The reasoning is straightforward: exponential expansion stretches space so dramatically that any initial curvature gets diluted, much like how the surface of a balloon appears flatter as you inflate it to enormous size.

This prediction is quantified through the density parameter Ω:

$\Omega = \frac{\rho}{\rho_c}$

where ρ is the total energy density of the universe and ρ_c is the critical density, the exact density needed for a spatially flat geometry.

- $\Omega = 1$ corresponds to a flat universe
- $\Omega > 1$ means positive curvature (closed), $\Omega < 1$ means negative curvature (open)
- Inflation drives Ω toward 1 regardless of its initial value. Even if the pre-inflationary universe had $\Omega = 0.9$ or $\Omega = 1.1$, the exponential expansion pushes it extremely close to unity

This is how inflation solves the flatness problem: without inflation, Ω being so close to 1 today would require absurdly fine-tuned initial conditions.

Scale-Invariant Primordial Fluctuations

During inflation, quantum fluctuations in the inflaton field (the scalar field driving inflation) get stretched from subatomic to macroscopic scales by the exponential expansion. These stretched fluctuations become the seeds for all structure in the universe: galaxies, galaxy clusters, and the cosmic web.

The power spectrum of these primordial fluctuations describes how much fluctuation amplitude exists at each spatial scale. Inflation predicts this spectrum to be nearly scale-invariant, meaning fluctuations have roughly the same amplitude whether you look at small scales or large scales.

Formally, the power spectrum follows:

$$P(k) \propto k^{n_s - 1}$$

where k is the wavenumber (related to spatial scale) and n_s is the scalar spectral index.

- A perfectly scale-invariant (Harrison-Zel'dovich) spectrum has $n_s = 1$
- Inflation actually predicts n_s slightly less than 1, giving a red-tilted spectrum. This small deviation arises because the inflaton field evolves slowly during inflation rather than sitting perfectly still. The measured value is $n_s \approx 0.965$, matching this prediction well.

Observational Tests of Inflation

CMB Evidence for Inflation

The cosmic microwave background (CMB) is a snapshot of the universe roughly 380,000 years after the Big Bang, when photons decoupled from matter. Tiny temperature variations across the CMB sky (anisotropies of about 1 part in 100,000) directly trace the primordial density fluctuations that inflation produced.

The angular power spectrum of CMB anisotropies plots fluctuation strength against angular scale. It reveals a series of acoustic peaks caused by the competition between gravitational collapse and radiation pressure in the pre-recombination plasma. The relative heights and positions of these peaks encode information about the universe's geometry, composition, and initial conditions.

Several CMB results strongly support inflation:

- Flatness confirmed. CMB data (especially from Planck) constrain Ω to be 1.000 ± 0.002, consistent with the flat geometry inflation predicts.
- Near scale-invariance confirmed. The measured spectral index $n_s = 0.965 \pm 0.004$ is close to 1 but slightly less, exactly as inflation predicts. A perfectly scale-invariant spectrum ($n_s = 1$) is ruled out at high significance.

- Acoustic peak structure. The pattern of peaks and troughs in the angular power spectrum matches inflationary models with remarkable precision, including the prediction of coherent, adiabatic perturbations (where all particle species fluctuate together).

B-Mode Polarization in the CMB

CMB photons are slightly polarized due to Thomson scattering off electrons during recombination. This polarization can be decomposed into two geometric patterns:

- E-modes (gradient-like pattern): Generated by both scalar perturbations (density fluctuations) and tensor perturbations (gravitational waves). E-modes have been measured and match predictions.
- B-modes (curl-like pattern): At large angular scales, B-modes can only be generated by tensor perturbations, specifically primordial gravitational waves. This makes them a unique signature.

Inflation predicts the existence of a background of primordial gravitational waves, produced by quantum fluctuations in spacetime itself during the inflationary epoch. Their amplitude is directly tied to the energy scale of inflation (expected near 10^{16} GeV for many models), quantified by the tensor-to-scalar ratio r.

Why B-modes matter so much:

- Detecting primordial B-modes would confirm that inflation produced gravitational waves, providing direct evidence for quantum gravitational effects during inflation
- The amplitude of the signal would pin down the energy scale at which inflation occurred, constraining which inflationary models are viable
- No other known mechanism produces this particular large-scale B-mode pattern, making it a distinctive test

Experiments like BICEP/Keck Array and the upcoming CMB-S4 are actively searching for this signal. So far, only upper limits on r have been established ($r < 0.036$ from BICEP/Keck combined with Planck), which already rules out some of the simplest inflationary models. A confirmed detection would be among the most significant results in cosmology, while continued non-detection at smaller values of r would progressively narrow the space of viable inflation theories.

4.4 Quantum fluctuations and structure formation

Quantum Fluctuations and Inflation

Quantum fluctuations in inflation

During the inflationary epoch, the inflaton field experiences quantum fluctuations as a direct consequence of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle. These fluctuations are described by the wavefunction of the inflaton field $\psi(\phi)$.

Fluctuations in the inflaton field translate into fluctuations in energy density $\delta\rho$ and spacetime curvature δR. The connection between inflaton fluctuations and curvature perturbations is captured by the gauge-invariant comoving curvature perturbation:

$$\zeta = -\frac{\delta\rho}{3(\rho + p)}$$

where ρ is energy density and p is pressure. This quantity ζ is conserved on superhorizon scales, which is what makes it so useful for tracking perturbations from inflation all the way to the CMB.

The rapid exponential expansion during inflation stretches these quantum fluctuations from sub-atomic scales to macroscopic (and eventually cosmological) scales. Once stretched beyond the Hubble horizon, the fluctuations "freeze out" and behave as classical density perturbations with a nearly scale-invariant power spectrum. These perturbations are present on all scales and set the initial conditions for everything that follows: CMB anisotropies, galaxy formation, and the large-scale structure of the universe.

Generation of primordial density perturbations

Once curvature perturbations ζ are stretched to superhorizon scales during inflation, they become the classical density perturbations that later drive structure formation. Their statistical properties are encoded in the power spectrum $P_\zeta(k)$, which quantifies the amplitude of perturbations as a function of wavenumber k (where larger k corresponds to smaller physical scales).

The shape of the power spectrum is typically parameterized as a power law:

$P_\zeta(k) \propto k^{n_s - 1}$

where n_s is the scalar spectral index. Inflation predicts a nearly scale-invariant spectrum, meaning $n_s \approx 1$. The measured value from Planck is $n_s = 0.9649 \pm 0.0042$, which is close to 1 but slightly less. That small deviation from exact scale invariance is actually a prediction of most inflationary models, since inflation doesn't last forever and the inflaton field slowly rolls during the process.

The amplitude A_s sets the overall level of density perturbations and is constrained by CMB and large-scale structure observations (Planck, SDSS).

These density perturbations then grow through gravitational instability:

1. Regions with slightly higher density than average exert a stronger gravitational pull on surrounding matter.

2. That extra matter increases the density further, strengthening the gravitational pull even more.

3. Over cosmic time, this runaway process builds up galaxies, galaxy clusters, and the cosmic web of filaments and voids.

Power spectrum of primordial fluctuations

The power spectrum $P_\zeta(k)$ is the central statistical tool for characterizing primordial density perturbations. It tells you how much perturbation amplitude exists at each physical scale (encoded by wavenumber k).

The power spectrum connects to several key observables:

• CMB temperature anisotropies reflect the density perturbations present at the time of recombination ($z \approx 1100$). The angular power spectrum of the CMB is essentially a processed version of the primordial power spectrum, modified by the physics of the photon-baryon fluid.

• Galaxy distribution traces the underlying matter density field. The spatial clustering of galaxies on different scales maps back to the primordial perturbations.

• Baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO) are a feature imprinted in the galaxy distribution by sound waves in the early universe. They provide a "standard ruler" for measuring cosmic distances.

The nearly scale-invariant spectrum predicted by inflation is consistent with all of these observations. The amplitude is tightly constrained:

$A_s = (2.105 \pm 0.030) \times 10^{-9}$

(Planck 2018). That tiny value, roughly 10^{-9}, reflects just how small the initial perturbations were. The fact that they grew into the rich structure we observe today is a testament to the power of gravitational instability operating over billions of years.

Inflation's role in structure formation

Inflation provides the mechanism for generating the initial density perturbations that seed all subsequent structure formation. Without it, there's no compelling explanation for why the universe has the large-scale structure it does.

Beyond generating perturbations, inflation solves the horizon problem: regions of the universe that are far apart today (and appear causally disconnected) were actually in causal contact before inflation stretched them apart. This explains the observed homogeneity and isotropy of the universe on large scales while still allowing for the small perturbations that grew into structure.

The quantum origin of these fluctuations is a profound result. Structure in the universe traces back to the fundamental quantum nature of the inflaton field, amplified by the exponential expansion of spacetime. Inflation's predictions are testable through precision measurements of:

- The scalar spectral index n_s (how the power spectrum tilts away from perfect scale invariance)
- The amplitude A_s (the overall size of the perturbations)
- The tensor-to-scalar ratio r (the relative amplitude of gravitational wave perturbations to density perturbations, which constrains the energy scale of inflation)

Current data from Planck and ground-based CMB experiments continue to tighten constraints on these parameters, ruling out many inflationary models while remaining consistent with the broad predictions of the inflationary paradigm.

Structure Formation

Quantum fluctuations seeding large-scale structures

The nearly scale-invariant density perturbations generated during inflation serve as the seeds for all large-scale structure in the universe. Here's how those seeds grow into the cosmic web:

Linear regime (early growth):

As the universe expands and cools after inflation, density perturbations grow through gravitational instability. In the early stages, this growth is well described by linear perturbation theory, where the amplitude of perturbations grows proportionally to the scale factor $a(t)$. During this phase, overdense regions get denser and underdense regions get emptier, but the contrast remains small ($\delta\rho/\rho \ll 1$).

Nonlinear regime (late-time collapse):

Once perturbations grow large enough that $\delta\rho/\rho \sim 1$, linear theory breaks down. Structure formation enters the nonlinear regime, where gravity, gas dynamics, and astrophysical feedback processes (star formation, supernovae, active galactic nuclei) all interact in complex ways. Numerical simulations become essential for modeling this phase.

Hierarchical structure formation:

Structure builds from the bottom up:

1. Smaller structures collapse and virialize first.

2. These smaller structures merge over time to form progressively larger ones.

3. Dark matter halos, gravitationally bound clumps composed primarily of dark matter, form the scaffolding. They collapse first because dark matter doesn't interact with radiation and can begin clumping earlier than baryonic matter.

4. Baryonic matter (gas, stars) then falls into the gravitational potential wells created by dark matter halos, cooling and condensing to form the visible galaxies and clusters we observe.

The end result is the cosmic web: a network of dense filaments connecting galaxy clusters, with vast underdense voids in between. This large-scale pattern is a direct, observable consequence of quantum fluctuations generated during inflation roughly 13.8 billion years ago.

Unit 5 – The Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation

5.1 Discovery and properties of the CMB

Discovery and Properties of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB)

The cosmic microwave background (CMB) is the oldest light in the universe, a faint glow of radiation filling all of space that dates back to when the cosmos was only about 380,000 years old. It serves as the strongest piece of observational evidence for the Big Bang theory and acts as a direct window into the physical conditions of the early universe. Its properties, from its nearly perfect blackbody spectrum to its tiny temperature fluctuations, constrain the fundamental parameters of modern cosmological models.

Discovery of the CMB

In 1965, Arno Penzias and Robert Wilson were working with a highly sensitive horn antenna at Bell Telephone Laboratories in Holmdel, New Jersey. The antenna had been built for radio astronomy and satellite communication experiments, not for cosmology.

While calibrating the instrument, they encountered a persistent, low-level noise signal they could not eliminate. The signal had two striking features:

- It was isotropic, meaning it came from every direction in the sky equally.
- It was constant, showing no variation with time of day or season.

They systematically ruled out terrestrial and instrumental sources of interference (including, famously, pigeon droppings nesting in the antenna). Once every known source of noise was excluded, they concluded the signal had to be extraterrestrial in origin.

At the same time, a group of Princeton physicists led by Robert Dicke and Jim Peebles had independently predicted that a remnant thermal radiation from the Big Bang should be detectable at microwave wavelengths. When the two groups connected, the explanation became clear: Penzias and Wilson had accidentally discovered the cosmic microwave background. This discovery earned them the 1978 Nobel Prize in Physics and provided the most direct confirmation of the hot Big Bang model.

Properties of the CMB

Blackbody spectrum. The CMB has an almost perfect blackbody (thermal) spectrum, meaning its intensity as a function of frequency follows the Planck function. The spectrum peaks in the microwave range at a wavelength of approximately 1.9 mm. The COBE satellite's FIRAS instrument measured this spectrum in 1990 and found it to be the most precise blackbody ever observed in nature, with deviations smaller than 50 parts per million.

Temperature. The CMB temperature has been measured at $T = 2.725 \pm 0.001$ K. This is far cooler than the roughly 3000 K temperature at which the radiation was originally emitted. The difference is entirely due to the cosmological redshift: as the universe has expanded by a factor of about 1100 since the CMB was released, the radiation's wavelengths have stretched by the same factor, cooling it to its present value.

Near-perfect isotropy with tiny anisotropies. The CMB is remarkably uniform across the sky. Temperature variations are on the order of 1 part in 10^5 (roughly $\pm 30\,\mu$K). These tiny fluctuations, called anisotropies, are not noise. They represent real density and temperature differences in the early universe that later grew through gravitational instability into galaxies, galaxy clusters, and the large-scale cosmic web.

Polarization. The CMB is not entirely unpolarized. It carries a faint polarization signal generated by Thomson scattering of photons off free electrons near the epoch of recombination. This polarization is decomposed into E-modes (curl-free patterns, well measured) and B-modes (divergence-free patterns, much fainter and still under active investigation as a potential signature of primordial gravitational waves).

Significance in Early Universe Studies

The CMB is often described as a "snapshot" of the universe at the epoch of recombination, roughly 380,000 years after the Big Bang. Before this time, the universe was a hot, dense plasma of protons, electrons, and photons. Photons constantly scattered off free electrons, making the universe opaque. As expansion cooled the plasma below about 3000 K, protons and electrons combined into neutral hydrogen atoms. Photons then decoupled from matter and began streaming freely through space. Those photons are what we detect today as the CMB.

What makes the CMB so powerful for cosmology:

- Confirms the hot Big Bang model. The existence of a pervasive thermal radiation background at the predicted temperature is a direct consequence of a hot, dense early universe. No competing cosmological model has successfully reproduced the CMB's precise blackbody spectrum.
- Demonstrates thermal equilibrium. The near-perfect blackbody shape tells you the early universe was in very close thermal equilibrium, meaning radiation and matter had thoroughly exchanged energy before decoupling.
- Supports the cosmological principle. The high degree of isotropy is consistent with the assumption that the universe is homogeneous and isotropic on large scales.
- Seeds of cosmic structure. The small temperature anisotropies map out the density fluctuations that, over billions of years, gravitationally collapsed to form all the structure we see today.
- Constrains cosmological parameters. The angular power spectrum of CMB anisotropies (how the fluctuation amplitude varies with angular scale) depends sensitively on the geometry of the universe, the baryon density, the dark matter density, the Hubble constant, and other parameters. Precise measurements from the COBE, WMAP, and Planck satellites have been central to establishing the standard ΛCDM model, which describes a spatially flat universe composed of roughly 5% ordinary matter, 27% dark matter, and 68% dark energy.

5.2 Temperature anisotropies and their significance

Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) Temperature Anisotropies

The cosmic microwave background (CMB) carries a snapshot of the universe roughly 380,000 years after the Big Bang. Its tiny temperature variations, just 1 part in 100,000 relative to the mean temperature of 2.725 K, trace quantum fluctuations from the inflationary period that eventually grew into all the large-scale structure we see today.

The CMB power spectrum organizes these fluctuations by angular scale. Its peaks and troughs encode the universe's composition, geometry, and evolution, making it one of the most powerful tools for measuring cosmological parameters and testing models of the early universe.

Temperature anisotropies in CMB radiation

Temperature anisotropies are the minuscule variations in CMB temperature observed across the sky. Their magnitude is roughly $\frac{\Delta T}{T} \sim 10^{-5}$, or about 1 part in 100,000 compared to the mean temperature of 2.725 K.

These anisotropies span a wide range of angular scales:

- Large angular scales represent fluctuations stretched across vast distances in the primordial universe. The most prominent large-scale feature is the dipole anisotropy (180-degree angular scale), caused by Earth's motion relative to the CMB rest frame. This dipole is subtracted before analyzing the cosmologically interesting fluctuations.

- Small angular scales correspond to more compact regions in the early universe. The peaks in the CMB power spectrum at angular scales of around 1 degree and smaller arise from acoustic oscillations in the photon-baryon plasma before recombination.

Evidence for quantum fluctuations

The prevailing theory traces CMB temperature anisotropies back to quantum fluctuations during the inflationary period. Inflation was a brief phase of exponential expansion that stretched microscopic quantum fluctuations to macroscopic, cosmological scales.

Specifically, quantum fluctuations in the inflaton field (the scalar field driving inflation) produced slight inhomogeneities in the density and temperature of the primordial plasma. Overdense regions had stronger gravitational pull, attracting more matter over time. This gravitational collapse ultimately formed the galaxies, galaxy clusters, and cosmic web we observe today.

The statistical properties of the CMB anisotropies match inflationary predictions in several ways:

- The fluctuations are nearly Gaussian, as inflation predicts

- The power spectrum is nearly scale-invariant, with a slight tilt (spectral index $n_s \approx 0.965$), consistent with slow-roll inflation

- The amplitude and shape of the angular power spectrum provide strong evidence that cosmic structure originated from quantum processes

Significance of the CMB power spectrum

The CMB power spectrum plots the variance (power) of temperature fluctuations as a function of angular scale, expressed through the multipole moment ℓ. Low ℓ values correspond to large angular scales; high ℓ values correspond to small angular scales.

The position, amplitude, and width of the peaks are sensitive to key cosmological parameters:

- Ω_b (baryon density): affects the relative heights of odd versus even peaks

- Ω_m (total matter density): influences the overall peak structure and the matter-radiation equality epoch

- Ω_Λ (dark energy density): affects the geometry of the universe and thus the angular scale of the peaks

- H_0 (Hubble constant): shifts peak positions through its effect on the sound horizon

By comparing the measured power spectrum against theoretical predictions from different cosmological models, researchers can constrain these parameter values and evaluate competing theories of the early universe.

Two results from the power spectrum stand out:

- The first peak at $\ell \approx 200$ (about 1 degree on the sky) indicates a spatially flat universe. In a positively curved universe, this peak would shift to lower ℓ (larger angular scales); in a negatively curved universe, to higher ℓ.

- The relative amplitudes of odd and even peaks reveal the baryon-to-dark-matter ratio. Odd peaks are enhanced by baryons (which compress with gravity), while even peaks correspond to rarefaction phases. The pattern requires a substantial dark matter component that provides gravitational potential wells without participating in the pressure oscillations.

Effects shaping CMB anisotropies

Three main physical effects shape the anisotropy pattern at different angular scales:

-

Sachs-Wolfe effect (large scales, $\ell \lesssim 100$): Photons climbing out of gravitational potential wells at the last scattering surface lose energy, producing a gravitational redshift. Photons from deeper wells appear cooler. On these large scales, the fluctuations directly reflect the primordial density perturbations because there wasn't enough time for acoustic oscillations to develop at such large wavelengths.

-

Acoustic oscillations / Doppler effect (intermediate scales, $100 \lesssim \ell \lesssim 1000$): Before recombination, the photon-baryon plasma underwent sound waves driven by the competition between gravitational compression and radiation pressure. The Doppler shifts from the plasma's bulk motion, combined with the intrinsic temperature variations from compression and rarefaction, produce the characteristic series of acoustic peaks in the power spectrum.

-

Silk damping (small scales, $\ell \gtrsim 1000$): On small scales, photons diffuse out of overdense regions before recombination, smoothing out temperature fluctuations. This photon diffusion erases anisotropies on scales smaller than the photon mean free path, creating a damping tail in the power spectrum. The shape of this tail provides information about the thickness of the last scattering surface and the primordial helium abundance (since helium affects the number of free electrons and thus the photon mean free path).

Implications of CMB observations

The observed CMB anisotropies carry deep implications for our understanding of the universe's geometry and large-scale structure.

The remarkable uniformity of the CMB temperature, with fluctuations at only 1 part in 100,000, provides strong evidence for the homogeneity and isotropy of the universe on large scales. This supports the cosmological principle: the universe looks statistically the same from every location and in every direction on sufficiently large scales.

The first acoustic peak at $\ell \approx 200$ is consistent with a spatially flat universe. Quantitatively, the total density parameter $\Omega_{\text{total}} = \Omega_m + \Omega_\Lambda + \Omega_r \approx 1$, where Ω_r is the radiation density. A value of exactly 1 corresponds to flat spatial geometry. This flatness is itself a prediction of inflation: the exponential expansion during inflation drove the universe's curvature extremely close to zero, regardless of its initial value.

Together, CMB observations have established the ΛCDM model as the standard cosmological model, pinning down parameters like the age of the universe, its matter-energy composition, and the geometry of space with percent-level precision.

5.3 Polarization of the CMB

CMB Polarization Mechanisms and Patterns

The CMB isn't just a map of temperature fluctuations. It's also polarized, and that polarization carries information about conditions in the early universe that temperature alone can't reveal. Specifically, polarization patterns can tell us about gravitational waves from inflation, which would confirm one of the biggest ideas in modern cosmology.

How CMB Polarization Is Produced

Polarization in the CMB arises from Thomson scattering, the process where photons scatter off free electrons during the epoch of recombination. When a photon scatters off an electron, the outgoing light becomes linearly polarized perpendicular to the plane defined by the incoming and outgoing directions.

But here's the key detail: Thomson scattering only produces net polarization if the incoming radiation has a quadrupole anisotropy, meaning the photon intensity varies in a specific pattern around the electron. If the radiation were perfectly uniform from all directions, the polarization from different incoming photons would cancel out.

Two things can create this required quadrupole anisotropy:

- Density fluctuations (scalar perturbations): Variations in matter density cause photons arriving from different directions to have slightly different temperatures, producing a quadrupole pattern.
- Gravitational waves (tensor perturbations): Primordial gravitational waves from inflation stretch and squeeze spacetime itself, distorting the photon field into a quadrupole pattern. The amplitude of this effect depends directly on the energy scale of inflation.

E-mode vs. B-mode Patterns

Any polarization map of the CMB can be mathematically decomposed into two distinct components, named by analogy to electromagnetism:

- E-modes have a curl-free pattern (like an electrostatic field). They can be generated by both scalar and tensor perturbations. E-modes were first detected by the DASI experiment in 2002 and are now well-measured.
- B-modes have a divergence-free pattern (like a magnetic field). Crucially, primordial B-modes can only be generated by tensor perturbations (gravitational waves). This is what makes them so valuable: they're a direct signature of inflationary gravitational waves, with no scalar perturbation contamination.

Think of it this way: E-modes tell you about the combined effects of density fluctuations and gravitational waves. B-modes isolate the gravitational wave signal, which is why they're the primary target for inflation research.

CMB Polarization Detection and Implications

Challenges of Detection

Detecting CMB polarization, especially B-modes, is extraordinarily difficult for several reasons:

- Weak signal: The polarization amplitude is roughly 10% of the already-tiny CMB temperature anisotropies. This demands extremely sensitive detectors and long integration times.
- Foreground contamination: Galactic dust emits polarized thermal radiation, and synchrotron emission from charged particles spiraling in the Galaxy's magnetic field also produces polarized signals. Both can mimic a primordial B-mode signal, so careful foreground modeling and subtraction are essential.

The BICEP2 experiment at the South Pole illustrates these challenges well. In 2014, the BICEP2 team announced a detection of B-mode polarization, which made headlines as potential direct evidence for inflation. However, subsequent analysis incorporating dust maps from the Planck satellite showed the signal was consistent with polarized Galactic dust rather than primordial gravitational waves. This episode underscored how critical foreground removal is for B-mode science.

Planck itself provided full-sky maps of both CMB temperature and polarization, delivering precise measurements of E-mode polarization and setting upper limits on B-modes.

What B-modes Would Tell Us About Inflation

B-mode polarization from primordial gravitational waves would be the most direct evidence for inflation we could hope for. Here's why the stakes are so high:

1. Confirmation of inflation: Inflationary gravitational waves are the only known primordial source of B-modes. A confirmed detection would be strong evidence that inflation actually occurred.
2. Measuring inflation's energy scale: The amplitude of the B-mode signal is proportional to the energy scale of inflation, quantified by the tensor-to-scalar ratio r. A larger r means inflation occurred at higher energies. Current data from Planck (2018) sets an upper limit of $r < 0.06$.
3. Discriminating between models: Different inflationary models predict different values of r. High-r models like chaotic inflation are already ruled out by the tight upper limits. Low-r models like Starobinsky (R^2) inflation remain viable. A precise measurement of r would dramatically narrow the field of candidate models.

The search for primordial B-modes continues with next-generation experiments like CMB-S4 and LiteBIRD, which aim to push sensitivity down to $r \sim 0.001$, probing well below current limits.

5.4 Implications for cosmological parameters and models

Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) Radiation

The cosmic microwave background is relic radiation from the early universe, originating about 380,000 years after the Big Bang. It formed when the universe cooled enough for neutral atoms to form, allowing photons to travel freely for the first time. By analyzing the CMB's temperature fluctuations and polarization patterns, cosmologists can pin down key parameters that describe the universe's geometry, composition, and evolution.

Origin of the CMB

About 380,000 years after the Big Bang, the universe had cooled to roughly 3,000 K. At that temperature, protons and electrons could finally combine into neutral hydrogen atoms, a process called recombination. Before recombination, photons were constantly scattering off free electrons and couldn't travel far. Once neutral atoms formed, photons decoupled from matter and began streaming freely through space. The universe became transparent to light.

The surface of last scattering is the "shell" in space-time where these photons had their final interaction with matter before propagating to us. Every direction you look in the sky, you're seeing photons from this surface. Those photons have been redshifted by the expansion of the universe from ~3,000 K down to the 2.725 K we observe today.

Evidence for the Big Bang Theory

- The CMB's very existence confirms the Big Bang prediction of a hot, dense early universe that cooled as it expanded.
- Its spectrum is an almost perfect blackbody at 2.725 K, exactly what you'd expect from radiation that was once in thermal equilibrium at ~3,000 K and then redshifted by cosmic expansion.
- The observed redshift of CMB photons is consistent with the expanding universe described by the Hubble-Lemaître law.
- The cosmic abundances of light elements (roughly 75% hydrogen, 25% helium, trace lithium) match the predictions of Big Bang nucleosynthesis, which occurred in the first few minutes after the Big Bang. The CMB's temperature tells us the conditions under which that nucleosynthesis happened.

CMB Fluctuations and Cosmological Implications

Power Spectrum of CMB Fluctuations

The CMB isn't perfectly uniform. Tiny temperature variations (on the order of 1 part in 100,000) appear across the sky, and the angular power spectrum is the tool used to analyze them. It plots the amplitude of temperature fluctuations against angular scale, parameterized by multipole moments (ℓ). Low ℓ values correspond to large angular scales; high ℓ values correspond to small angular scales.

The power spectrum shows a series of peaks and troughs that arise from acoustic oscillations in the primordial plasma. Before recombination, the photon-baryon fluid experienced a tug-of-war: gravity pulled matter inward toward denser regions, while photon pressure pushed outward. These competing forces set up standing sound waves. At the moment of decoupling, some regions were at maximum compression and others at maximum rarefaction, imprinting a characteristic pattern of peaks onto the CMB.

What the peaks tell us:

- Position of the first peak (~$\ell \approx 200$, or about 1° on the sky): Sensitive to the curvature of the universe. Its observed location is consistent with a spatially flat geometry.
- Relative heights of odd vs. even peaks: Odd peaks correspond to compressions, even peaks to rarefactions. Their ratio constrains the baryon density Ω_b.
- Overall envelope of peak heights: Constrains the total matter density Ω_m.

At the largest angular scales (low ℓ), the power spectrum flattens into the Sachs-Wolfe plateau. These large-scale fluctuations reflect the primordial density perturbations seeded during cosmic inflation, essentially unchanged since they were too large to have been affected by acoustic oscillations.

Constraints from CMB Measurements

The CMB power spectrum, measured with high precision by missions like WMAP and Planck, provides tight constraints on cosmological parameters:

- Flat geometry: The first peak's location at $\ell \approx 200$ indicates the total energy density is very close to the critical density ($\Omega_{total} \approx 1$), meaning the universe is spatially flat.

- Baryon density: The ratio of odd to even peak heights gives $\Omega_b \approx 0.05$, meaning ordinary matter makes up only about 5% of the total energy budget.
- Matter density: The overall peak structure constrains $\Omega_m \approx 0.3$, which includes both baryonic and dark matter. Since baryons account for ~0.05, dark matter accounts for ~0.25.
- Hubble constant: The peak positions and heights, combined with other data, constrain $H_0 \approx 67\text{–}70$ km/s/Mpc (with some tension between CMB-derived and local measurements).

Combining these results yields the current concordance model of the universe's composition:

- ~5% ordinary (baryonic) matter
- ~27% dark matter
- ~68% dark energy

Polarization of the CMB provides independent confirmation. E-mode polarization patterns arise from the same acoustic oscillations that produce temperature anisotropies, offering a cross-check on parameters like Ω_b and supporting the overall framework.

CMB in Cosmological Model Testing

The CMB is one of the most powerful tools for testing cosmological models:

Inflation: The temperature fluctuations exhibit a nearly scale-invariant power spectrum (the spectral index $n_s \approx 0.96$, slightly less than 1). This is a key prediction of inflationary theory. A perfectly scale-invariant spectrum would have $n_s = 1$; the slight tilt toward $n_s < 1$ matches what most inflationary models predict.

Primordial gravitational waves: Inflation should also produce gravitational waves that leave a distinctive B-mode polarization signature in the CMB. Detecting primordial B-modes would be direct evidence for inflation and would constrain the energy scale at which inflation occurred. This remains one of the major observational targets in cosmology.

Dark energy: CMB data alone constrain the dark energy density to $\Omega_\Lambda \approx 0.7$, but the constraints become much tighter when combined with other probes:

- Baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO) in galaxy surveys, which measure the same acoustic scale imprinted in the CMB but at later cosmic times
- Type Ia supernovae, which provide luminosity distance measurements that independently trace the expansion history

Fundamental physics: Precise CMB measurements test physics at energy scales far beyond what particle accelerators can reach. They probe the validity of general relativity on cosmic scales, constrain the number of neutrino species, set upper limits on neutrino masses, and test whether fundamental constants have changed over cosmic time.

Unit 6 – The Expanding Universe and Hubble's Law

6.1 Hubble's discovery and the expansion of the universe

Hubble's Discovery and the Expanding Universe

In the late 1920s, Edwin Hubble made observations that fundamentally changed how we understand the cosmos. By measuring the distances and motions of galaxies, he discovered that the universe is expanding uniformly in all directions. This single finding overturned the idea of a static universe and became the foundation for the Big Bang theory.

Hubble's Expanding Universe Observations

Hubble worked with the 100-inch Hooker telescope at Mount Wilson Observatory, which was the most powerful telescope of its time. His approach combined two key measurements: galaxy distances and galaxy redshifts.

Measuring distances with Cepheid variables: Hubble used Cepheid variable stars as "standard candles" to determine how far away galaxies were. Cepheids are stars that pulsate in brightness on a regular cycle, and there's a well-defined relationship between a Cepheid's pulsation period and its true luminosity. By comparing the true luminosity to the observed brightness, Hubble could calculate the distance to the galaxy containing that star.

Measuring redshifts from galaxy spectra: Hubble also analyzed the light spectra of galaxies and found that their spectral lines were shifted toward longer (redder) wavelengths. This is called redshift ($z > 0$), and it occurs because of the Doppler effect: when a light source moves away from you, the wavelengths of its light get stretched. A greater redshift means the galaxy is receding faster.

The key discovery: When Hubble plotted recession velocity against distance, he found a striking linear relationship. Galaxies that were farther away were moving away faster, in direct proportion. This is expressed as Hubble's Law:

$$v = H_0 \times d$$

- v = recession velocity of the galaxy (km/s)
- d = distance to the galaxy (Mpc, or megaparsecs)
- H_0 = the Hubble constant, which quantifies the expansion rate of the universe (km/s/Mpc)

This relationship held true in every direction Hubble looked, providing the first direct evidence that the universe is expanding uniformly.

Note: The Andromeda Galaxy is actually blueshifted (moving toward us) because its local gravitational motion toward the Milky Way overwhelms the cosmic expansion. Hubble's Law applies to galaxies far enough away that cosmic expansion dominates over local motions.

Significance of Hubble's Cosmic Discoveries

Before Hubble, most scientists assumed the universe was static and eternal. Even Einstein had added a "cosmological constant" to his equations of general relativity to keep the universe from expanding or contracting. Hubble's observations changed all of that.

- Overturned the static universe model. The data showed the universe is dynamic, not fixed in place. (The steady-state theory, which proposed the universe looks the same at all times, was a later alternative that also lost support as evidence for expansion accumulated.)
- Implied a finite age. If the universe is expanding now, you can run the clock backward to a time when everything was compressed together. Current measurements place the age of the universe at approximately 13.8 billion years.
- Laid the groundwork for the Big Bang theory. The expansion pointed to an origin from an extremely hot, dense state, which we now call the Big Bang.
- Revealed the true scale of the universe. Hubble showed that galaxies like those in the Coma Cluster and Ursa Major Cluster lie far beyond the Milky Way. The universe turned out to be vastly larger than anyone had imagined.

Implications of Universal Expansion

The discovery of expansion raised a natural question: what happens next? The answer depends on how much matter and energy the universe contains relative to its expansion rate.

There are three possible fates, determined by comparing the actual density of the universe to a critical density:

1. Open universe — If the density is below the critical value, gravity is too weak to stop the expansion. The universe expands forever.
2. Closed universe — If the density exceeds the critical value, gravity eventually halts the expansion and pulls everything back together in a "Big Crunch."
3. Flat universe — If the density exactly equals the critical value, expansion slows down over time but never fully stops.

Current observations suggest the universe is very close to flat, but with a twist: the expansion is actually accelerating.

The standard cosmological model (Lambda-CDM) accounts for this by incorporating two additional components:

- Dark matter — An invisible form of matter that doesn't emit or absorb light but exerts gravitational pull. It explains why galaxies rotate faster than visible matter alone would predict, and it provides the gravitational scaffolding for large-scale cosmic structure.
- Dark energy — A mysterious form of energy that permeates space and drives the accelerating expansion. It makes up roughly 68% of the total energy content of the universe, yet its fundamental nature remains one of the biggest open questions in physics.

6.2 The cosmic distance ladder and measuring cosmic distances

Measuring Cosmic Distances

Measuring how far away things are in space is one of the hardest problems in all of astronomy. No single method works at every distance, so astronomers use a series of overlapping techniques, each one calibrated by the one before it. This chain of methods is called the cosmic distance ladder, and every major result in cosmology, from the age of the universe to the rate of its expansion, depends on getting it right.

Rungs of the Cosmic Distance Ladder

The ladder works by starting with methods that are reliable for nearby objects, then using those results to calibrate techniques that reach farther out.

- Parallax — Works up to about 1,000 light-years (though the Gaia spacecraft has pushed this to several thousand). Measures the apparent shift in a star's position as Earth orbits the Sun. Nearby examples: Proxima Centauri, Alpha Centauri.

- Main sequence fitting — Works up to about 50,000 light-years, covering star clusters within the Milky Way. Compares the brightness and color pattern of stars in a distant cluster to a well-studied nearby cluster (like the Hyades) whose distance is already known from parallax.

- Cepheid variables — Works up to about 20 million light-years, reaching galaxies in the Local Group and slightly beyond. These pulsating stars follow a tight relationship between how fast they pulse and how luminous they are. Henrietta Leavitt discovered this relationship in 1912, and it remains one of the most important tools in distance measurement. Well-known Cepheids include Delta Cephei and Polaris.

- Type Ia supernovae — Works out to billions of light-years. When a white dwarf star explodes as a Type Ia supernova, it reaches a nearly uniform peak brightness, making it a powerful standard candle. Examples include SN 1972E and SN 2011fe.

- Hubble's Law and redshift — Reaches to the edge of the observable universe (about 46 billion light-years in comoving distance). Uses the relationship between a galaxy's redshift and its distance. At these scales, the other methods can't reach, so redshift is the only practical tool. Objects measured this way include the galaxy GN-z11.

Principles of Cosmic Distance Measurement

Parallax

As Earth orbits the Sun, a nearby star appears to shift slightly against the background of much more distant stars. The size of that angular shift is the parallax angle (p). The relationship between parallax angle (in arcseconds) and distance (in parsecs) is:

$d = \frac{1}{p}$

A star with a parallax of 0.5 arcseconds is 2 parsecs away. Larger parallax angles mean closer stars; smaller angles mean more distant ones. One parsec equals about 3.26 light-years.

Cepheid Variables

Cepheids are stars that physically pulsate, expanding and contracting in a regular cycle. The key discovery is the period-luminosity relationship: a Cepheid with a longer pulsation period has a higher intrinsic luminosity. To find the distance:

1. Observe the Cepheid and measure its pulsation period.
2. Use the period-luminosity relationship to determine its intrinsic (true) luminosity.
3. Measure its apparent brightness as seen from Earth.
4. Apply the inverse square law to calculate the distance. The inverse square law says that apparent brightness drops with the square of the distance, so a star that's twice as far away appears four times dimmer.

Type Ia Supernovae

A Type Ia supernova occurs when a white dwarf in a binary system accumulates enough mass to trigger a thermonuclear explosion. Because these explosions happen under similar physical conditions, they reach a nearly consistent peak luminosity. This makes them standard candles: you know roughly how bright the explosion actually was, so by measuring how bright it appears, you can calculate the distance using the inverse square law, just like with Cepheids.

Type Ia supernovae are bright enough to be seen across billions of light-years, which is what makes them so valuable for cosmology.

Limitations of Distance Measurement Methods

Every rung of the ladder has weaknesses, and errors at one level propagate upward to every rung above it.

- Parallax — The angular shifts become impossibly tiny for distant stars. Measurements can also be thrown off by a star's own motion through space (proper motion) or by unseen companion stars tugging on its position.

- Main sequence fitting — Assumes that the distant cluster has a similar age and chemical composition to the reference cluster. Interstellar dust can dim and redden starlight, making stars appear farther away than they are. Uncertainties in stellar evolution models add further error.

- Cepheid variables — Identifying individual Cepheids in distant galaxies is difficult; they can be blended with other stars. The period-luminosity relationship itself has some scatter, partly because Cepheids with different chemical compositions (metallicities) don't all follow exactly the same relationship.

- Type Ia supernovae — Not all Type Ia supernovae are perfectly identical. Astronomers use empirical corrections (like the "stretch" of the light curve) to standardize them, but residual variation remains. Dust along the line of sight can also dim the supernova, mimicking a greater distance. And these events are rare, so you can't always observe one when you need it.

•

Hubble's Law and redshift — At relatively short distances, a galaxy's peculiar velocity (its own motion through space, separate from the expansion of the universe) can be a significant fraction of its recession velocity, making the distance estimate unreliable. At very large distances, you need to know the precise cosmological model (including the values of matter density and dark energy) to convert redshift into distance.

Scale of the Universe via the Distance Ladder

Each rung of the ladder is calibrated by the rung below it, forming a chain:

1. Parallax measurements pin down distances to nearby stars.
2. Main sequence fitting, calibrated by parallax distances to nearby clusters, extends the scale to clusters across the Milky Way.
3. Cepheid variables, calibrated using parallax and main sequence fitting, reach into neighboring galaxies.
4. Type Ia supernovae, calibrated using Cepheids in the same host galaxies, push the scale out to billions of light-years.
5. Hubble's Law, calibrated using Type Ia supernovae, covers the rest of the observable universe.

The overlapping distance ranges between rungs are what hold the whole system together. Where two methods can both measure the same object, astronomers cross-check for consistency. If the rungs don't agree in the overlap zone, something is wrong with the calibration.

This ladder is also how astronomers measure the Hubble constant (H_0), the number that relates a galaxy's recession velocity to its distance. Getting H_0 right is critical because it sets the expansion rate and, by extension, the age and size of the observable universe. Current tension between different measurements of H_0 (around 67 vs. 73 km/s/Mpc depending on the method) is one of the biggest open questions in cosmology, and much of that debate traces back to how well each rung of the distance ladder is calibrated.

6.3 Redshift and its relationship to expansion

Redshift and Cosmological Expansion

Redshift: Causes and Definition

Redshift happens when light from a distant object arrives at Earth with a longer wavelength than it had when it was emitted. The spectral lines you'd normally see at specific wavelengths get shifted toward the red end of the electromagnetic spectrum. That means the observed wavelength is longer, and the observed frequency is lower, than what the source originally produced.

There are two main causes of redshift:

- Doppler effect — This occurs when a light source is physically moving away from the observer. The relative motion stretches the wavelength of the emitted light. Think of how an ambulance siren drops in pitch as it drives away from you; light behaves similarly, just with wavelength instead of sound pitch.
- Cosmological redshift — This is caused by the expansion of space itself. As the universe expands, it stretches the wavelength of light that's already traveling through it. The source and observer don't need to be moving relative to each other in any traditional sense. A common analogy: imagine dots drawn on a rubber sheet. As you stretch the sheet, the dots move apart not because they're sliding across the

surface, but because the surface itself is expanding.

The distinction matters. Doppler redshift comes from an object's motion through space. Cosmological redshift comes from space expanding while light is in transit.

Redshift-Distance Relationship

Objects that are farther away from us tend to have greater redshifts. A distant galaxy cluster like Abell 2744 (roughly 3.5 billion light-years away) shows a much larger redshift than a relatively nearby galaxy. This pattern isn't random; it reflects the expansion of the universe.

Hubble's law formalizes this relationship:

$v = H_0 \times d$

- v = the galaxy's recessional velocity (how fast it's moving away from us)
- H_0 = the Hubble constant, which describes the current expansion rate of the universe (approximately 70 km/s/Mpc)
- d = the distance to the galaxy

The logic is straightforward: because the universe is expanding, more distant galaxies have had more space expand between them and us, so they recede faster and show greater redshifts.

Note: The Andromeda Galaxy is actually blueshifted because it's close enough that its local gravitational motion toward the Milky Way overwhelms the cosmological expansion. Hubble's law applies to the large-scale behavior of distant galaxies, not to nearby objects dominated by local gravity.

Galaxy Recessional Velocity Calculations

To find how fast a galaxy is receding, you first calculate its redshift from observed spectral lines:

$z = \frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda_0} = \frac{\lambda_{obs} - \lambda_0}{\lambda_0}$

- z = redshift (dimensionless)
- λ_{obs} = the wavelength you actually observe
- λ_0 = the wavelength the light was emitted at (the rest wavelength)
- $\Delta\lambda$ = the difference between observed and rest wavelengths

Step-by-step example: Suppose a hydrogen spectral line normally emitted at $\lambda_0 = 486$ nm is observed at $\lambda_{obs} = 510$ nm.

1. Find the change in wavelength: $\Delta\lambda = 510 - 486 = 24$ nm
2. Calculate redshift: $z = \frac{24}{486} \approx 0.049$
3. Since $z \ll 1$, use the non-relativistic approximation: $v = c \times z$
4. $v = (3 \times 10^5 \text{ km/s}) \times 0.049 \approx 14{,}700$ km/s

Which formula to use for velocity:

- For small redshifts ($z \ll 1$), the non-relativistic Doppler formula works well: $v = c \times z$
- For large redshifts ($z \geq 1$), you need the relativistic Doppler formula to avoid getting velocities that exceed the speed of light: $v = c \times \frac{(1+z)^2 - 1}{(1+z)^2 + 1}$

In both formulas, c is the speed of light and z is the redshift.

Evidence for Universe Expansion

Edwin Hubble's 1929 discovery that galaxies show a systematic relationship between distance and redshift was the first direct observational evidence for an expanding universe. Farther galaxies consistently recede faster. Deep surveys like the Hubble Deep Field confirmed this pattern across billions of light-years.

This observation rules out a static universe. In a universe that isn't expanding, there would be no reason for redshift to correlate with distance in any systematic way.

Hubble's discovery became the observational foundation for the Big Bang theory. Several independent lines of evidence further support expansion:

- Cosmic microwave background radiation (CMB) — Residual thermal radiation from roughly 380,000 years after the Big Bang, detected uniformly in all directions. Its existence and properties match predictions of a universe that expanded and cooled from an extremely hot, dense state.
- Primordial nucleosynthesis — The observed abundances of light elements (hydrogen, helium, deuterium, lithium) match what models predict would form in the first few minutes of a hot, expanding universe.
- Large-scale structure — The distribution of galaxy clusters and superclusters across the cosmos is consistent with a universe that has been expanding and evolving over billions of years.

Together, these observations form a coherent picture: the universe has been expanding since its origin, and redshift is one of the most direct ways to measure that expansion.

6.4 The Hubble-Lemaître law and its implications

The Hubble-Lemaître Law

The Hubble-Lemaître Law describes a direct relationship between how far away a galaxy is and how fast it's moving away from us. This single equation gives cosmologists a way to estimate distances to remote galaxies, approximate the age of the universe, and provide key observational evidence for the Big Bang theory.

Hubble-Lemaître Law Formulation

The law states that a galaxy's recessional velocity is directly proportional to its distance from us:

$$v = H_0 \cdot d$$

- v = recessional velocity of the galaxy (km/s)
- d = distance to the galaxy (Mpc, or megaparsecs)
- H_0 = the Hubble constant (km/s/Mpc)

This proportional relationship means that if you double the distance, you double the recessional velocity. A galaxy 200 Mpc away recedes twice as fast as one at 100 Mpc.

Hubble Constant Interpretation

H_0 quantifies the current expansion rate of the universe. Its units, km/s/Mpc, tell you how much additional recessional velocity you get for each additional megaparsec of distance.

For example, if $H_0 = 70$ km/s/Mpc, then for every 1 Mpc farther away a galaxy is, its recessional velocity increases by 70 km/s. A galaxy at 100 Mpc would be receding at $70 \times 100 = 7{,}000$ km/s.

The precise value of H_0 is still debated. Measurements from the cosmic microwave background (via the Planck satellite) give roughly 67.4 km/s/Mpc, while measurements using nearby supernovae and Cepheid variables give closer to 73 km/s/Mpc. This discrepancy is known as the Hubble tension and is an active area of research.

Galaxy Distance Calculations

If you know a galaxy's recessional velocity (measured from its redshift) and the value of H_0, you can rearrange the law to find its distance:

$d = \frac{v}{H_0}$

Worked example:

1. A galaxy has a measured recessional velocity of $v = 50{,}000$ km/s.
2. Assume $H_0 = 70$ km/s/Mpc.
3. Plug into the equation: $d = \frac{50{,}000}{70} \approx 714$ Mpc.

So the galaxy is roughly 714 megaparsecs away. Keep in mind this method works best for galaxies far enough away that the overall cosmic expansion dominates over local motions (called peculiar velocities). Nearby galaxies can have peculiar velocities large enough to distort the result.

Implications and Relation to the Expanding Universe

Implications of the Hubble-Lemaître Law

The law carries several major consequences for our understanding of the cosmos.

Age of the universe: The inverse of the Hubble constant, $1/H_0$, gives an estimate called the Hubble time. This represents how long the universe has been expanding, assuming the expansion rate has been constant. With $H_0 = 70$ km/s/Mpc, converting units gives a Hubble time of roughly 14 billion years. The actual age (about 13.8 billion years from more detailed models) differs slightly because the expansion rate has not been constant: it decelerated due to gravity for most of cosmic history and has been accelerating more recently due to dark energy.

Size of the observable universe: The law implies a finite observable universe. There's a maximum distance from which light has had time to reach us since the Big Bang. Beyond that horizon, objects exist but their light hasn't arrived yet.

Fate of the universe: What happens in the long run depends on the density of matter and energy compared to a critical density:

- If the total density exceeds the critical value, gravity could eventually halt and reverse the expansion (a "Big Crunch").
- If the density is below the critical value, expansion continues forever, leading to a "Big Freeze" or "Heat Death" as the universe cools toward maximum entropy.
- Current observations suggest the expansion is actually accelerating due to dark energy, making continued expansion the most likely outcome.

The Expanding Universe Concept

The Hubble-Lemaître Law provides direct observational evidence that the universe is expanding. An important distinction: the galaxies aren't flying through space away from us. Instead, the space between galaxies is stretching. Every galaxy sees all other distant galaxies receding, so there's no special center of expansion.

This expansion is a central prediction of the Big Bang theory, which describes the universe beginning in an extremely hot, dense state and expanding and cooling ever since. Several independent lines of evidence support this picture:

- Cosmic microwave background (CMB): Faint thermal radiation left over from about 380,000 years after the Big Bang, detected uniformly in all directions.
- Light element abundances: The observed ratios of hydrogen, helium, and lithium match predictions from nucleosynthesis in the first few minutes of the universe.
- Large-scale structure: The distribution of galaxy clusters, filaments, and voids across the cosmos matches models of how matter clumps together in an expanding universe over billions of years.

Together with the Hubble-Lemaître Law, these observations form a consistent and well-tested framework for understanding cosmic history.

Unit 7 – Dark Matter's Role in Cosmic Structure

7.1 Evidence for dark matter in galaxies and clusters

Dark matter, the invisible cosmic mystery, plays a crucial role in shaping galaxies and clusters. Evidence for its existence comes from unexpected rotation curves, gravitational lensing effects, and velocity dispersions that can't be explained by visible matter alone.

The discrepancy between visible and dynamical mass in cosmic structures is a key indicator of dark matter's presence. This unseen substance forms massive halos around galaxies and clusters, profoundly influencing their formation and evolution.

Evidence for Dark Matter in Galaxies

Rotation curves and dark matter

- Rotation curves map orbital velocities of stars and gas vs distance from galactic center
- Expect velocities to decrease with distance (Kepler's laws)
- Observe velocities remain constant or increase with distance
- Flat rotation curves imply extra, unseen mass beyond visible galaxy disk
- Extra mass attributed to dark matter halos around galaxies
- "Galaxy rotation problem" = discrepancy between expected and observed rotation curves
- Dark matter provides extra gravity to explain flat rotation curves

Gravitational lensing in galaxy clusters

- Gravitational lensing bends light path from distant objects by massive foreground objects (galaxy clusters)
- Strong lensing creates multiple images, arcs, Einstein rings of background source
- Weak lensing causes small distortions in shapes of background galaxies
- Gravitational lensing in galaxy clusters much higher than expected from visible matter alone
- Suggests extra, unseen mass in clusters
- Gravitational lensing mass maps show dark matter more widely distributed than visible matter in clusters
- Dark matter forms large, diffuse halos beyond visible boundaries of galaxies and clusters

Dark Matter in Galaxy Clusters

Dark matter and galaxy velocity dispersions

- Velocity dispersion = range of velocities of galaxies within a cluster

- Higher velocity dispersions indicate more massive cluster
- Observed velocity dispersions in clusters much higher than expected from visible mass of member galaxies
- Suggests extra, unseen mass holding cluster together gravitationally
- Dark matter halos around galaxy clusters provide extra gravitational potential to explain high velocity dispersions
- Without dark matter, clusters would not be gravitationally bound and would disperse

Visible vs dynamical mass discrepancy

- Visible mass estimated from observable stars, gas, dust
- Measured using stellar population synthesis, multi-wavelength observations
- Dynamical mass = total mass inferred from gravitational effects
- Estimated using rotation curves, gravitational lensing, velocity dispersions
- Dynamical mass of galaxies and clusters consistently much larger than visible mass
- Mass discrepancy typically factor of 5-10 for individual galaxies, even higher for clusters
- Significant visible vs dynamical mass discrepancy key evidence for dark matter
- Dark matter accounts for "missing mass" to reconcile observations with laws of gravity

7.2 Dark matter candidates and detection methods

Dark matter makes up roughly 27% of the universe's total energy density, yet no one has directly confirmed what it's made of. Understanding the leading candidates and how physicists are trying to detect them is central to modern cosmology and particle physics alike.

Dark Matter Candidates

Properties of dark matter candidates

Any viable dark matter candidate has to satisfy a few basic requirements: it must be gravitationally interacting, stable on cosmological timescales, and produced in the right abundance in the early universe to match the observed relic density. The candidates below differ mainly in their mass, how they were produced, and how (if at all) they interact with ordinary matter beyond gravity.

Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs)

WIMPs have been the leading candidate for decades, largely because of the so-called "WIMP miracle." If you assume a stable particle with a mass in the GeV-to-TeV range that interacts at roughly the strength of the weak nuclear force, the predicted relic abundance from thermal freeze-out in the early universe naturally matches the observed dark matter density. That coincidence is striking.

- Predicted by supersymmetry (SUSY) and other extensions of the Standard Model
- Interact with ordinary matter through the weak force and gravity
- Classified as cold dark matter because they were non-relativistic when they decoupled from the primordial plasma

- Their relatively large mass and weak-scale interactions make them, in principle, detectable by several complementary methods

Axions

Axions were originally proposed to solve the strong CP problem in quantum chromodynamics (QCD). The Standard Model allows CP-violating interactions in the strong force, but experiments show this violation is essentially zero. The Peccei-Quinn mechanism explains this by introducing a new symmetry whose breaking produces the axion as a light pseudoscalar particle.

- Extremely light, with predicted masses around 10^{-5} eV (billions of times lighter than a neutrino)
- Produced non-thermally in the early universe, so despite their tiny mass they behave as cold dark matter on cosmological scales
- Can form a Bose-Einstein condensate because they are bosons with very high occupation numbers
- Interact extraordinarily weakly with photons and ordinary matter, but a small axion-photon coupling does exist and forms the basis for detection strategies

Sterile Neutrinos

Standard Model neutrinos interact via the weak force, but sterile neutrinos would interact only through gravity and through a small mixing with active neutrino flavors.

- Candidate masses are typically in the keV range, placing them between standard neutrinos (sub-eV) and WIMPs (GeV-TeV)
- Classified as warm dark matter, meaning they have intermediate free-streaming lengths that could suppress small-scale structure compared to cold dark matter predictions
- Could explain observed neutrino oscillation patterns and, through a process called leptogenesis, potentially help account for the matter-antimatter asymmetry in the universe
- Their radiative decay into an active neutrino and a photon would produce a monoenergetic X-ray line, giving a specific observational signature to search for

Dark Matter Detection Methods

Principles of direct detection methods

Direct detection experiments try to catch dark matter particles scattering off atomic nuclei inside a detector. The basic idea: as Earth moves through the Milky Way's dark matter halo, dark matter particles should occasionally collide with nuclei in a target material, depositing a tiny amount of energy. The challenge is that these interactions are incredibly rare and deposit very little energy, so detectors must be extraordinarily sensitive and shielded from background noise.

Cryogenic detectors

- Operate at millikelvin temperatures to suppress thermal noise, making it possible to register energy deposits as small as a few keV
- Measure some combination of heat (phonons), ionization, and scintillation light from a nuclear recoil event; using multiple channels simultaneously helps distinguish a genuine signal from background
- Target materials include germanium and silicon crystals

• Examples: SuperCDMS, CRESST, and EDELWEISS, all housed deep underground (e.g., in mines or tunnels) to shield against cosmic ray backgrounds

Noble liquid scintillators

• Use large volumes of liquid xenon or liquid argon as both the target and the detection medium

• When a particle scatters off a nucleus, the recoiling nucleus produces both scintillation light and ionization electrons; measuring the ratio of these two signals allows powerful discrimination between nuclear recoils (potential dark matter) and electron recoils (background)

• Xenon is particularly effective because its high atomic mass enhances the expected WIMP scattering rate, and it can be purified to extremely low radioactive contamination levels

• Examples: XENON1T/XENONnT, LUX/LZ, and DarkSide, which have produced the most stringent upper limits on the WIMP-nucleon scattering cross-section to date

Indirect detection of dark matter

Instead of waiting for a dark matter particle to hit a detector on Earth, indirect detection looks outward. If dark matter particles annihilate with each other or decay, they should produce Standard Model particles (gamma rays, neutrinos, positrons, etc.) that telescopes can pick up. The key is to look where dark matter is densest, since the annihilation rate scales as the square of the local dark matter density.

Gamma-ray signatures

• Target regions with high dark matter density: the Galactic Center, dwarf spheroidal galaxies (which are dark-matter-dominated and have low astrophysical backgrounds), and galaxy clusters

• Space-based instruments like Fermi-LAT survey the gamma-ray sky continuously, while ground-based Cherenkov telescopes like H.E.S.S., MAGIC, and VERITAS detect higher-energy gamma rays

• By comparing observed gamma-ray spectra from these regions against predictions for specific WIMP masses and annihilation channels, physicists can constrain or potentially identify the thermal annihilation cross-section (roughly 3×10^{-26} cm^3/s for a thermal relic)

X-ray signatures

• Particularly relevant for sterile neutrino dark matter: a sterile neutrino with mass m_s decaying into an active neutrino and a photon would produce a monoenergetic X-ray line at energy $E = m_s/2$

• X-ray telescopes like XMM-Newton, Chandra, and NuSTAR search for such lines in the spectra of galaxy clusters and other dark-matter-rich systems

• A debated detection of a ~3.5 keV line in several galaxy clusters generated significant interest, but its dark matter origin remains unconfirmed and controversial

• These observations constrain the sterile neutrino mixing angle with active neutrinos as a function of mass

Neutrino signatures

• Dark matter particles gravitationally captured by the Sun or Earth can accumulate in their cores and annihilate, producing high-energy neutrinos that escape and can be detected

• Neutrino telescopes like IceCube (at the South Pole), ANTARES (Mediterranean), and Super-Kamiokande (Japan) look for an excess of neutrinos coming from the direction of the Sun or

Galactic Center

- This approach is especially sensitive to the spin-dependent WIMP-nucleon scattering cross-section, which is harder to probe with direct detection experiments

Status of detection experiments

Current status

No definitive, confirmed detection of dark matter particles exists as of now. That said, the experimental program has been enormously productive in a different sense: it has ruled out large swaths of parameter space.

- Direct detection experiments have pushed upper limits on the WIMP-nucleon cross-section down by several orders of magnitude over the past two decades, excluding many SUSY models that were once considered natural
- Indirect searches have constrained annihilation cross-sections for WIMPs below ~100 GeV in several channels, approaching the thermal relic benchmark for some mass ranges
- The LHC has not found supersymmetric particles, further tightening the viable parameter space for WIMP models

Future prospects

- Next-generation direct detection: XENONnT, LUX-ZEPLIN (LZ), and the planned DARWIN experiment aim to improve sensitivity by one to two orders of magnitude, eventually approaching the "neutrino floor" where coherent neutrino-nucleus scattering becomes an irreducible background
- Gamma-ray observatories: The Cherenkov Telescope Array (CTA) will dramatically improve sensitivity to TeV-scale gamma rays, with the potential to detect or definitively rule out thermal WIMPs across a broad mass range
- Axion searches: Experiments like ADMX (Axion Dark Matter eXperiment) are scanning the predicted axion mass window with increasing sensitivity using resonant microwave cavities in strong magnetic fields
- Gravitational wave observatories: LISA could potentially detect signatures from primordial black holes, another dark matter candidate class
- Collider searches: The High-Luminosity LHC will continue probing for missing-energy signatures consistent with dark matter production

What would confirmation look like?

A single experiment claiming a signal is not enough. Consistent signals across multiple, independent detection methods would be needed to build a convincing case. For example, a direct detection signal at a particular WIMP mass combined with a matching gamma-ray excess and a collider signature at the corresponding energy scale would be far more compelling than any one result alone. This multi-messenger approach is how physicists plan to eventually pin down the nature of dark matter, or determine that the answer lies with a candidate no one has proposed yet.

7.3 Role of dark matter in structure formation

Dark matter plays a crucial role in shaping the universe we see today. It forms a cosmic web, providing the gravitational scaffolding for galaxies and clusters to form and evolve. Without dark matter, the structures we observe wouldn't exist.

Dark matter halos are the building blocks of cosmic structure. They create gravitational wells that attract regular matter, leading to the formation of galaxies and clusters. Understanding dark matter is key to explaining how our universe grew from tiny fluctuations to the complex tapestry we see now.

The Role of Dark Matter in Cosmic Structure Formation

Role of dark matter in cosmic structures

- Dark matter provides gravitational scaffolding for formation and evolution of cosmic structures
- Forms a cosmic web with filaments and voids (Sloan Great Wall, Bootes Void)
- Galaxies and clusters form within dark matter halos (Milky Way, Virgo Cluster)
- Dark matter halos are sites of structure formation
- Provide gravitational potential wells for baryonic matter to collapse and form structures
- Dark matter influences growth of density perturbations in early universe
- These perturbations eventually evolve into observed large-scale structure (Cosmic Microwave Background anisotropies)
- Dark matter is essential for stability and dynamics of galaxies and clusters
- Helps explain observed rotation curves of galaxies and gravitational lensing effects in clusters (Bullet Cluster)

Dark matter halos for galaxy formation

- Dark matter halos are gravitationally bound structures that form from collapse of dark matter density perturbations
- Gravitational potential wells created by dark matter halos attract baryonic matter
- Baryonic matter (gas and dust) falls into these potential wells
- Infalling baryonic matter undergoes gravitational collapse and forms galaxies and clusters (Milky Way, Andromeda)
- Depth of gravitational potential well determines properties of formed structures
- Deeper potential wells lead to formation of more massive and luminous galaxies and clusters (Coma Cluster)
- Distribution of dark matter halos in universe determines spatial distribution of galaxies and clusters
- Galaxies and clusters are found to reside within dark matter halos (Local Group)

Dark matter in early universe

- In early universe, dark matter played crucial role in growth of density perturbations
- Dark matter is non-baryonic and does not interact with radiation
- Started to form structures earlier than baryonic matter, which was coupled to radiation
- Dark matter density perturbations grew through gravitational instability

- These perturbations attracted more dark matter and baryonic matter, leading to formation of halos
- Growth of dark matter perturbations set stage for formation of first galaxies and stars
- Baryonic matter could collapse into dark matter halos once it decoupled from radiation (Cosmic Dark Ages)
- Power spectrum of dark matter density perturbations determined initial conditions for structure formation
- Shape and amplitude of power spectrum influenced distribution and properties of resulting cosmic structures (Harrison-Zel'dovich spectrum)

Cosmological simulations with dark matter

- Cosmological simulations that include dark matter have been instrumental in understanding structure formation
- These simulations follow evolution of dark matter particles under influence of gravity (N-body simulations)
- Also incorporate baryonic physics (gas dynamics, star formation) to model formation of galaxies and clusters
- Simulations predict formation of a cosmic web with filaments and voids
- Dark matter halos are found at intersections of filaments
- Properties of halos (mass, size) depend on their location within cosmic web
- Simulations reproduce observed statistical properties of large-scale structure
- Predict clustering of galaxies and existence of superclusters and voids (Millennium Simulation)
- Simulated galaxy population matches observed luminosity and mass functions
- Simulations also provide insights into internal structure of dark matter halos
- Predict existence of subhalos and density profiles of halos (Navarro-Frenk-White profile)
- These predictions can be compared with observations of galaxy rotation curves and gravitational lensing

7.4 Alternative theories to dark matter

Dark matter has long been the go-to explanation for mysterious gravitational effects in the cosmos. But what if there's another way? Some scientists propose tweaking gravity itself to explain these phenomena without invoking invisible matter.

These alternative theories, like Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND) and tensor-vector-scalar gravity, aim to explain galaxy rotation curves and other observations by modifying our understanding of gravity. While intriguing, they face challenges in matching dark matter's success across all cosmic scales.

Alternative Theories to Dark Matter

Alternative theories to dark matter

- Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND)

- Proposes a modification to Newton's laws of motion at low accelerations, suggesting that the gravitational force experienced by an object depends on its acceleration, not just its mass
- Aims to explain the observed rotation curves of galaxies without invoking dark matter by introducing a new acceleration scale below which the gravitational force deviates from Newtonian predictions
- Modified Gravity Theories
- Tensor-Vector-Scalar gravity (TeVeS)
- Extends general relativity by introducing additional fields: a tensor field representing the metric, a vector field, and a scalar field
- Attempts to reproduce the success of MOND in explaining galaxy rotation curves while remaining consistent with the principles of general relativity and avoiding the need for dark matter
- Scalar-Tensor-Vector Gravity (STVG) or Modified Gravity (MOG)
- Introduces a repulsive force mediated by a vector field that counteracts gravity at large scales, becoming significant at galactic and cosmological distances
- Claims to explain the rotation curves of galaxies and the dynamics of galaxy clusters without requiring the presence of dark matter by modifying the strength of gravity at different scales

Predictions of alternative vs dark matter theories

- Rotation Curves of Galaxies
- Dark Matter Hypothesis
- Predicts the existence of a dark matter halo surrounding galaxies, providing additional gravitational pull to explain the flat rotation curves observed in spiral galaxies (Milky Way, Andromeda)
- Suggests that the velocity of stars and gas in the outer regions of galaxies remains constant due to the presence of a dark matter halo
- MOND and Modified Gravity Theories
- Modify the laws of gravity to explain the rotation curves without requiring dark matter, predicting a specific relationship between the acceleration of stars and their distance from the galactic center
- Propose that the gravitational force experienced by stars in the outer regions of galaxies is stronger than predicted by Newtonian dynamics, eliminating the need for dark matter
- Gravitational Lensing
- Dark Matter Hypothesis
- Predicts that concentrations of dark matter will cause gravitational lensing effects, bending the path of light from distant sources (quasars, galaxies)
- Explains the observed gravitational lensing in galaxy clusters (Abell 1689, Bullet Cluster) and around massive objects by the presence of dark matter
- Modified Gravity Theories
- Need to reproduce the successful predictions of general relativity regarding gravitational lensing, as the bending of light is a key test of gravity theories

- Some theories, like TeVeS, aim to explain gravitational lensing effects without invoking dark matter by modifying the gravitational metric
- Bullet Cluster
- Dark Matter Hypothesis
- Predicts the separation of the gravitational potential from the baryonic matter in merging galaxy clusters due to the collisionless nature of dark matter
- Explains the observed offset between the gravitational lensing (indicating the location of the majority of mass) and X-ray emission (tracing the hot gas) in the Bullet Cluster (1E 0657-56)
- Modified Gravity Theories
- Face challenges in explaining the Bullet Cluster observations, as the apparent separation of the gravitational potential from the baryonic matter is difficult to reconcile with modified gravity alone
- Need to account for the offset between the lensing and X-ray observations without relying on the presence of dark matter

Observational tests for alternative theories

- Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB)
- Alternative theories must reproduce the successful predictions of the dark matter hypothesis regarding the CMB power spectrum, including the heights and positions of the acoustic peaks (Planck satellite)
- Modified gravity theories may struggle to simultaneously fit the CMB observations, which are well-explained by the dark matter hypothesis, and the late-time acceleration of the universe
- Large-Scale Structure Formation
- Alternative theories need to explain the observed large-scale structure of the universe, including the filaments and voids revealed by galaxy surveys (Sloan Digital Sky Survey, Dark Energy Survey)
- Modified gravity theories may face challenges in reproducing the correct matter power spectrum and the growth of structure over time, which are well-described by the dark matter hypothesis
- Gravitational Waves
- Alternative theories must be consistent with the observations of gravitational waves from binary mergers (LIGO, Virgo), as these provide tests of gravity in the strong-field regime
- Some modified gravity theories may predict different gravitational wave signatures compared to general relativity, potentially leading to observational tests
- Particle Physics Constraints
- Alternative theories should be consistent with the constraints from particle physics experiments (Large Hadron Collider) and observations (neutrino experiments, dark matter direct detection)
- Modified gravity theories may introduce new particles or fields that need to satisfy existing experimental bounds and avoid conflicts with established particle physics models

Viability of alternatives vs dark matter

- Scientific Consensus

- The dark matter hypothesis remains the most widely accepted explanation for the observed gravitational effects in galaxies and clusters, supported by a broad range of cosmological observations
- Alternative theories, while intriguing and actively explored, have not yet gained the same level of consensus among the scientific community due to their limitations in explaining the full range of observations
- Observational Support
- The dark matter hypothesis has been successful in explaining a wide range of cosmological observations, from the CMB power spectrum to the large-scale structure of the universe and the dynamics of galaxies and clusters
- Alternative theories, such as MOND and modified gravity, have had some success in explaining specific observations (galaxy rotation curves) but struggle to account for the full range of cosmological data (gravitational lensing, Bullet Cluster)
- Theoretical Challenges
- Alternative theories often require fine-tuning of parameters or introduce additional complexity compared to the dark matter hypothesis, which relies on a single new component (dark matter particles)
- Modified gravity theories may face theoretical challenges in reconciling with well-established principles of general relativity and quantum field theory, requiring significant modifications to fundamental physics
- Ongoing Research
- While the dark matter hypothesis remains the leading explanation, researchers continue to explore and test alternative theories, recognizing the importance of considering multiple approaches to understanding the nature of gravity and the universe
- Future observations and experiments, such as more sensitive gravitational wave detectors (LISA) and larger galaxy surveys (Euclid, LSST), may provide new insights into the nature of dark matter and the viability of alternative theories

Unit 8 – Dark Energy and the Accelerating Universe

8.1 Discovery of cosmic acceleration

The discovery of cosmic acceleration in the late 1990s rocked the scientific world. By observing distant supernovae, researchers found the universe was expanding faster than expected. This surprising find challenged existing theories and hinted at a mysterious force at work.

This discovery opened up a whole new realm of cosmic mysteries. It led to the concept of dark energy, a strange force pushing the universe apart. Now, scientists are working to understand what this means for the universe's future and our place in it.

Discovery of Cosmic Acceleration

Discovery of accelerating cosmic expansion

- Observations of distant Type Ia supernovae in the late 1990s revealed unexpected dimming
- Type Ia supernovae serve as standard candles to measure cosmic distances due to their consistent peak luminosity
- Supernovae appeared dimmer than expected if the universe's expansion was decelerating, suggesting an accelerating expansion
- Comparison of observed supernova brightness with predicted brightness in a matter-dominated, decelerating universe
- Observed supernovae were about 25% fainter than expected (Supernova Cosmology Project, High-Z Supernova Search Team)
- This discrepancy provided strong evidence for an accelerating cosmic expansion, challenging prevailing models
- Confirmation from multiple independent research teams strengthened the discovery
- High-Z Supernova Search Team and the Supernova Cosmology Project independently found consistent evidence
- Robust data analysis techniques and cross-verification ensured the reliability of the findings (Hubble Space Telescope, Keck Observatory)

Type Ia supernovae in cosmic measurements

- Type Ia supernovae are excellent standard candles for measuring cosmic distances
- Consistent peak luminosity allows them to serve as reliable distance indicators across vast scales
- Well-understood relationship between peak brightness and rate of brightness decline (light curve) enables precise distance measurements
- Type Ia supernovae are bright enough to be observed in distant galaxies

- Visibility across vast cosmic distances allows probing the expansion history of the universe over a wide range of cosmic times
- Observations of supernovae in galaxies billions of light-years away provide a deep look into the universe's past (redshift $z > 1$)
- Comparing observed brightness of Type Ia supernovae with expected brightness reveals expansion rate at different epochs
- Differences between observed and expected brightness indicate the universe's expansion rate at various times in its history
- This comparison led to the groundbreaking discovery of cosmic acceleration, reshaping our understanding of the universe's evolution

Implications of cosmic acceleration

- Cosmic acceleration suggests the presence of dark energy, a hypothetical form of energy with negative pressure
- Dark energy permeates all of space and counteracts the gravitational attraction of matter, causing the universe's expansion to accelerate
- The nature of dark energy remains one of the greatest mysteries in modern cosmology, with possible explanations including the cosmological constant, scalar fields, or modifications to general relativity
- Understanding dark energy is crucial for determining the ultimate fate of the universe
- If dark energy continues to dominate, the universe may expand forever in a Big Freeze scenario, with galaxies and structures gradually dissipating
- Alternatively, dark energy could evolve over time, potentially leading to a Big Rip (universe tearing apart) or other exotic scenarios (phantom energy, quintessence)
- The discovery of cosmic acceleration has reshaped our understanding of the universe's composition
- Dark energy is estimated to make up about 68% of the universe's total energy density, with dark matter (~27%) and ordinary matter (~5%) making up the rest
- This finding has led to the development of the Lambda-CDM model, the current standard model of cosmology, which incorporates dark energy as the cosmological constant (Λ) and cold dark matter (CDM)

8.2 The nature and properties of dark energy

Dark Energy

Dark energy and universe expansion

Dark energy is a hypothetical form of energy that permeates all of space and drives the accelerating expansion of the universe. It's the leading explanation for a startling discovery made in the late 1990s: observations of distant Type Ia supernovae showed that the universe's expansion is speeding up, not slowing down as most physicists had expected.

- Two independent teams (the Supernova Cosmology Project and the High-z Supernova Search Team) found that distant supernovae were dimmer than predicted, meaning they were farther away than a decelerating universe would allow.

- The simplest explanation was that some unknown energy is pushing space apart at an increasing rate.

Dark energy is thought to make up roughly 68% of the total energy density of the universe, making it the dominant component by a wide margin. Dark matter accounts for about 27%, and ordinary (baryonic) matter makes up only about 5%.

Properties of dark energy

What makes dark energy so unusual is its negative pressure. In general relativity, pressure contributes to gravity. Normal matter and radiation have positive pressure, which adds to gravitational attraction and works to slow expansion. Dark energy flips this: its negative pressure produces a repulsive gravitational effect that accelerates expansion.

Two other key properties set dark energy apart:

- Uniform distribution. Dark energy doesn't clump the way matter does. It's spread evenly throughout space, with the same density everywhere.
- Constant density over time. As the universe expands, matter and radiation get diluted because the same amount of stuff occupies a larger volume. Dark energy does not dilute. Its energy density stays constant even as space stretches, which means its total contribution to the universe's energy budget grows over time relative to matter and radiation.

This combination of traits is why dark energy increasingly dominates the universe's behavior as expansion continues.

Dark energy vs other cosmic components

Dark energy is fundamentally different from both ordinary matter and dark matter:

- Matter has positive pressure; dark energy has negative pressure.
- Matter density decreases as the universe expands (spread over more volume); dark energy density remains constant.
- Dark matter interacts gravitationally and clumps into halos around galaxies; dark energy is smooth and uniform everywhere.
- Neither dark matter nor dark energy interacts with electromagnetic radiation, but dark matter's gravitational effects on visible structures (galaxy rotation curves, gravitational lensing) are more localized and easier to trace than dark energy's influence.

The simplest theoretical candidate for dark energy is the cosmological constant Λ, a term Einstein originally added to his field equations to allow for a static universe. He later dropped it, but the 1998 supernova results brought it back. If dark energy is indeed a cosmological constant, it represents a fixed energy density intrinsic to space itself, unchanging across all of cosmic history.

Other models exist. Quintessence, for example, proposes a dynamic scalar field whose energy density can change slowly over time. Distinguishing between a true cosmological constant and a slowly evolving field is one of the central goals of modern observational cosmology.

Challenges in dark energy detection

Dark energy doesn't emit, absorb, or scatter light. You can't point a telescope at it and see it. Its existence is entirely inferred from its effect on the large-scale expansion history of the universe.

Studying dark energy therefore requires measuring cosmic expansion with extreme precision over billions of years of lookback time. This demands:

- Massive sky surveys covering large fractions of the observable universe
- Sophisticated statistical techniques to extract tiny signals from noisy data
- Multiple independent methods (supernovae, baryon acoustic oscillations, weak gravitational lensing, galaxy cluster counts) to cross-check results

Several major experiments are designed to tighten constraints on dark energy's properties:

1. Dark Energy Survey (DES) — mapped hundreds of millions of galaxies to trace expansion and structure growth
2. Vera C. Rubin Observatory (formerly LSST) — will conduct a decade-long survey of the southern sky, tracking billions of objects
3. Nancy Grace Roman Space Telescope (formerly WFIRST) — a NASA space mission designed to measure dark energy through supernovae and weak lensing from above Earth's atmosphere
4. Euclid (ESA) — a space telescope launched in 2023, mapping the geometry of the universe over the last 10 billion years

These experiments aim to pin down the equation of state parameter w, which relates dark energy's pressure to its energy density ($w = P/\rho$). For a cosmological constant, $w = -1$ exactly. If observations find w deviating from -1, or changing over time, that would point toward a more complex form of dark energy and reshape our understanding of fundamental physics.

8.3 Observational evidence for dark energy

Dark energy, a mysterious force driving the universe's accelerating expansion, leaves its mark across cosmic history. From the early universe's echoes in the cosmic microwave background to the subtle distortions of distant galaxies, multiple lines of evidence point to its existence.

Observational techniques like Type Ia supernovae, gravitational lensing, and baryon acoustic oscillations help us track dark energy's influence. Each method has strengths and limitations, but together they paint a compelling picture of this enigmatic cosmic component shaping our universe's fate.

Observational Evidence for Dark Energy

Evidence from cosmic microwave background

- CMB is leftover radiation from early universe provides snapshot ~380,000 years after Big Bang
- Temperature fluctuations reveal geometry and content of universe
- Nearly uniform fluctuations in all directions indicate a flat universe
- Flatness requires specific total energy density including matter and dark energy (critical density)
- CMB power spectrum shows distribution of temperature fluctuations at different angular scales
- Location and height of peaks sensitive to cosmological parameters (matter density, dark energy density)
- Measurements of power spectrum suggest presence of dark energy to achieve flatness

Galaxy clusters and gravitational lensing

• Galaxy clusters are largest gravitationally bound structures in universe

• Formation and evolution influenced by expansion history of universe (slower expansion allows more time for growth)

• Gravitational lensing occurs when massive objects bend path of light from distant sources

• Strong lensing distorts image of background galaxies into arcs or multiple images (Einstein rings)

• Weak lensing subtly distorts shapes of background galaxies (cosmic shear)

• Studying mass distribution of galaxy clusters through lensing helps constrain matter density and dark energy

• Matter density affects growth of structure and strength of gravitational lensing (more matter, stronger lensing)

• Comparing observed mass distribution of clusters with theoretical predictions supports existence of dark energy

Baryon acoustic oscillations in expansion

• BAO are regular density fluctuations in distribution of galaxies

• Originated from sound waves in early universe before CMB was emitted (primordial plasma)

• BAO provide a "standard ruler" for measuring distances in universe

• Characteristic scale of BAO determined by physics of early universe (sound horizon)

• Scale can be measured at different redshifts to track expansion history

• Measuring apparent size of BAO at various redshifts helps constrain properties of dark energy

• Dark energy affects expansion rate and consequently observed BAO scale (larger scale at higher redshift)

• BAO measurements combined with other cosmological probes support existence of dark energy and its effect on cosmic expansion

Observational techniques for dark energy

• Type Ia supernovae

• Strengths: Standardizable candles, directly measure expansion history (luminosity distance vs. redshift)

• Limitations: Possible evolution of supernova properties over cosmic time, dust extinction

• Cosmic microwave background

• Strengths: Precise measurements of early universe, constrains total energy density

• Limitations: Indirect probe of dark energy, requires combining with other measurements

• Galaxy clusters and gravitational lensing

- Strengths: Sensitive to matter density and growth of structure, independent of galaxy formation physics
- Limitations: Complex systematics, requires large surveys for robust statistics (deep and wide imaging)
- Baryon acoustic oscillations
- Strengths: Standard ruler, measures expansion history at different redshifts
- Limitations: Requires large galaxy surveys, affected by galaxy bias and non-linear evolution (redshift-space distortions)

8.4 Theoretical models and the cosmological constant problem

The Cosmological Constant and Dark Energy

The cosmological constant sits at the intersection of general relativity and quantum physics, and the mismatch between what theory predicts and what we observe is one of the biggest open problems in all of physics. Understanding this tension is central to grasping why dark energy remains so mysterious.

Cosmological constant as dark energy

Einstein's field equations of general relativity include a term called the cosmological constant (Λ). This term represents a constant energy density that fills all of space uniformly. A positive Λ acts as a repulsive influence, pushing the expansion of the universe to accelerate rather than slow down. This is the simplest theoretical explanation for dark energy.

There's a natural connection to quantum physics here: quantum field theory predicts that even "empty" space has a non-zero energy density called vacuum energy. The idea is that this vacuum energy could be the physical source of Λ. In principle, you'd calculate the vacuum energy from quantum field theory, plug it in, and get the observed expansion rate. In practice, that calculation goes spectacularly wrong.

The cosmological constant problem

The cosmological constant problem is the enormous gap between the value of Λ we measure from observations (small and positive) and the value quantum field theory predicts. The predicted vacuum energy overshoots the observed value by roughly 120 orders of magnitude. That's not a small rounding error. It's widely considered one of the most significant unsolved problems in theoretical physics.

A few approaches have been proposed to address this:

- Fine-tuning: Assume some unknown mechanism nearly perfectly cancels the huge vacuum energy, leaving behind only the tiny observed Λ. This works mathematically but feels deeply unsatisfying because it requires an extraordinarily precise cancellation with no known physical reason behind it.
- The anthropic principle: Argue that Λ could take many different values across different regions of a multiverse, and we happen to live in a region where Λ is small enough for galaxies, stars, and observers to form. This is controversial because it shifts the question from "why this value?" to "we wouldn't be here to ask if it were different."

Neither approach has gained consensus, and the problem remains wide open.

Alternative Theoretical Models for Dark Energy

Because the cosmological constant carries such severe theoretical baggage, physicists have explored other ways to explain cosmic acceleration. The two main alternatives are dynamical dark energy fields and modifications to gravity itself.

Quintessence

Quintessence is a hypothetical form of dark energy described by a scalar field with an associated potential energy. Unlike Λ, which is constant everywhere and at all times, quintessence can vary over time and space. As the scalar field evolves and rolls along its potential, it can drive accelerated expansion.

The appeal of quintessence is that it can potentially ease the fine-tuning problem. Instead of requiring Λ to be set to an absurdly precise value from the start, some quintessence models have "tracker" solutions where the field naturally evolves toward a small effective dark energy density regardless of initial conditions.

The trade-off: quintessence requires introducing a new scalar field and a specific potential energy function, neither of which has been detected. Current observations are consistent with quintessence but haven't conclusively favored it over a plain cosmological constant.

Modified gravity theories

Rather than adding a new energy component to the universe, modified gravity theories propose that cosmic acceleration arises because general relativity itself needs correction on cosmological scales.

The most studied example is $f(R)$ gravity, which replaces the Ricci scalar R in the Einstein-Hilbert action with a general function $f(R)$. Standard general relativity is the special case where $f(R) = R$, so any deviation from that simple choice changes how gravity behaves at large scales.

These theories aim to explain acceleration without invoking dark energy at all. However, they face significant hurdles: they must reproduce all the precision tests that general relativity already passes (solar system dynamics, gravitational lensing, binary pulsars) while also explaining cosmic acceleration. Some versions introduce theoretical instabilities or unphysical "ghost" degrees of freedom that make them problematic.

Comparing the models

Model	Strengths	Weaknesses
Cosmological constant (Λ)	Simple; consistent with all current observations; arises naturally in general relativity	120-order-of-magnitude fine-tuning problem; offers no deeper explanation of dark energy's nature
Quintessence	Can ease fine-tuning via tracker solutions; allows dark energy to evolve over time	Requires a new, undetected scalar field and potential; not yet observationally distinguished from Λ
Modified gravity (e.g., $f(R)$)	Explains acceleration without new energy components; may reveal deeper gravitational physics	Difficult to satisfy all observational constraints; risk of instabilities and ghost degrees of freedom

No model currently "wins." The cosmological constant fits the data well but leaves the deepest theoretical question unanswered. Quintessence and modified gravity offer richer physics but at the cost of added complexity and, so far, no decisive observational support. Distinguishing between these possibilities is a major goal of current and upcoming surveys like DESI and the Euclid mission.

Unit 9 – The Formation and Evolution of Galaxies

9.1 Galaxy classification and properties

Galaxies come in various shapes and sizes, each with unique characteristics. The Hubble classification scheme organizes them into elliptical, spiral, lenticular, and irregular types based on their appearance. This system helps astronomers understand galaxy evolution and structure.

Each galaxy type has distinct properties. Spirals have young and old stars, gas, and dust, allowing ongoing star formation. Ellipticals are mostly old stars with little gas. Irregulars lack symmetry but can have active star formation. Most galaxies harbor supermassive black holes at their centers.

Galaxy Classification and Properties

Hubble galaxy classification scheme

• Organizes galaxies based on their morphology and appearance (Hubble tuning fork diagram)

• Main categories include:

• Elliptical galaxies (E0 to E7) have smooth, elliptical shapes and are numbered based on their ellipticity, with E0 being nearly spherical and E7 being highly elongated

• Spiral galaxies feature a disk-like structure with spiral arms and are further divided into normal spirals (Sa, Sb, Sc) and barred spirals (SBa, SBb, SBc), with the former having decreasing bulge size and spiral arm tightness from Sa to Sc, and the latter featuring a bar-like structure across the center

• Lenticular galaxies (S0) are a transitional type between elliptical and spiral galaxies, with a disk-like structure but no spiral arms

• Irregular galaxies (Irr) lack symmetry and regular structure and do not fit into the other categories

Properties of galaxy types

• Spiral galaxies:

• Contain a mix of young and old stars and are rich in gas and dust, allowing for ongoing star formation

• Feature a disk-like structure with spiral arms and a supermassive black hole at the center (Milky Way, Andromeda galaxy)

• Elliptical galaxies:

• Primarily composed of old, red stars with low amounts of gas and dust, resulting in little to no ongoing star formation

• Have a smooth, elliptical shape without spiral arms and a supermassive black hole at the center (Messier 87, Centaurus A)

• Irregular galaxies:

• Lack symmetry and regular structure and are often smaller than spiral and elliptical galaxies

- Contain a mix of young and old stars and may have high amounts of gas and dust, allowing for ongoing star formation in some regions (Large Magellanic Cloud, Small Magellanic Cloud)

Galaxy morphology and composition

- Spiral galaxies contain a mix of young, blue stars and old, red stars, are rich in gas and dust, and have ongoing star formation in the spiral arms

- Elliptical galaxies are primarily composed of old, red stars, have low amounts of gas and dust, and feature little to no ongoing star formation

- Irregular galaxies contain a mix of young and old stars and may have high amounts of gas and dust, allowing for ongoing star formation in some regions

Supermassive black holes in galaxies

- Supermassive black holes (SMBHs) are found at the centers of most massive galaxies and have masses ranging from millions to billions of times the mass of the Sun

- The mass of an SMBH correlates with the mass of its host galaxy's bulge (M-sigma relation), suggesting a co-evolution of SMBHs and their host galaxies

- Active galactic nuclei (AGN) are powered by the accretion of matter onto the SMBH and can outshine the entire host galaxy, with types including quasars, Seyfert galaxies, and blazars

- Feedback from SMBHs can affect galaxy evolution, with outflows and jets heating and expelling gas, suppressing star formation, which may explain the lack of gas and star formation in massive elliptical galaxies

9.2 Galaxy formation theories and models

Galaxy formation theories address one of cosmology's central questions: how did the vast structures we observe today arise from the nearly uniform early universe? Understanding these theories connects the physics of dark matter, gas dynamics, and gravitational collapse to the galaxies we actually see through telescopes.

Galaxy Formation Theories and Models

Theories of Galaxy Formation

Two competing models describe how galaxies formed, and each makes different predictions about what types of galaxies appeared first.

Monolithic Collapse Model proposes that galaxies formed from the rapid collapse of a single, massive gas cloud early in the universe's history.

- Gas cooled and formed stars in a relatively short window, on the order of a few hundred million years.

- This model predicts elliptical galaxies formed first, with spiral galaxies developing afterward.

- It explains certain observations well, like the uniformly old stellar populations found in many elliptical galaxies. However, it struggles to account for the diversity of galaxy types and the ongoing mergers we observe at various redshifts.

Hierarchical Merging Model suggests galaxies assembled gradually through the merging of smaller structures over billions of years. This is the model favored by most current cosmological simulations.

• Small galaxies and dark matter halos merged to build larger galaxies in a bottom-up process.

• This merging has continued throughout the universe's 13.8-billion-year history and is still happening today.

• The model predicts irregular and spiral galaxies formed first, with elliptical galaxies forming later as products of major mergers. The Antennae Galaxies (NGC 4038/4039) are a well-known example of an ongoing merger that will likely produce an elliptical galaxy.

In practice, modern galaxy formation theory incorporates elements of both models. The hierarchical framework provides the overall structure, but rapid gas collapse within individual halos plays a role at smaller scales.

Dark Matter Halos in Galaxies

Dark matter halos are the gravitational scaffolding that makes galaxy formation possible. Without them, ordinary matter would not have had deep enough gravitational wells to collapse into galaxies within the age of the universe.

• Baryonic matter (gas and dust) falls into the gravitational potential wells created by dark matter halos. Once there, gas cools and condenses, triggering star formation and galaxy growth. This often proceeds in an inside-out pattern, with the central regions forming stars first.

• Halo mass controls galaxy properties. More massive halos tend to host larger, more massive galaxies. The Milky Way sits in a halo of roughly 10^{12} solar masses, while dwarf galaxies occupy halos orders of magnitude smaller. Halo mass also affects gas accretion rates and star formation efficiency, a relationship captured by the Schmidt-Kennicutt law, which links gas surface density to star formation rate.

• Halo mergers drive galaxy evolution. When dark matter halos merge, the galaxies they host are pulled together by gravitational interactions. These mergers can trigger starbursts, activate AGN (active galactic nuclei), and reshape galaxy morphology. M82, the "Cigar Galaxy," is a classic example of a starburst triggered by a past gravitational interaction.

Galaxy Mergers and Evolution

Galaxy mergers occur when two or more galaxies collide and eventually combine into a single, larger galaxy. The outcome depends heavily on the mass ratio of the merging galaxies.

Merger Types:

1.

Major mergers involve galaxies of similar mass (mass ratio from 1:1 to about 1:4). - These can dramatically reshape both galaxies, often destroying spiral structure and producing an elliptical galaxy. Centaurus A is thought to be the product of such a merger. - Major mergers frequently trigger intense starbursts and can fuel quasar-level AGN activity as gas is funneled toward the central black hole.

2.

Minor mergers involve one galaxy significantly more massive than the other (mass ratio less than 1:4). - The smaller galaxy is gradually absorbed into the larger one. The Sagittarius Dwarf Galaxy is currently being torn apart and absorbed by the Milky Way. - Effects are more subtle: tidal streams, warps, and modest enhancements in star formation rather than wholesale morphological transformation.

Impact on star formation: Mergers compress gas clouds, which can trigger intense bursts of star formation. Ultra-luminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs) are extreme examples of merger-driven starbursts. These bursts typically last 10^7 to 10^8 years, depending on the merger geometry and available gas supply.

Impact on morphology: Major mergers scramble the ordered orbital motion of stars in disk galaxies, producing the random stellar orbits characteristic of elliptical galaxies (like M87 in the Virgo Cluster). Minor mergers produce subtler distortions, such as the observed warp in the Milky Way's disk.

Gas Accretion and Feedback Processes

Galaxies don't just form and stop growing. They continuously acquire new gas and regulate their own star formation through feedback. These two processes together determine a galaxy's long-term evolution.

Gas Accretion

Galaxies pull in gas from the intergalactic medium through two distinct modes:

- Cold mode accretion: Gas flows along cosmic filaments directly into the galaxy at relatively low temperatures ($T < 10^{4.5}$ K). This mode is especially efficient for lower-mass halos and at higher redshifts.
- Hot mode accretion: Gas is shock-heated to high temperatures ($T > 10^{5.5}$ K) as it enters the halo, then gradually cools and settles into the galaxy. This mode dominates in massive halos.

The accretion rate depends on both halo mass and redshift, following an approximate scaling relation:

$\dot{M} \propto M_{\text{halo}}^{1.15}(1+z)^{2.25}$

This means accretion was much faster in the early universe and is higher for more massive halos. The accreted gas fuels ongoing star formation and allows galaxies to continue growing over cosmic time.

Feedback Processes

Feedback is what prevents galaxies from converting all their gas into stars at once. Two main types operate at different scales:

•

Stellar feedback: Supernovae, stellar winds, and radiation pressure inject energy and momentum into the interstellar medium. A single supernova releases roughly 10^{51} ergs of energy. Collectively, these processes heat and expel gas from star-forming regions, creating "galactic fountains" where gas is blown out of the disk and later falls back in. Stellar feedback is most effective in lower-mass galaxies.

•

AGN feedback: The central supermassive black hole releases enormous energy as it accretes matter ($L_{\text{AGN}} \propto \dot{M}_{\text{BH}}$). This energy can heat or expel gas on scales much larger than stellar feedback can reach. Radio jets from AGN, for instance, can extend hundreds of kiloparsecs and suppress cooling flows in galaxy clusters. AGN feedback is the primary mechanism that quenches star formation in the most massive galaxies.

The balance between accretion and feedback shapes a galaxy's gas content, star formation history, and chemical enrichment over time. This interplay helps explain observed relationships like the mass-metallicity relation, where more massive galaxies tend to have higher metal content. The efficiency of feedback also varies with environment: galaxies in dense clusters experience different conditions than isolated field galaxies.

9.3 Evolution of galaxies over cosmic time

Galaxies change dramatically over cosmic time, evolving from small, irregular structures in the early universe to the diverse morphologies we observe today. Understanding this evolution requires connecting observations across billions of years of lookback time, tracing how star formation, mergers, AGN activity, and environment collectively shape galaxies. This topic ties together the physical processes from earlier in the unit and shows how they play out on cosmological timescales.

Galaxy Evolution

Evidence for galaxy evolution

Deep imaging surveys provide direct evidence that galaxies looked fundamentally different in the past. Because light takes time to reach us, observing distant galaxies means observing them as they were billions of years ago.

- Hubble Deep Fields and Ultra Deep Fields capture galaxies across a wide range of redshifts, sampling multiple cosmic epochs in a single image. The Hubble eXtreme Deep Field, for instance, reveals that higher-redshift galaxies tend to be smaller, bluer, and more irregular than nearby galaxies.
- Morphological evolution shows the early universe was dominated by irregular and spiral galaxies. Elliptical galaxies become increasingly common at lower redshifts, a trend described by the evolving Hubble sequence.
- Star formation rate evolution is tracked by the Madau-Lilly plot, which shows that the cosmic star formation rate density was much higher in the early universe and has been declining ever since.
- Galaxy mass function evolution reveals that the number of massive galaxies increases at lower redshifts, while the population of low-mass galaxies decreases. This pattern is called downsizing and has important implications for how we think about galaxy assembly.

Concept of cosmic downsizing

Cosmic downsizing refers to the observation that more massive galaxies formed their stars earlier and more rapidly than less massive galaxies. Over time, the site of active star formation shifts from high-mass systems to low-mass systems.

This has two major implications:

1. Massive galaxies undergo rapid star formation followed by quenching at early epochs, becoming "red and dead" relatively quickly.
2. Less massive galaxies continue forming stars at later epochs, maintaining blue colors and active star formation for longer.

Downsizing is somewhat counterintuitive because the standard hierarchical (bottom-up) model of structure formation predicts that small structures form first and merge into larger ones. The fact that the most massive galaxies have the oldest stellar populations suggests that additional physics, particularly efficient early star formation and strong quenching mechanisms, must be at work in high-mass halos.

Star formation and AGN influence

Star formation is the primary driver of galaxy growth and evolution. The rate at which a galaxy forms stars depends on gas availability, gas density, and turbulence, relationships captured quantitatively by the Kennicutt-Schmidt law, which relates gas surface density to star formation rate surface density. Feedback from supernovae and stellar winds can regulate this process by driving galactic winds that heat or expel gas from the disk.

Active galactic nuclei (AGN) are powered by accretion onto supermassive black holes and exert their own powerful feedback on their host galaxies:

1. Negative feedback: AGN-driven outflows and relativistic jets heat surrounding gas and can expel it from the galaxy entirely, suppressing or quenching star formation.

2. Positive feedback: In some cases, AGN outflows compress nearby gas clouds, triggering new episodes of star formation (jet-induced star formation).

AGN activity peaks at $z \approx 2 - 3$, roughly coinciding with the peak of cosmic star formation. This overlap during the so-called quasar epoch points to a deep connection between black hole growth and galaxy-wide star formation.

Environmental impacts on galaxies

The environment a galaxy inhabits strongly shapes its evolutionary path. Galaxies in dense regions evolve very differently from those in sparse regions.

Galaxy clusters (high-density environments): - Ram pressure stripping removes gas from galaxies as they move through the hot intracluster medium. This is well-documented in the Virgo cluster, where galaxies show truncated gas disks. - Tidal interactions and mergers occur more frequently due to the high galaxy density. The Antennae galaxies are a famous example of an ongoing merger. - Morphology-density relation (Dressler's relation): elliptical and S0 galaxies are far more common in cluster cores, while spirals dominate in lower-density regions.

Voids (low-density environments): - Void galaxies tend to be gas-rich, blue, and actively forming stars at higher specific rates. Their isolation means fewer interactions and slower overall evolution. The Boötes void is one well-known example of such an underdense region.

Cosmic web (filaments, walls, and nodes): - Galaxies in filaments and walls experience intermediate environmental effects, between the extremes of clusters and voids. The Sloan Great Wall is a prominent large-scale filamentary structure. Galaxies at the nodes of the cosmic web, where clusters reside, experience the strongest environmental processing.

Factors Influencing Galaxy Evolution

Evidence for galaxy evolution

Beyond morphology and star formation rates, several other observable properties trace galaxy evolution across cosmic time.

- Color evolution: Early-universe galaxies tend to be bluer, reflecting vigorous star formation. As star formation declines and stellar populations age, galaxies migrate to the red sequence, a tight correlation between color and magnitude populated by quiescent galaxies.

- Metallicity evolution: Galaxies at high redshift have lower metallicities because fewer generations of stars have enriched the interstellar medium. Over time, successive stellar generations increase metallicity, producing the observed mass-metallicity relation, where more massive galaxies are more metal-rich at a given epoch.

• Size evolution: High-redshift galaxies are typically more compact than their present-day counterparts of similar mass. Galaxies grow in physical size over time through mergers and continued gas accretion, a trend captured by the size-mass relation.

Concept of cosmic downsizing

Observational evidence for downsizing comes from multiple independent lines:

1. Archaeological downsizing: Stellar population analysis shows that massive galaxies have older average stellar ages than less massive galaxies, even though they all exist at the same redshift today.

2. Chemical downsizing: The mass-metallicity relation itself evolves with redshift, indicating that massive galaxies enriched their gas earlier.

Two leading explanations work together to produce this pattern:

• Efficient early star formation in massive halos: Gas cooling is more efficient in the deep potential wells of massive halos, allowing rapid early conversion of gas into stars.

• Stronger quenching in massive galaxies: AGN feedback is more powerful in massive systems, shutting down star formation once the central black hole grows large enough.

Star formation and AGN influence

The cosmic star formation rate density peaks at $z \approx 2$, sometimes called "cosmic noon." After this peak, the rate declines steadily toward the present day, as shown in the Madau-Lilly plot.

The AGN luminosity function also evolves with redshift, peaking at $z \approx 2 - 3$ during the quasar epoch. This temporal coincidence is not accidental. AGN and star formation appear to co-evolve through interlinked processes:

• AGN feedback regulates galaxy growth: The tight correlation between black hole mass and bulge properties (the black hole-bulge relation, also called the $M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma$ relation) implies that black hole growth and galaxy assembly are coupled.

• Star formation fuels black hole growth: Gas funneled toward the galactic center during mergers or disk instabilities feeds both star formation in the nuclear region and accretion onto the supermassive black hole.

Environmental impacts on galaxies

Environmental quenching describes the observation that galaxies in denser environments are quenched earlier and more completely than galaxies in less dense environments. Several mechanisms contribute:

• Ram pressure stripping removes cold gas directly.

• Strangulation (also called starvation) cuts off the supply of fresh gas from the halo, causing star formation to decline gradually as existing gas is consumed.

• Tidal interactions disturb gas distributions and can trigger bursts of star formation followed by rapid gas exhaustion.

The Butcher-Oemler effect provides direct evidence for environmental quenching: galaxy clusters at higher redshifts contain a larger fraction of blue, star-forming galaxies than nearby clusters, showing that quenching has progressed over time.

Assembly bias adds another layer. Halos in higher-density regions tend to assemble earlier, giving their galaxies more time to evolve, merge, and quench. Halos in lower-density regions assemble later, and their galaxies are correspondingly less evolved at any given epoch.

Void galaxies represent the opposite extreme. Galaxies in voids like the Boötes void retain more of their gas reservoir and sustain star formation over longer timescales, providing a useful comparison case for understanding how environment accelerates or delays the evolutionary processes that all galaxies eventually undergo.

9.4 Galaxy clusters and large-scale structure

Galaxy Clusters

Galaxy clusters are the most massive gravitationally bound objects in the universe, containing hundreds to thousands of galaxies held together by gravity. Understanding them is central to cosmology because their properties, formation, and distribution encode information about dark matter, dark energy, and how structure in the universe grew from tiny density fluctuations after the Big Bang.

Properties of galaxy clusters

Galaxy clusters typically span 2–10 Mpc (megaparsecs) and have total masses ranging from 10^{14} to 10^{15} solar masses. Well-known examples include the Virgo Cluster (relatively nearby, about 16.5 Mpc away) and the Coma Cluster (a rich, dense cluster at roughly 100 Mpc).

Most of a cluster's ordinary (baryonic) matter isn't in the galaxies themselves. It's in the intracluster medium (ICM), a diffuse plasma of hot gas (temperatures of 10^7 to 10^8 K) that fills the space between galaxies and emits strongly in X-rays. The ICM typically accounts for several times more mass than all the cluster's galaxies combined.

Many clusters host a central dominant galaxy (cD galaxy) sitting at the bottom of the cluster's gravitational potential well. These are among the most massive galaxies known, built up through repeated mergers over billions of years.

Clusters are classified in several ways:

- By richness (number of member galaxies): The Abell catalog uses richness classes from 0 (30–49 galaxies within a certain radius) to 5 (300+ galaxies).
- By morphology (galaxy distribution): Regular clusters are symmetric and centrally concentrated, while irregular clusters show asymmetric distributions with multiple concentration centers, often a sign of recent or ongoing mergers.
- By X-ray luminosity: The intensity of X-ray emission from the ICM traces the cluster's mass and gas temperature, providing another way to categorize and compare clusters.

Dark matter in cluster formation

Dark matter dominates galaxy clusters, making up roughly 80–90% of the total cluster mass. It provides the deep gravitational potential well that binds the galaxies and hot gas together.

Cluster formation follows the hierarchical structure formation model:

1. Small dark matter halos form first from the gravitational collapse of overdense regions in the early universe.

2. These halos merge over time, building progressively larger structures.

3. As halos grow, they pull in baryonic matter (gas and galaxies), eventually assembling into the massive clusters we observe today.

When clusters collide, the mergers drive shocks and turbulence through the ICM, heating the gas to even higher temperatures. These merger events are some of the most energetic phenomena in the universe since the Big Bang.

One of the most direct ways to detect and map dark matter in clusters is through gravitational lensing, where the cluster's mass bends light from background galaxies, distorting their images into arcs and rings. Abell 2218 is a classic example, with dramatic lensing arcs visible in deep imaging. By analyzing these distortions, astronomers can reconstruct the dark matter distribution within the cluster, even though dark matter itself doesn't emit light.

Large-scale structure of the universe

Zooming out beyond individual clusters reveals the cosmic web, a vast network of structure that formed through the gravitational amplification of tiny density fluctuations present in the early universe (imprinted during inflation and visible in the CMB).

The cosmic web has three main components:

- Filaments are long, relatively dense threads of galaxies and gas that connect clusters to one another. The Perseus-Pisces Supercluster is an example of a prominent filamentary structure.
- Walls (or sheets) are large, flattened two-dimensional structures of galaxies found between filaments. The Sloan Great Wall, stretching over 400 Mpc, is one of the largest known.
- Voids are vast, underdense regions containing very few galaxies. The Boötes Void, roughly 100 Mpc across, is a well-known example. Voids occupy the majority of the universe's volume, and they're surrounded by filaments and walls, giving the large-scale structure a foam-like or sponge-like appearance.

This web-like pattern is a direct prediction of cold dark matter cosmological models, and large galaxy surveys (like SDSS) have confirmed it in striking detail.

Galaxy clusters as cosmological probes

Because cluster properties depend sensitively on cosmological parameters, they serve as powerful tools for testing models of the universe.

•

Cluster mass function: This describes how many clusters exist at a given mass and redshift. It depends on the matter density of the universe (Ω_m), the nature of dark energy, and the rate at which structure grows. Comparing observed mass functions with theoretical predictions constrains these parameters.

•

Baryon fraction: The ratio of baryonic matter (gas + stars) to total matter in a cluster should approximate the cosmic average baryon fraction (Ω_b/Ω_m). If measurements deviate significantly, that could point to new physics or problems with the standard cosmological model.

•

Sunyaev-Zel'dovich (SZ) effect: Hot electrons in the ICM scatter CMB photons passing through the cluster, shifting them to slightly higher energies. This creates a characteristic distortion in the CMB spectrum. The SZ signal is independent of the cluster's redshift (distance), which makes it especially useful for detecting distant, high-redshift clusters and studying how cluster properties evolve over cosmic time.

-

Merger dynamics and the Bullet Cluster: The Bullet Cluster (1E 0657-56) is a pair of colliding clusters where the hot gas (detected in X-rays) was slowed by ram pressure during the collision, while the dark matter (mapped via gravitational lensing) passed through largely unaffected. The spatial offset between the gas and dark matter is strong evidence that dark matter is collisionless, meaning it doesn't interact with itself or with normal matter except through gravity. This observation is one of the most compelling pieces of direct evidence for the existence of dark matter as a distinct component, separate from baryonic matter.

Unit 10 – Observational Cosmology – Tools and Techniques

10.1 Telescopes and detectors across the electromagnetic spectrum

Telescopes and detectors are the eyes and ears of astronomers, allowing us to observe the cosmos across the electromagnetic spectrum. From optical telescopes that capture visible light to radio telescopes that detect invisible waves, each type offers unique insights into celestial objects and phenomena.

These instruments have revolutionized our understanding of the universe. By combining observations from different wavelengths, astronomers can piece together a more complete picture of cosmic structures and events, from star formation to galaxy evolution and the nature of dark matter.

Telescopes and Detectors

Types of astronomical telescopes

- Optical telescopes use lenses (refracting telescopes) or mirrors (reflecting telescopes) to focus visible light from celestial objects (Hubble Space Telescope, Keck Observatory)
- Radio telescopes employ dish antennas to collect and focus radio waves or interferometers that combine signals from multiple antennas to improve resolution (Arecibo Observatory, Very Large Array)
- Infrared telescopes require cooling to reduce thermal noise and are often located in high-altitude or space-based observatories to minimize atmospheric interference (Spitzer Space Telescope, James Webb Space Telescope)
- X-ray telescopes utilize grazing incidence mirrors to focus X-rays and must be space-based due to atmospheric absorption of X-rays (Chandra X-ray Observatory, XMM-Newton)
- Gamma-ray telescopes detect high-energy photons through scintillation or pair production, require large collecting areas, and must be space-based to avoid atmospheric absorption (Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope, INTEGRAL)

Advantages vs limitations of telescopes

- Optical telescopes provide high angular resolution and can observe a wide range of targets but are limited by atmospheric distortion and are restricted to visible wavelengths
- Radio telescopes can observe through gas and dust to detect large structures but have lower angular resolution and can be affected by interference from human-made sources
- Infrared telescopes can penetrate dust and detect cooler objects but require cooling and have lower resolution compared to optical telescopes
- X-ray telescopes can observe high-energy processes and hot gas in galaxy clusters but require space-based observatories and have limited collecting area
- Gamma-ray telescopes can observe the most extreme events like gamma-ray bursts but have poor angular resolution and are limited by low photon flux

Role of electromagnetic radiation detectors

- Charge-coupled devices (CCDs) are used in optical and near-infrared astronomy to convert photons into electrical charges, which are then read out and digitized
- Bolometers, employed in infrared and submillimeter astronomy, measure the heating effect of incoming radiation
- Photomultiplier tubes (PMTs) are used in gamma-ray and Cherenkov telescopes to convert photons into electrical signals through the photoelectric effect
- Proportional counters and scintillators, utilized in X-ray and gamma-ray astronomy, measure the ionization or excitation caused by high-energy photons

Importance of multi-wavelength observations

- Different wavelengths probe different physical processes: 1. Optical wavelengths reveal stars, galaxies, and large-scale structure 2. Radio wavelengths detect cold gas, synchrotron emission, and the cosmic microwave background 3. Infrared wavelengths are sensitive to dust, star formation, and high-redshift galaxies 4. X-ray wavelengths trace hot gas, active galactic nuclei, and galaxy clusters 5. Gamma-ray wavelengths capture extreme events like supernovae and gamma-ray bursts
- Combining observations across the electromagnetic spectrum provides a more comprehensive understanding of cosmic objects and phenomena (radio and infrared observations can reveal star formation rates in dust-obscured galaxies)
- Multi-wavelength observations help constrain models of cosmic structure formation and evolution (X-ray observations of galaxy clusters can measure hot gas content, related to dark matter distribution and cosmological parameters)

10.2 Space-based observations and their advantages

Space-based observations revolutionize our understanding of the cosmos. By escaping Earth's atmosphere, telescopes like Hubble and Planck capture clearer images and detect wavelengths blocked on the ground, revealing distant galaxies and the cosmic microwave background.

These observatories have transformed cosmology, measuring the universe's expansion rate and mapping its early conditions. They've provided evidence for dark matter and dark energy, shaping our current model of the universe. Despite challenges, space-based observations continue to push the boundaries of cosmic exploration.

Advantages of Space-based Observations in Cosmology

Benefits of space-based vs ground-based observations

- Unaffected by Earth's atmosphere which distorts and absorbs incoming light enabling clearer and sharper images from space (atmospheric turbulence, light pollution)
- Detect wavelengths blocked by Earth's atmosphere providing a more comprehensive view of the universe (ultraviolet, X-rays, infrared)
- Observe continuously allowing for longer exposure times and more consistent data collection uninterrupted by daylight, weather conditions, or atmospheric turbulence

• Detect fainter and more distant objects by reducing background noise from light pollution and other Earth-based sources

Key space-based observatories in cosmology

• Hubble Space Telescope (HST) launched in 1990 measured the Hubble constant and expansion rate of the universe precisely and observed distant galaxies providing insights into the early universe and galaxy evolution

• Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) launched in 2001 mapped the cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation with unprecedented accuracy constraining the age, geometry, and composition of the universe and supporting the Lambda-CDM model

• Planck spacecraft launched in 2009 provided even more precise CMB measurements than WMAP further refining our understanding of the universe's age, composition, and the nature of dark matter and dark energy

• Chandra X-ray Observatory launched in 1999 detects X-ray emissions from high-energy regions of the universe and has studied hot gas in galaxy clusters providing evidence for dark matter and constraining dark energy models

Space observations for early universe understanding

• Detected the CMB, a remnant of the Big Bang, providing a snapshot of the universe ~380,000 years after its origin (nearly uniform temperature, small density fluctuations)

• Revealed the universe's initial conditions that gave rise to cosmic structure

• X-ray observatories like Chandra studied hot gas in galaxy clusters suggesting the presence of dark matter which gravitationally influences the gas but does not emit light

• Observations of distant Type Ia supernovae showed the universe's expansion is accelerating attributed to dark energy, a mysterious form of energy that permeates the universe and counteracts gravity

• Helped constrain the properties and evolution of dark energy over cosmic time

Challenges of space-based observations

• Significantly more expensive to design, build, launch and maintain than ground-based facilities limiting the number and scope of space-based missions due to constrained resources

• Limited by the size and weight of instruments they can carry restricting the primary mirror size or number of instruments affecting the telescope's sensitivity and versatility

• Difficult or impossible to physically access for repairs or upgrades once launched meaning hardware issues or technological limitations cannot be easily addressed, potentially limiting the observatory's lifespan or capabilities

• Must transmit data back to Earth using radio signals with bandwidth and distance limitations restricting the amount of data that can be transmitted requiring data compression or selective transmission

10.3 Gravitational wave astronomy and multi-messenger observations

Gravitational waves, ripples in spacetime caused by accelerating masses, open a new window to the cosmos. These waves, predicted by Einstein's general relativity, carry information about their sources like merging black holes and neutron stars.

The detection of gravitational waves has revolutionized astronomy, enabling us to observe previously invisible phenomena. This breakthrough allows scientists to study cosmic events through multiple "messengers" - light, gravitational waves, neutrinos, and cosmic rays - providing a more complete picture of the universe.

Gravitational Waves and Their Detection

Gravitational waves in cosmology

- Ripples in the fabric of spacetime caused by accelerating masses
- Predicted by Einstein's theory of general relativity which describes gravity as a curvature of spacetime
- Propagate at the speed of light and carry information about their sources such as mass, spin, and orbital parameters
- Sources of gravitational waves in cosmology:
- Merging compact objects such as binary black holes (GW150914), neutron stars (GW170817), or black hole-neutron star systems
- Supernovae and other asymmetric stellar collapses that produce rapidly rotating neutron stars or black holes
- Early universe processes like cosmic inflation which could produce a stochastic gravitational wave background or phase transitions that generate topological defects

Significance of gravitational wave detection

- First direct detection by LIGO (Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory) in 2015
- Observed the merger of two black holes with masses around 30 solar masses, confirming the existence of binary black hole systems
- Opened a new window for observing the universe and testing general relativity in strong-field regimes near the event horizon of black holes
- Implications for cosmology:
- Provides a new tool for measuring cosmic distances and the expansion rate of the universe using standard sirens (GW170817)
- Enables the study of the properties and evolution of black holes and neutron stars throughout cosmic history, including their mass and spin distributions
- Allows for the exploration of the early universe and potentially detecting gravitational wave backgrounds from inflation or other processes that could reveal new physics beyond the Standard Model

Multi-Messenger Astronomy and Collaborations

Multi-messenger astronomy concept

• Involves the coordinated observation of cosmic events using different types of signals:

• Electromagnetic radiation (light) across the spectrum from radio waves to gamma rays

• Gravitational waves which provide information about the dynamics of massive objects

• Neutrinos that are produced in high-energy astrophysical processes (supernova 1987A)

• Cosmic rays which are high-energy particles accelerated by shock waves or magnetic fields

• Potential for advancing our understanding:

• Provides complementary information about the physics of cosmic events, such as the energetics, composition, and environment of the source

• Enables the localization and identification of gravitational wave sources through electromagnetic counterparts (kilonova associated with GW170817)

• Allows for the study of extreme environments and fundamental physics, such as the equation of state of neutron stars, the nature of dark matter, or the origin of high-energy cosmic rays

Facilities for gravitational wave observations

• Gravitational wave observatories:

• LIGO (Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory) in the USA with detectors in Hanford, Washington and Livingston, Louisiana

• Virgo interferometer located in Cascina, Italy

• KAGRA (Kamioka Gravitational Wave Detector) in Japan, an underground cryogenic detector

• Future: LISA (Laser Interferometer Space Antenna), a space-based detector sensitive to low-frequency gravitational waves; Einstein Telescope and Cosmic Explorer, next-generation ground-based detectors with improved sensitivity

• Electromagnetic facilities:

• Optical and infrared telescopes such as the Hubble Space Telescope, James Webb Space Telescope, and large ground-based telescopes (Keck, VLT)

• Radio telescopes like the Very Large Array, Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA), and the future Square Kilometre Array (SKA)

• X-ray and gamma-ray observatories including the Chandra X-ray Observatory, XMM-Newton, and the Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope

• Neutrino observatories:

• IceCube Neutrino Observatory at the South Pole in Antarctica

• Super-Kamiokande detector located underground in Japan

• Future: KM3NeT (Cubic Kilometre Neutrino Telescope) in the Mediterranean Sea and Hyper-Kamiokande in Japan

- Collaborations and networks:
- LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA Collaboration for joint gravitational wave observations and data analysis
- Multi-messenger follow-up networks such as GROWTH (Global Relay of Observatories Watching Transients Happen) and ENGRAVE (Electromagnetic counterparts of gravitational wave sources at the Very Large Telescope)
- Astrophysical Multimessenger Observatory Network (AMON) for real-time correlation of signals from different observatories

10.4 Data analysis techniques in cosmology

Cosmological data analysis presents unique challenges due to vast datasets and complex variables. Researchers grapple with noise, systematic errors, and computational demands while extracting meaningful insights from terabytes of telescope data.

Statistical methods and machine learning techniques are crucial tools in this field. From Bayesian inference to neural networks, these approaches help scientists estimate parameters, identify patterns, and automate complex tasks in cosmological research.

Data Analysis in Cosmology

Challenges of cosmological data analysis

- Volume and complexity of data
- Terabytes to petabytes generated by modern telescopes (Hubble, JWST) and simulations
- High-dimensional datasets with numerous variables (redshift, luminosity) and parameters
- Noise and systematic errors introduce uncertainties
- Instrumental noise from detectors and calibration uncertainties
- Astrophysical foregrounds (Milky Way) and backgrounds contaminate the signal
- Computational resources and scalability requirements
- Necessitates high-performance computing (HPC) infrastructure
- Parallel processing and distributed computing techniques handle large data volumes
- Data management and storage considerations
- Efficient data storage formats (HDF5) and databases
- Data provenance tracking and version control systems (Git)

Statistical methods for cosmology

- Statistical inference techniques estimate parameters
- Bayesian inference and likelihood analysis quantify uncertainties
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) methods sample parameter spaces
- Gaussian processes model correlated noise (instrumental, astrophysical) and interpolate data

- Signal processing and image analysis extract information
- Fourier analysis and power spectrum estimation reveal spatial scales
- Wavelet transforms perform multi-scale analysis (small and large structures)
- Morphological operations and object detection algorithms identify galaxies and clusters
- Computational frameworks and libraries enable efficient analysis
- Python-based tools: NumPy for arrays, SciPy for algorithms, Astropy for astronomy, Pandas for data manipulation
- C/C++ libraries handle performance-critical tasks
- Specialized cosmological software packages (CAMB for power spectra, CosmoPy for cosmological calculations)

Machine learning in cosmological insights

- Supervised learning techniques automate tasks
- Classification algorithms identify galaxy morphology (spiral, elliptical) and type
- Regression models estimate cosmological parameters (Hubble constant) and redshifts
- Unsupervised learning methods discover patterns
- Clustering algorithms identify structures (filaments, voids) and patterns in data
- Dimensionality reduction techniques (PCA, t-SNE) visualize and explore high-dimensional data
- Deep learning and neural networks tackle complex problems
- Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) analyze image-based tasks (galaxy classification)
- Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) analyze time series data (supernova light curves)
- Generative models (GANs, VAEs) simulate realistic cosmological data for testing and validation

Data visualization for cosmological findings

- Visual representations communicate complex datasets
- 2D and 3D plots, heatmaps, and color-coding schemes convey patterns and trends
- Interactive visualizations and dashboards (Plotly, Bokeh) enable data exploration
- Effective communication of results is crucial
- Clear and concise presentation of key findings facilitates understanding
- Uncertainty quantification and error bars convey the reliability of results
- Contextualizing results within the broader cosmological framework provides perspective
- Collaborative data analysis and sharing promotes progress
- Online platforms (GitHub) and repositories enable data sharing and collaboration
- Reproducibility and open-source software practices ensure transparency and verification

Statistical Methods and Machine Learning

Unit 11 – The Large–Scale Structure of the Universe

11.1 Cosmic web: filaments, sheets, and voids

The cosmic web, a vast network of galaxies and dark matter, shapes the universe's large-scale structure. Filaments, sheets, and voids form intricate patterns, with dark matter playing a crucial role. This invisible substance acts as a gravitational scaffold, guiding the formation of galaxies and clusters.

Evidence for the cosmic web comes from galaxy surveys, gravitational lensing, and the Lyman-alpha forest. The structure evolves over time, starting with primordial density fluctuations and growing through gravitational instability. Dark matter's influence is key, driving the formation and evolution of this cosmic tapestry.

The Cosmic Web

Components of cosmic web

- Cosmic web is large-scale structure of universe consisting of galaxies, galaxy clusters, and dark matter
- Filaments
- Elongated, thread-like structures of galaxies and dark matter connect galaxy clusters forming backbone of cosmic web
- Serve as pathways for gas and galaxies to flow towards nodes (intersections of filaments)
- Sheets
- Planar concentrations of galaxies and dark matter less dense than filaments often found between them
- Form at intersections of voids and can feed material into filaments
- Voids
- Vast, underdense regions with few galaxies occupy majority of volume in universe
- Surrounded by filaments and sheets
- Can span hundreds of millions of light-years in diameter (Boötes void, Eridanus Supervoid)

Dark matter's structural influence

- Dark matter accounts for ~85% of matter in universe interacting gravitationally but not electromagnetically playing crucial role in formation and evolution of cosmic web
- Dark matter halos
- Concentrations of dark matter surround galaxies and galaxy clusters providing gravitational scaffolding for cosmic web
- Serve as seeds for structure formation and influence gas accretion and star formation in galaxies

- Dark matter filaments
- Act as highways along which galaxies and gas flow towards nodes contributing to formation of galaxy clusters at intersections
- Contain majority of dark matter in universe and can extend for hundreds of millions of light-years (Sloan Great Wall, Hercules-Corona Borealis Great Wall)

Evidence for cosmic web

- Galaxy redshift surveys
- Map 3D distribution of galaxies using redshifts as proxy for distance revealing filamentary and sheet-like structures
- Examples include 2dF Galaxy Redshift Survey, Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS), VIMOS Public Extragalactic Redshift Survey (VIPERS)
- Weak gravitational lensing
- Distortion of background galaxy images by gravitational influence of foreground matter allows mapping of dark matter distribution
- Surveys like Dark Energy Survey (DES) and Kilo-Degree Survey (KiDS) provide detailed maps of cosmic web
- Lyman-alpha forest
- Absorption features in spectra of distant quasars caused by neutral hydrogen gas along line of sight trace distribution of gas following dark matter structure
- Used to map intergalactic medium and study properties of cosmic web at high redshifts

Evolution of cosmic web

1. Primordial density fluctuations - Quantum fluctuations in early universe amplified by cosmic inflation seed formation of cosmic web - Determine initial conditions for structure formation and set scale for future growth

2. Gravitational instability - Regions with slightly higher density than average expand more slowly due to self-gravity leading to collapse of matter into sheets, filaments, and halos - Overdense regions continue to accrete matter from surroundings enhancing density contrast

3. Hierarchical structure formation
- Smaller structures like galaxies form first and merge to create larger structures such as galaxy clusters
- Cosmic web becomes more pronounced and defined over time as structures grow and merge

4. Future evolution - Cosmic web will continue to evolve with galaxies and gas flowing along filaments towards nodes - Voids will expand and become more underdense while filaments and nodes will become denser - Eventually, dark energy may cause cosmic web to freeze in place as expansion accelerates and structures become isolated

Dark Matter and the Cosmic Web

Dark matter's structural influence

- Gravitational influence

- Dark matter's gravitational effects are primary driver of cosmic web formation with baryonic matter (gas and stars) following gravitational potential wells created by dark matter
- Responsible for depth and shape of potential wells that determine properties of galaxies and clusters
- Dark matter collapse
- Dark matter undergoes gravitational collapse earlier than baryonic matter due to lack of pressure support forming filaments and halos that define cosmic web
- Collapse occurs in stages with smaller halos forming first and merging to create larger structures over time
- Density distribution
- Distribution of dark matter determines location and size of voids, sheets, and filaments
- Higher density regions correspond to filaments and nodes while lower density regions form voids
- Density contrast between voids and filaments can reach factors of 10-100 or more

11.2 Statistical measures of large-scale structure

Large-scale structure in the universe isn't random. Galaxies cluster together, forming patterns we can measure. These patterns encode information about the universe's composition, its initial conditions, and how structure has grown over cosmic time.

To quantify these patterns, cosmologists rely on statistical tools, primarily the two-point correlation function and the matter power spectrum. These are complementary descriptions of the same underlying clustering signal, one in real space and one in Fourier space.

Statistical Measures of Large-Scale Structure

Two-point correlation function for galaxies

The two-point correlation function $\xi(r)$ measures the excess probability of finding a pair of galaxies separated by distance r, compared to what you'd expect if galaxies were scattered randomly. It's the most direct way to quantify clustering.

A common estimator is:

$\xi(r) = \frac{DD(r)}{RR(r)} - 1$

- $DD(r)$ is the number of galaxy pairs with separation r in the observed data.
- $RR(r)$ is the expected number of pairs at that separation in a random catalog with the same survey geometry.

When $\xi(r) > 0$, galaxies are more clustered than random at that scale. When $\xi(r) < 0$, galaxies avoid each other at that scale (void regions). At very large separations, $\xi(r) \to 0$ because the universe approaches homogeneity.

In practice, more sophisticated estimators (like the Landy-Szalay estimator, which also uses cross-counts $DR(r)$ between data and random catalogs) are preferred because they reduce bias from edge effects and survey geometry.

Estimating the correlation function involves:

1. Constructing a random catalog that matches the survey's angular footprint and radial selection function.

2. Counting galaxy-galaxy pairs $DD(r)$, random-random pairs $RR(r)$, and data-random pairs $DR(r)$ at each separation bin.

3. Normalizing the pair counts and applying the chosen estimator.

4. Repeating across a large enough galaxy sample to beat down shot noise. Surveys like SDSS, DES, and the upcoming Euclid and LSST/Rubin Observatory provide the millions of galaxies needed for reliable statistics.

Power spectrum and correlation function

The power spectrum $P(k)$ is the Fourier-space counterpart of the correlation function. While $\xi(r)$ tells you about clustering at a given physical separation, $P(k)$ tells you the amplitude of density fluctuations at a given spatial frequency (wavenumber k, with units of inverse length).

The two are related by a Fourier transform:

$$P(k) = \int \xi(r)\, e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}}\, d^3r$$

They contain the same information, but each has practical advantages. The power spectrum is often preferred for theoretical work because different Fourier modes evolve independently in the linear regime, making predictions cleaner. The correlation function is sometimes easier to estimate directly from survey data and is more intuitive for identifying features at specific physical scales (like the BAO peak at $\sim 100\, h^{-1}$ Mpc).

The shape of $P(k)$ depends on the underlying cosmological model, the matter content of the universe, and the physics of structure formation. Its amplitude reflects the overall level of clustering.

Shape and amplitude of the galaxy power spectrum

The power spectrum's shape carries distinct physical information at different scales:

- Large scales (small k): The spectrum turns over near the scale corresponding to the particle horizon at matter-radiation equality. On scales larger than this, the primordial spectrum is roughly preserved. The turnover scale depends on $\Omega_m h^2$, so measuring it constrains the total matter density.

- Intermediate scales: The slope encodes the relative amounts of baryonic and dark matter. Baryonic acoustic oscillations (BAO) imprint a series of wiggles on $P(k)$, corresponding to the sound horizon at recombination (~ 150 Mpc comoving). These wiggles act as a standard ruler for measuring cosmic distances.

- Small scales (large k): Non-linear gravitational collapse, galaxy mergers, and baryonic feedback processes (AGN heating, supernova-driven outflows) reshape the spectrum. Predictions here require N-body simulations or effective models rather than simple linear theory.

The amplitude of the power spectrum is typically parameterized by σ_8, the root-mean-square density fluctuation in spheres of radius $8\, h^{-1}$ Mpc. This depends on both the primordial fluctuation amplitude and the matter density Ω_m.

A critical complication is galaxy bias: galaxies don't perfectly trace the underlying matter distribution. More massive halos (and the luminous galaxies they host) are more strongly clustered than the dark matter itself. The bias factor b relates the galaxy power spectrum to the matter power spectrum: $P_{\text{gal}}(k) \approx b^2\, P_{\text{matter}}(k)$. This relationship is scale-independent only on large scales; on smaller scales, bias becomes more complex.

Statistical measures of galaxy clustering

Extracting cosmological information from clustering measurements requires large galaxy surveys covering significant cosmic volume. Current and upcoming surveys span a range of approaches:

- SDSS mapped over a million galaxy redshifts, providing the first high-precision measurements of $P(k)$ and the BAO feature.
- DES uses photometric redshifts over a wide area, combining clustering with weak lensing.
- Euclid and LSST/Rubin will map billions of galaxies out to higher redshifts, dramatically improving constraints.

These clustering measurements constrain cosmological parameters because the shape and amplitude of $P(k)$ depend sensitively on Ω_m, Ω_b, H_0, the spectral index n_s, σ_8, and the dark energy equation of state w. Observed clustering is compared to theoretical predictions using Bayesian inference, typically implemented with Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling to explore the high-dimensional parameter space.

Measuring the correlation function and power spectrum at different redshifts reveals how clustering evolves over cosmic time. The growth rate of structure is particularly powerful as a cosmological probe: in general relativity, it's determined by $\Omega_m(z)$, but modified gravity theories predict different growth rates. Comparing the observed evolution of clustering with these predictions provides one of the cleanest tests of gravity on cosmological scales and helps distinguish dark energy models from modifications to general relativity.

11.3 Baryon acoustic oscillations

Baryon Acoustic Oscillations

Origin of baryon acoustic oscillations

Before recombination, the universe was hot and dense enough that baryons (ordinary matter) and photons were tightly coupled through Thomson scattering, forming a single baryon-photon fluid. Quantum fluctuations generated during cosmic inflation seeded tiny density perturbations throughout this fluid, and those perturbations launched acoustic (sound) waves.

Two competing forces drove the oscillations:

- Gravity pulled the fluid inward, compressing it into overdense regions (potential wells).
- Radiation pressure from photons pushed back against that compression, causing the fluid to bounce outward.

This tug-of-war produced spherical sound waves expanding outward from each initial overdensity. At recombination (redshift $z \approx 1100$), the universe cooled enough for electrons and protons to combine into neutral hydrogen. Photons decoupled from baryons and streamed freely (becoming the CMB), and without radiation pressure to sustain them, the oscillations froze in place. The baryon overdensity stalled at whatever radius the sound wave had reached by that moment, permanently imprinting a preferred separation scale into the matter distribution.

BAO imprint on galaxy distribution

That frozen shell of slightly excess baryonic matter became a seed for later gravitational collapse. The result is a small but measurable excess probability of finding pairs of galaxies separated by a characteristic distance: the sound horizon at decoupling, roughly 150 comoving Mpc (~490 million light-years).

This signal shows up in two complementary statistical tools:

- Two-point correlation function — measures the excess probability of finding a galaxy pair at a given separation compared to a random distribution. BAO appears as a bump (the "BAO peak") near 150 comoving Mpc.
- Matter power spectrum — the Fourier transform of the correlation function, describing how clustering strength varies with spatial scale. BAO appears here as a series of wiggles (oscillatory features) superimposed on the smooth broadband shape of the spectrum.

Think of the correlation function as showing the bump directly in real space, while the power spectrum reveals the same information as a harmonic pattern in Fourier space.

BAO as a cosmic standard ruler

Because the sound horizon is set by well-understood early-universe physics (the baryon-to-photon ratio, the expansion rate before decoupling, and the recombination redshift), its physical size can be calculated precisely from CMB observations. That makes it a standard ruler: an object of known physical length that you can observe at various redshifts to extract distance information.

Cosmologists use the BAO scale in two directions:

1.

Transverse (across the sky) — Comparing the known physical size of the BAO scale to its apparent angular size on the sky at redshift z yields the angular diameter distance $D_A(z)$. This quantity depends on the integrated expansion history between us and that redshift.

2.

Radial (along the line of sight) — Measuring the BAO scale in the redshift direction gives the Hubble parameter $H(z)$ at that redshift, since a known physical length maps to a redshift interval that depends on the local expansion rate.

Together, $D_A(z)$ and $H(z)$ at multiple redshifts trace out the universe's expansion history and geometry, much like measuring the same ruler at different distances on a map tells you about the map's projection.

BAO in cosmological constraints

BAO measurements are valuable partly because they are geometrical and relatively clean: the signal depends on large-scale clustering where nonlinear astrophysical effects are small. This makes BAO highly complementary to other probes like the CMB and Type Ia supernovae, each of which has different systematic uncertainties.

By tracking the BAO scale across a range of redshifts, surveys constrain:

1. Matter density parameter Ω_m — governs how much matter contributes to the total energy budget and how expansion decelerates at early times.
2. Dark energy equation of state w — characterizes the pressure-to-density ratio of dark energy. A cosmological constant has $w = -1$; deviations from this would signal more exotic physics.

BAO data have been central to establishing the ΛCDM model as the standard cosmological framework, in which the universe is dominated by cold dark matter (CDM) and a cosmological constant Λ responsible for accelerated expansion. The consistency of BAO results with independent CMB and supernova constraints is one of the strongest pieces of evidence for dark energy.

Current and upcoming spectroscopic surveys are pushing BAO precision further. DESI (Dark Energy Spectroscopic Instrument) is already collecting data, and ESA's Euclid mission launched in 2023. These programs will map tens of millions of galaxies and quasars across a wide redshift range, tightening constraints on w and testing whether dark energy evolves with time.

11.4 Correlation functions and power spectra

Correlation Functions and Power Spectra in Large-Scale Structure

Galaxies aren't scattered randomly across the cosmos. They cluster in patterns, forming filaments, walls, and voids that stretch across hundreds of megaparsecs. The correlation function and power spectrum are the two primary statistical tools cosmologists use to quantify this clustering. They encode the same information but in complementary ways, and together they connect the large-scale structure we observe today back to conditions set in the very early universe.

Correlation Function vs. Power Spectrum

The two-point correlation function $\xi(r)$ measures the excess probability of finding a pair of galaxies separated by distance r, compared to what you'd expect from a purely random (Poisson) distribution. If $\xi(r) > 0$, galaxies are more clustered than random at that separation. If $\xi(r) = 0$, the distribution is indistinguishable from random at scale r. This is a real-space quantity: you're directly measuring how galaxy positions relate to each other as a function of physical distance.

The power spectrum $P(k)$ captures the same clustering information but in Fourier space. Here, k is the wavenumber (with units of inverse length), so small k corresponds to large spatial scales and large k to small scales. $P(k)$ tells you the amplitude of density fluctuations at each scale. A peak at some k means there's a lot of structure at the corresponding wavelength $\lambda \sim 2\pi/k$.

Why use both? Some features are easier to spot in one representation than the other. BAO wiggles, for instance, show up cleanly in $P(k)$, while the correlation function $\xi(r)$ makes the BAO peak at ~150 Mpc very intuitive as a bump at a specific separation. Different observational systematics also affect the two differently, so using both provides cross-checks.

Calculations Between Correlation and Power

These two quantities are a Fourier transform pair. Converting between them is straightforward in principle:

Correlation function → Power spectrum:

$$P(k) = \int \xi(r)\, e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}}\, d^3r$$

You integrate the correlation function over all space, weighted by the Fourier kernel, to obtain the power spectrum.

Power spectrum → Correlation function:

$$\xi(r) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int P(k)\, e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}}\, d^3k$$

This is the inverse Fourier transform. Because the universe is statistically isotropic (no preferred direction), both ξ and P depend only on the magnitudes r and k, which simplifies these 3D integrals into 1D integrals involving spherical Bessel functions. In practice, going from observed data to clean estimates of either quantity requires careful handling of survey geometry, selection effects, and shot noise.

Interpreting and Connecting the Matter Power Spectrum

Features of the Matter Power Spectrum

The shape of $P(k)$ encodes a remarkable amount of physics. Three features are especially important:

Large scales (small k): The spectrum rises roughly as $P(k) \propto k^{n_s}$ with $n_s \approx 1$. This nearly scale-invariant slope reflects the primordial fluctuations generated during inflation. These modes entered the Hubble horizon after matter-radiation equality, so they grew without suppression and preserve the primordial shape.

Turnover at k_{eq}: There's a broad peak in $P(k)$ at the wavenumber corresponding to the comoving horizon scale at matter-radiation equality. Modes with $k > k_{\text{eq}}$ entered the horizon during the radiation-dominated era, when radiation pressure prevented dark matter perturbations from growing efficiently (a process called Mészáros suppression). Beyond this turnover, the spectrum falls off approximately as $P(k) \propto k^{-3}$. The location of this turnover depends on $\Omega_m h^2$, making it a direct probe of the matter density.

Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAO): Superimposed on the smooth shape are small wiggles caused by acoustic oscillations in the baryon-photon plasma before recombination ($z \approx 1100$). Sound waves propagated outward from initial overdensities until photons decoupled from baryons, freezing in a characteristic scale of about 150 Mpc (comoving). These oscillations act as a standard ruler: measuring the BAO scale at different redshifts constrains the expansion history, the Hubble constant H_0, and the dark energy equation of state.

Power Spectrum and the Universe's Initial Conditions

Primordial power spectrum: Inflation predicts that quantum fluctuations were stretched to cosmological scales, producing a nearly scale-invariant spectrum of density perturbations. This is parameterized as:

$$P_{\text{primordial}}(k) \propto k^{n_s}$$

where n_s is the scalar spectral index. A perfectly scale-invariant (Harrison-Zel'dovich) spectrum has $n_s = 1$. Inflationary models generically predict n_s slightly less than 1, and observations from Planck measure $n_s \approx 0.965$, confirming this prediction at high significance.

Transfer function: The primordial spectrum gets modified as the universe evolves. The transfer function $T(k)$ encapsulates all the physics between inflation and the epoch when structure begins forming: radiation pressure suppression, Silk damping, baryon loading, and the transition from radiation to matter domination. The observed matter power spectrum is related to the primordial one by:

$$P(k) = T^2(k)\, P_{\text{primordial}}(k)$$

The transfer function is scale-dependent. It's roughly unity for modes that entered the horizon well after matter-radiation equality (large scales) and falls off for smaller-scale modes that were suppressed during the radiation era.

Constraining cosmological parameters: The shape, amplitude, and features of $P(k)$ are sensitive to multiple cosmological parameters simultaneously:

- The overall amplitude constrains σ_8 (the normalization of fluctuations on 8 h^{-1} Mpc scales)
- The turnover location constrains the matter density $\Omega_m h^2$

- The BAO wiggles constrain the baryon density $\Omega_b h^2$ and serve as a geometric probe of expansion
- The large-scale slope constrains n_s and thereby inflationary physics

Fitting the observed power spectrum (from surveys like SDSS, DESI, and Euclid) against theoretical predictions is one of the primary ways cosmologists test the ΛCDM model and search for deviations that might point to modified gravity or alternative dark energy models.

Unit 12 – Current Challenges in Cosmology

12.1 The cosmological constant problem

The Cosmological Constant Problem

The cosmological constant problem is a staggering mismatch between what quantum field theory predicts for the energy of empty space and what we actually observe in the universe. The predicted value overshoots the observed value by roughly 120 orders of magnitude, making it one of the worst theoretical predictions in all of physics.

This discrepancy sits right at the fault line between general relativity and quantum mechanics. Resolving it would likely require a unified theory of quantum gravity, and it could reshape our understanding of dark energy, the fate of the universe, and why the cosmos appears fine-tuned for structure and life.

The Cosmological Constant Problem

Cosmological Constant Discrepancy

The cosmological constant (Λ) appears in Einstein's field equations of general relativity and represents the energy density of empty space. A positive Λ acts as a repulsive force, driving the accelerated expansion of the universe.

Multiple independent lines of observational evidence point to a small, positive cosmological constant:

- Type Ia supernovae (used as "standard candles" to measure cosmic distances) revealed in 1998 that the expansion of the universe is accelerating.
- CMB anisotropies (tiny temperature fluctuations in the cosmic microwave background) constrain the total energy content of the universe.
- Large-scale structure (the distribution of galaxies and galaxy clusters) provides additional constraints on Λ.

Together, these observations place Λ at roughly $10^{-52}\,m^{-2}$.

The problem is that quantum field theory gives a wildly different answer. When you sum up the zero-point energies of all quantum fields in the Standard Model, you get a vacuum energy density of approximately $10^{74}\,GeV^4$. That corresponds to a cosmological constant about 10^{120} times larger than the observed value.

This factor-of-10^{120} discrepancy is the cosmological constant problem. No known mechanism explains why the vacuum energy should nearly (but not exactly) cancel to leave behind such a tiny residual value. It remains one of the most significant unsolved problems in modern physics.

Significance of the Problem

The cosmological constant problem matters for several deep reasons.

Unifying physics. The discrepancy exposes a fundamental inconsistency between general relativity (which describes gravity) and quantum field theory (which describes everything else). Any successful theory of quantum gravity will need to account for why Λ is so small.

Fate of the universe. The value of Λ determines how the cosmos evolves in the far future:

- Positive Λ (what we observe): continued accelerated expansion, leading to a "Big Freeze" where galaxies become isolated and stars eventually burn out.
- Negative Λ: gravitational attraction eventually wins, collapsing the universe in a "Big Crunch."
- Zero Λ: the universe expands forever but gradually decelerates.

Dark energy. Whatever is driving the observed acceleration is called dark energy, and the simplest candidate is a cosmological constant. Resolving the discrepancy could reveal the true physical origin and properties of dark energy.

Fine-tuning. The observed value of Λ appears exquisitely fine-tuned. If it were even modestly larger, galaxies and stars could never have formed. This raises uncomfortable questions: why does Λ take a value compatible with the existence of structure and observers? Is our universe "special," or is it one of many in a multiverse?

Proposed Solutions

No solution is widely accepted, but several approaches are actively explored.

Supersymmetry (SUSY)

Supersymmetry pairs every boson with a fermion partner (and vice versa). In an exactly supersymmetric universe, the vacuum energy contributions from bosons and fermions would cancel perfectly, giving $\Lambda = 0$. The catch: supersymmetry must be broken at low energies to match what we see in particle experiments (no superpartners have been detected). Once SUSY is broken, the cancellation is incomplete, and the cosmological constant problem reappears at a smaller but still significant scale.

Anthropic Principle

This approach reframes the problem as a selection effect. If a multiverse exists with different values of Λ in different regions, only regions with a sufficiently small Λ would allow galaxies, stars, and observers to form. We measure a small value because we couldn't exist to measure a large one. Critics point out that this doesn't explain the underlying physical mechanism and is difficult to test, raising questions about whether it qualifies as a scientific explanation.

Modified Gravity Theories

These theories alter Einstein's general relativity so that accelerated expansion emerges without needing a cosmological constant. Examples include:

- $f(R)$ gravity, where the Ricci scalar R in the Einstein-Hilbert action is replaced by a more general function of R.
- Extra-dimension models like the DGP (Dvali-Gabadadze-Porrati) model, where gravity "leaks" into extra spatial dimensions at large scales.

These theories often struggle to satisfy all observational constraints simultaneously and can introduce their own fine-tuning issues.

Quantum Gravity Approaches

A complete theory of quantum gravity might resolve the problem from first principles. The two leading candidates are string theory and loop quantum gravity. Both are still under active development and have not yet produced testable predictions that would confirm or rule them out in the context of the cosmological constant.

Dynamical Dark Energy (Quintessence)

Instead of treating dark energy as a fixed constant, quintessence models propose a dynamical scalar field whose energy density evolves over time. This can potentially ease the fine-tuning problem because the field naturally relaxes toward a small value. However, quintessence introduces new free parameters and doesn't fully explain why the predicted vacuum energy from quantum field theory is so enormous in the first place.

12.2 The nature of dark matter and dark energy

Dark Matter and Dark Energy

Dark matter and dark energy together account for roughly 95% of the universe's total energy content, yet neither can be observed directly with any telescope. Dark matter provides the gravitational scaffolding that holds galaxies and large-scale structures together, while dark energy drives the accelerating expansion of the universe. Understanding both is central to cosmology because they determine the universe's structure, evolution, and ultimate fate.

Evidence for Dark Matter and Dark Energy

Several independent lines of evidence point to the existence of dark matter:

•

Galactic rotation curves. Stars in the outer regions of spiral galaxies orbit at speeds far higher than predicted by the visible mass alone. If only luminous matter were present, orbital velocities should drop off with distance from the galactic center (following Keplerian decline). Instead, rotation curves stay flat, implying a massive halo of unseen matter surrounding each galaxy.

•

Gravitational lensing. Light from distant background galaxies is bent as it passes through foreground galaxy clusters. The degree of bending is much greater than the visible mass of those clusters can account for, revealing large concentrations of dark matter acting as a gravitational lens.

•

CMB anisotropies. Tiny temperature fluctuations in the cosmic microwave background encode information about the composition of the early universe. The pattern of acoustic peaks in the CMB power spectrum is consistent with a universe containing about five times more dark matter than ordinary (baryonic) matter. This CMB-derived estimate agrees with independent measurements from galaxy surveys and Big Bang nucleosynthesis.

•

Large-scale structure formation. The observed distribution of galaxies and galaxy clusters across cosmic time requires dark matter. Without it, the small density fluctuations in the early universe would not have grown fast enough to produce the cosmic web of filaments and voids we observe today.

The primary evidence for dark energy comes from a different observation:

- Type Ia supernovae. In the late 1990s, two independent teams measured the distances and redshifts of distant Type Ia supernovae (used as "standard candles"). They found that these supernovae were dimmer than expected, meaning they were farther away than a decelerating or coasting universe would predict. The conclusion: the expansion of the universe is accelerating, driven by a component with negative pressure now called dark energy.

Properties of Dark Matter vs. Dark Energy

Dark matter and dark energy are both "dark" because they do not interact electromagnetically, meaning they neither emit, absorb, nor scatter light. Beyond that shared trait, they behave very differently.

Dark matter (~27% of the universe's energy density): - Interacts gravitationally but not electromagnetically - Clumps together, forming halos around galaxies and clusters - Plays a direct role in structure formation by providing gravitational wells into which baryonic matter falls - Likely consists of one or more undiscovered particle species beyond the Standard Model

Dark energy (~68% of the universe's energy density): - Acts as a negative pressure that counteracts gravitational attraction on cosmological scales - Does not clump; its density appears uniform throughout space - Becomes dominant over matter as the universe expands (matter density dilutes, but dark energy density stays roughly constant) - Its exact nature remains unknown; the simplest model is Einstein's cosmological constant Λ

Ordinary (baryonic) matter, the stuff that makes up stars, planets, and people, accounts for only about 5% of the total energy budget.

Dark Matter Particle Candidates

No dark matter particle has been detected in a laboratory yet, but several well-motivated candidates exist. Each comes with distinct mass ranges and detection strategies.

Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs)

WIMPs are hypothetical particles with masses in the range of a few GeV to several TeV. They interact through gravity and the weak nuclear force but not electromagnetism. WIMPs gained popularity because a particle with weak-scale interactions naturally produces the observed dark matter abundance (sometimes called the "WIMP miracle").

Detection approaches: 1. Direct detection — Highly sensitive underground detectors (e.g., XENON, LZ) look for the tiny recoil of atomic nuclei when a WIMP scatters off them. These experiments must be shielded deep underground to reduce background noise from cosmic rays. 2. Indirect detection — Telescopes search for products of WIMP annihilation or decay in regions of high dark matter density, such as the galactic center. Signals could appear as excess gamma rays, neutrinos, or cosmic-ray positrons.

Axions

Axions are ultralight particles with predicted masses in the range of 10^{-6} to 10^{-3} eV. They were originally proposed to solve the strong CP problem in quantum chromodynamics (QCD), which asks why the strong force does not violate CP symmetry as much as the theory allows. It turns out that if axions exist, they could also be produced abundantly in the early universe, making them a natural dark matter candidate.

Detection approaches: 1. Axion haloscopes (e.g., ADMX) — A strong magnetic field inside a resonant cavity converts axions from the local dark matter halo into detectable microwave photons. 2. Axion helioscopes (e.g., IAXO) — These instruments point at the Sun, searching for axions produced in the solar core that convert to X-ray photons in a laboratory magnetic field.

Sterile Neutrinos

Sterile neutrinos are hypothetical neutrinos that interact only through gravity, not through the weak force like ordinary (active) neutrinos. They could have masses in the keV range, placing them in the "warm dark matter" category.

Detection approaches: 1. X-ray observations — A sterile neutrino can slowly decay into an active neutrino and an X-ray photon. Astronomers search for a faint, narrow X-ray emission line from galaxy clusters or the Milky Way halo at the energy corresponding to half the sterile neutrino's mass. 2. Structure formation signatures — Because warm dark matter particles move faster than cold dark matter, they smooth out small-scale structure. Comparing observed distributions of dwarf galaxies and the Lyman-alpha forest with simulations can constrain sterile neutrino properties.

Dark Energy and the Universe's Fate

As the universe expands, the density of matter (both ordinary and dark) drops because the same amount of mass occupies an ever-larger volume. Dark energy, however, maintains a roughly constant density. Over time, dark energy therefore becomes the dominant component, and the expansion accelerates.

The ultimate fate of the universe depends on how dark energy behaves, which is characterized by the equation of state parameter w, defined as the ratio of dark energy's pressure to its energy density.

Three possible scenarios:

1.

Big Freeze (Heat Death) — If $w = -1$ (a cosmological constant), the universe expands forever at an accelerating rate. Galaxies beyond our local group recede beyond the observable horizon. Stars exhaust their fuel, black holes slowly evaporate via Hawking radiation, and the universe asymptotically approaches a cold, dilute, near-empty state. This is the most likely outcome based on current data.

2.

Big Rip — If $w < -1$ (so-called "phantom" dark energy), the dark energy density increases over time. The accelerating expansion eventually becomes so violent that it overwhelms all binding forces: first galaxy clusters are torn apart, then galaxies, then solar systems, then atoms themselves. The universe ends in a singularity after a finite time.

3.

Big Crunch — If $w > -1$ and dark energy weakens sufficiently over time, gravity could eventually dominate. The expansion would slow, halt, and reverse, causing the universe to collapse back into an extremely hot, dense state. Some speculative models suggest this could trigger a new Big Bang (an oscillating universe).

Current observations constrain w to be very close to -1. The Planck satellite combined with supernova and baryon acoustic oscillation data gives $w = -1.03 \pm 0.03$, fully consistent with a cosmological constant. Tighter measurements from next-generation surveys (DESI, Euclid, the Vera Rubin Observatory) will be critical for distinguishing between these scenarios.

12.3 Matter-antimatter asymmetry

Matter-Antimatter Asymmetry in Cosmology

The universe is made almost entirely of matter, with virtually no antimatter. This is a deep puzzle: the standard model of particle physics predicts that the Big Bang should have produced equal amounts of both. If that had happened, matter and antimatter would have annihilated each other completely, leaving nothing but radiation. The fact that we exist at all means something tipped the balance. Understanding how that happened is one of the biggest open questions in cosmology.

Three conditions, proposed by physicist Andrei Sakharov in 1967, must all be met to generate this imbalance. Several competing mechanisms attempt to explain how those conditions were satisfied in the early universe, and none of them is fully confirmed yet.

The Observed Asymmetry

The universe around us is overwhelmingly matter. Antimatter shows up in cosmic rays and particle accelerators, but there are no large regions of antimatter anywhere we can detect. If whole antimatter galaxies existed, we'd see intense gamma-ray signatures at the boundaries where matter and antimatter meet. We don't.

Quantitatively, the asymmetry is tiny but decisive. For roughly every billion antimatter particles produced in the Big Bang, there were about a billion and one matter particles. After all the annihilation, that one-in-a-billion surplus is everything we see today: every star, planet, and atom. The standard model, as it stands, cannot account for even this small excess.

Sakharov Conditions for Asymmetry

In 1967, Andrei Sakharov identified three conditions that all must be satisfied for baryogenesis (the process that generates a net excess of matter over antimatter):

1.

Baryon number violation — There must be physical processes that change the total baryon number. Baryon number is essentially a count of matter particles minus antimatter particles. If it were always conserved, you could never shift the balance from the equal starting point.

2.

C and CP violation — Charge conjugation symmetry (C) and the combined charge-parity symmetry (CP) must be violated. C symmetry means swapping every particle for its antiparticle gives the same physics. CP symmetry means doing that swap and reflecting spatial coordinates gives the same physics. If both held perfectly, any process creating excess matter would be exactly mirrored by one creating excess antimatter, and no net asymmetry could develop.

3.

Departure from thermal equilibrium — The universe must pass through a period out of thermal equilibrium. In perfect thermal equilibrium, every reaction runs equally fast in both directions, so any asymmetry that forms gets immediately washed out. A rapid phase transition or expansion can break equilibrium and "freeze in" the asymmetry.

All three conditions are necessary. Remove any one, and the asymmetry cannot be generated.

Mechanisms of Early Universe Baryogenesis

Several theoretical mechanisms have been proposed. Each satisfies the Sakharov conditions in a different way, and each has different experimental implications.

Electroweak baryogenesis occurs during the electroweak phase transition, when the unified electroweak force splits into the electromagnetic and weak forces (at temperatures around 10^2 GeV). For this to work, the phase transition must be strongly first-order, meaning it happens abruptly through bubble nucleation rather than smoothly. CP-violating interactions at the bubble walls could then generate the asymmetry. The problem: in the standard model, the electroweak phase transition is not first-order, and the CP violation is far too small. New physics beyond the standard model would be required.

Leptogenesis takes an indirect route. Instead of generating a baryon asymmetry directly, it first creates an asymmetry in leptons (electrons, neutrinos, and their antiparticles). This lepton asymmetry is then partially converted into a baryon asymmetry through sphaleron processes, which are non-perturbative electroweak interactions that violate both baryon and lepton number while conserving their difference ($B - L$). The mechanism relies on the decay of very heavy Majorana neutrinos that violate both lepton number and CP symmetry. Leptogenesis is attractive because it connects naturally to the seesaw mechanism that explains why ordinary neutrinos have such tiny masses.

Affleck-Dine mechanism arises in supersymmetric theories. Scalar fields (the supersymmetric partners of quarks and leptons, called squarks and sleptons) can acquire large field values during inflation. As the universe cools and these fields decay, CP-violating interactions in the scalar potential generate a baryon asymmetry. This mechanism can produce a wide range of asymmetry values depending on the model parameters.

GUT baryogenesis operates at extremely high energies (around 10^{16} GeV), where grand unified theories predict that the strong, weak, and electromagnetic forces merge into a single force. At these energies, very heavy gauge bosons or Higgs bosons can decay in ways that violate baryon number and CP symmetry. This was historically the first baryogenesis mechanism proposed, but it faces challenges: the same GUT interactions that create the asymmetry can also cause proton decay, which has never been observed despite extensive searches.

CP Violation and the Matter-Antimatter Imbalance

CP violation is the linchpin of baryogenesis. Without it, matter and antimatter processes would proceed at identical rates, and no asymmetry could ever develop.

The standard model does contain CP violation, observed in the quark sector through a complex phase in the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix, which describes how quarks mix between generations during weak interactions. This CP violation has been measured experimentally in decays of K mesons and B mesons. However, the amount is far too small to explain the observed matter-antimatter asymmetry, falling short by many orders of magnitude.

This gap is why physicists look to theories beyond the standard model:

- Supersymmetric models introduce many new CP-violating phases in the interactions of superpartner particles.
- Grand unified theories bring new heavy particles whose decays can violate CP in ways unavailable in the standard model.
- The neutrino sector may contain additional CP violation. If neutrinos are Majorana particles (their own antiparticles), CP-violating phases in the neutrino mixing matrix could drive leptogenesis.

Experimental efforts to pin down CP violation are active on multiple fronts. Studies of B meson decays at facilities like LHCb, searches for CP violation in neutrino oscillations at experiments like T2K and NOvA, and precision measurements of the electric dipole moments of particles (which would signal new CP-violating physics) all help constrain which baryogenesis mechanisms are viable. So far, no experiment has found enough CP violation to fully explain the asymmetry, keeping this one of cosmology's most pressing unsolved problems.

12.4 Tensions in cosmological parameters

Cosmological Parameters and Tensions

Cosmological parameters define the universe's composition, geometry, and expansion history. When independent methods of measuring these parameters disagree beyond their expected uncertainties, we call that a "tension." These tensions matter because they either point to unrecognized measurement errors or signal that the standard ΛCDM model is incomplete and new physics is needed.

Key Cosmological Parameters

Hubble constant (H_0) quantifies the current expansion rate of the universe. It directly determines estimates of the universe's age and observable size. Getting H_0 right is foundational because many other cosmological calculations depend on it.

Density parameters describe how the universe's total energy budget is divided:

- Matter density (Ω_m): The fraction of total energy density in baryonic matter plus dark matter. This controls how gravity slows expansion and drives structure formation.
- Dark energy density (Ω_Λ): The fraction in dark energy, which drives the accelerating expansion observed at late times.
- Curvature density (Ω_k): Encodes the geometry of the universe. If $\Omega_m + \Omega_\Lambda = 1$, the universe is spatially flat ($\Omega_k = 0$). Current observations are consistent with flatness, but small deviations remain possible.

Primordial fluctuation parameters characterize the tiny density variations seeded in the early universe that grew into all cosmic structure:

- Scalar amplitude (A_s): Sets the overall size of initial density fluctuations. It determines the normalization of the CMB power spectrum.
- Spectral index (n_s): Describes whether fluctuations are stronger on large or small scales. A value of $n_s = 1$ would mean perfectly scale-invariant fluctuations; observations give $n_s \approx 0.965$, slightly tilted, which matches predictions from inflation.

The Hubble Tension

The most prominent tension in cosmology is the disagreement between two ways of measuring H_0:

Late-universe (local) measurements use the cosmic distance ladder:

1. Calibrate distances to nearby Cepheid variable stars using geometric methods (parallax).
2. Use Cepheids to calibrate the brightness of Type Ia supernovae in the same galaxies.
3. Extend Type Ia supernovae out to cosmological distances to measure the expansion rate.

This approach, led by the SH0ES team, consistently yields $H_0 \approx 73\text{–}74$ km/s/Mpc.

Early-universe measurements work differently. The Planck satellite mapped the CMB with extreme precision, capturing the universe at recombination (about 380,000 years after the Big Bang). By fitting the observed CMB power spectrum to the ΛCDM model, Planck infers $H_0 \approx 67\text{–}68$ km/s/Mpc.

The gap between these values is roughly 5σ, which is statistically very significant. This isn't a small discrepancy you can wave away with error bars.

CMB vs. Large-Scale Structure Observations

Beyond the Hubble tension, there's a second, related disagreement involving how "clumpy" matter is in the universe today.

CMB data from Planck predicts specific values for Ω_m and σ_8 (the amplitude of matter fluctuations smoothed over 8 Mpc scales). Together these are often combined into the parameter $S_8 = \sigma_8\sqrt{\Omega_m/0.3}$.

Large-scale structure surveys measure these quantities more directly at late times:

- Galaxy surveys (such as the Sloan Digital Sky Survey and the Dark Energy Survey) map how galaxies and galaxy clusters are distributed, probing the growth of structure and the influence of dark energy.
- Weak lensing surveys measure subtle distortions in the shapes of background galaxies caused by the gravitational lensing of foreground matter. This provides a direct map of the dark matter distribution.

These late-universe probes tend to find lower values of S_8 than what Planck's CMB data predicts. In other words, the universe appears slightly less clumpy than the standard model expects. The statistical significance here (roughly 2–3σ) is less dramatic than the Hubble tension, but it points in a consistent direction: something about the growth of structure may not match ΛCDM predictions.

Proposed Resolutions

There are broadly two categories of explanation:

Systematic errors in measurements:

- Unrecognized uncertainties in the distance ladder calibration (e.g., Cepheid crowding effects, supernova standardization issues) could shift local H_0 values.
- Incomplete modeling of astrophysical foregrounds or instrument effects in CMB analysis could bias Planck results.
- The JWST has recently provided independent checks on Cepheid calibrations, and so far the local H_0 value has held up, making pure systematics a harder explanation.

New physics beyond ΛCDM:

1. Early dark energy: A component of dark energy that was significant before recombination but faded afterward. This would change the sound horizon scale inferred from the CMB, raising the Planck-derived H_0 to better match local measurements.
2. Non-standard neutrino physics: Extra neutrino species (sterile neutrinos) or neutrino self-interactions would alter the expansion rate in the early universe and affect structure growth.
3. Modified gravity: Theories that change how gravity operates on cosmological scales could reconcile the different growth rates inferred from CMB versus large-scale structure data.

No single proposed solution has yet resolved all tensions simultaneously without creating new problems. That's what makes this an active and genuinely open area of research.

Implications and Future Directions

What Resolution Would Mean

If improved measurements eliminate the tensions, the ΛCDM model is validated as a remarkably complete description of the universe. If the tensions persist and grow more significant with better data, the standard model needs modification. Either outcome deepens our understanding of dark matter, dark energy, and fundamental physics.

Future Observational Programs

- Vera C. Rubin Observatory (formerly LSST) will survey billions of galaxies across the sky, dramatically improving weak lensing measurements and mapping large-scale structure with unprecedented statistical power.
- Euclid (ESA space mission, launched 2023) will measure dark energy and dark matter through weak lensing and galaxy clustering across a large fraction of the sky, complementing ground-based surveys.
- DESI (Dark Energy Spectroscopic Instrument) is already collecting galaxy redshifts to map the expansion history through baryon acoustic oscillations, providing independent constraints on H_0 and dark energy.

Novel Cosmological Probes

- Gravitational wave standard sirens: Binary neutron star mergers (like GW170817) provide a distance measurement independent of the traditional distance ladder. As more events are detected by LIGO/Virgo/KAGRA, these will give an independent H_0 measurement that could break the deadlock.
- 21-cm cosmology: Radio observations of neutral hydrogen's 21-cm emission line can map the universe during the epoch of reionization and the cosmic dawn, probing structure growth at high redshifts where current data is sparse.

The convergence of these different observational approaches, combined with advances in theoretical modeling and numerical simulations, will tighten constraints on cosmological parameters from multiple independent angles. Within the next decade, we should know whether the current tensions are pointing toward genuinely new physics or whether they'll be absorbed by better-understood systematics.

Unit 13 – The Universe's Ultimate Fate

13.1 Long-term evolution of the universe

The universe's long-term evolution is shaped by dark energy, matter density, and space curvature. These three factors together determine whether the universe will expand forever or eventually collapse. Understanding their interplay is the key to predicting the cosmos's ultimate fate.

As the universe evolves, its expansion rate changes. It initially slowed due to the gravitational pull of matter and radiation, but it's now accelerating because of dark energy. This shift has profound implications for the future, potentially leading to a "heat death" where no usable energy remains anywhere.

Long-term Evolution of the Universe

Factors in universe evolution

Three ingredients control how the universe evolves over time:

- Dark energy
- Permeates all of space and causes the expansion of the universe to accelerate
- Its density remains constant as the universe expands (this is the cosmological constant model, where dark energy doesn't dilute even as space stretches)
- Matter density
- Includes both ordinary (baryonic) matter (protons, neutrons, electrons) and dark matter
- Density decreases as the universe expands because the same amount of matter occupies an ever-growing volume
- The gravitational attraction of matter works against expansion, slowing it down
- Curvature of space
- Determined by the total energy density compared to a threshold called the critical density
- Flat universe ($\Omega = 1$): total energy density equals the critical density. Without dark energy, expansion would asymptotically approach zero. With dark energy, expansion accelerates indefinitely.
- Open universe ($\Omega < 1$): total energy density is less than the critical density. The universe expands forever.
- Closed universe ($\Omega > 1$): total energy density exceeds the critical density. Without dark energy, the universe would eventually stop expanding and collapse in a "Big Crunch."

Current observations strongly favor a flat (or very nearly flat) universe with a dominant dark energy component, meaning accelerating expansion is the most likely long-term trajectory.

Changes in universe expansion rate

The expansion rate is quantified by Hubble's law, which relates a galaxy's recessional velocity to its distance:

$v = H_0 \times d$

- v: recessional velocity of the galaxy (km/s)
- H_0: the Hubble constant, measuring the current expansion rate (~70 km/s/Mpc)
- d: distance to the galaxy (Mpc)

The expansion rate hasn't been constant throughout cosmic history. It depends on which energy component dominates at a given epoch:

1. Radiation-dominated era (first ~47,000 years): Radiation energy density was highest. Expansion slowed rapidly because radiation density drops as a^{-4} (dilution from volume increase plus the redshifting of photon wavelengths).
2. Matter-dominated era (~47,000 years to ~9.8 billion years): Matter density dominated. Expansion still decelerated, but more slowly, since matter density drops as a^{-3} (volume increase only).
3. Dark energy-dominated era (~9.8 billion years to present and beyond): Dark energy density stays constant while matter and radiation thin out. Expansion accelerates.

Current observations of distant Type Ia supernovae and the cosmic microwave background confirm that we're in the accelerating phase. In the far future, as matter and radiation densities become negligible, dark energy will dominate completely. The universe will then expand exponentially, approaching what's called de Sitter space.

Heat death concept and implications

Heat death is the projected end state of a universe that expands forever: it eventually reaches thermodynamic equilibrium, meaning no temperature differences or energy gradients exist anywhere. Without gradients, no work can be done and no processes can occur.

This scenario applies to an open or flat universe. Here's the timeline of how it unfolds:

1. Stars exhaust their fuel (hydrogen, helium) and die, leaving behind white dwarfs, neutron stars, or black holes. Star formation ceases as gas is used up or dispersed.
2. Galaxies become isolated. Accelerating expansion pushes galaxy clusters beyond each other's observable horizons.
3. Black holes slowly evaporate through Hawking radiation over staggering timescales (on the order of 10^{67} years for a stellar-mass black hole, and up to 10^{100} years for supermassive ones).
4. Entropy reaches its maximum. All energy is spread uniformly across space with no concentrations or structures left.
5. Nothing remains to drive any process: no nuclear fusion, no chemistry, no structure formation.

There are alternative scenarios to heat death, depending on the nature of dark energy:

- Big Rip: If dark energy strengthens over time (phantom energy, where $w < -1$), expansion eventually accelerates so violently that it tears apart galaxies, stars, planets, and ultimately atoms themselves.
- Big Crunch: If dark energy weakens or reverses, gravity could win out, causing the universe to contract and collapse.
- Oscillating universe: Repeated cycles of expansion and contraction, avoiding a permanent end state. This idea lacks strong observational support but remains a theoretical possibility.

13.2 Possible scenarios for the end of the universe

Possible Scenarios for the End of the Universe

The universe had a definite beginning with the Big Bang, but how does it end? Scientists have proposed three main scenarios: the Big Freeze, the Big Rip, and the Big Crunch. Which one actually happens depends on how cosmic expansion behaves over time, and that behavior is largely controlled by dark energy.

Big Freeze vs Big Rip vs Big Crunch

These three scenarios differ in what happens to the rate of expansion and, ultimately, to all the matter and structure in the universe.

- Big Freeze occurs if the universe keeps expanding indefinitely at a steady or gently accelerating rate. As space stretches, matter and energy become more and more diluted.
- Stars gradually exhaust their hydrogen fuel and die out, leaving behind cold remnants like black dwarfs, neutron stars, and black holes.
- Over immense timescales, even black holes evaporate through Hawking radiation. The universe approaches a uniform temperature near absolute zero (0 K, or $-273.15°C$), a state sometimes called heat death because no usable energy remains to drive any physical processes.
-

Light from distant objects gets increasingly redshifted until the observable universe is essentially dark and empty.

-

Big Rip occurs if dark energy doesn't just accelerate expansion but grows stronger over time (a property described by a dark energy equation of state parameter $w < -1$, sometimes called phantom energy).

- The expansion rate increases so dramatically that gravitationally bound structures get pulled apart in sequence: first galaxy clusters, then galaxies, then solar systems, then planets and stars, and finally atoms themselves.
- This differs from the Big Freeze because structures don't just drift apart; they're actively torn apart. The timescale depends on how quickly dark energy's influence grows, but some models place a Big Rip tens of billions of years from now.
-

Note: the Big Rip does not end in a singularity of infinite density. It ends with the scale factor of the universe becoming infinite in finite time, ripping apart all bound structures.

-

Big Crunch occurs if expansion eventually slows, stops, and reverses due to gravity overpowering dark energy.

- As the universe contracts, distant galaxies would appear blueshifted (moving toward us rather than away). Temperatures and densities climb as everything gets compressed together.
- The universe ultimately collapses into a gravitational singularity, essentially the reverse of the Big Bang. Some speculative models suggest this could trigger a new Big Bang (the "Big Bounce"), but that

remains unproven.

Dark Energy's Impact on Universe Fate

Dark energy makes up roughly 68% of the total energy content of the universe, so its behavior over time is the single biggest factor in determining which scenario plays out.

- If dark energy density remains constant over time, it acts as a cosmological constant (represented by Λ in Einstein's field equations). This produces steady accelerating expansion, leading to the Big Freeze. This is the simplest model and currently the best fit to observations.

- If dark energy density increases over time (phantom energy, $w < -1$), expansion accelerates without limit, leading to the Big Rip.

- If dark energy density decreases over time, expansion could eventually slow enough for gravity to take over, potentially leading to the Big Crunch.

The nature of dark energy matters here. If it's truly a cosmological constant, its density never changes and the Big Freeze is the expected outcome. But if dark energy is instead a dynamical field (one theoretical candidate is called quintessence), its properties could evolve. Depending on how that evolution plays out, any of the three scenarios remains possible.

Evidence for End-of-Universe Scenarios

Current observations strongly favor continued accelerating expansion, pointing toward either a Big Freeze or (less likely) a Big Rip.

Observations supporting Big Freeze / Big Rip:

1. Type Ia supernovae serve as "standard candles" because they have a known intrinsic brightness. Measurements of distant Type Ia supernovae in the late 1990s showed they were dimmer than expected, meaning they were farther away than a decelerating universe would predict. This was the first direct evidence that cosmic expansion is accelerating.

2. Cosmic microwave background (CMB) data from the WMAP and Planck satellites show that the universe is spatially flat (total energy density equals the critical density). A flat, accelerating universe is consistent with a dark-energy-dominated cosmos heading toward a Big Freeze.

Observations working against the Big Crunch:

1. The measured acceleration of expansion directly opposes the idea of a future contraction. For a Big Crunch to happen, something would need to reverse this trend.

2. The total matter density of the universe (both ordinary baryonic matter and dark matter combined) accounts for only about 32% of the critical density. That's far too little for gravity alone to halt and reverse expansion.

Current uncertainties:

- The precise nature of dark energy is still unknown. We can measure its effects, but we don't have a confirmed physical theory explaining what it is.

- The dark energy equation of state parameter w is measured to be very close to -1 (consistent with a cosmological constant), but small deviations could point toward phantom energy or quintessence.

- Ongoing and upcoming missions are designed to narrow this down. The Dark Energy Survey, the Euclid mission (launched 2023), and the Nancy Grace Roman Space Telescope (formerly WFIRST) will map the expansion history of the universe with greater precision, potentially distinguishing between a true cosmological constant and evolving dark energy.

13.3 The far future of galaxies and structures

Evolution and Fate of Galaxies and Structures

Galaxies aren't static objects. They merge, consume each other, and slowly burn through their fuel over cosmic timescales. As the universe ages and expands, these structures will become increasingly isolated, cool, and dark. This section traces that trajectory from the galaxy mergers happening now to the final fate of matter itself.

Evolution of galaxies over time

Galaxies evolve primarily through mergers and the gradual depletion of star-forming material. Both processes push galaxies toward a quieter, redder future.

- Mergers and interactions will continue to reshape galaxies. Smaller galaxies get absorbed by larger ones. The Milky Way and Andromeda, for instance, are on a collision course and will merge in roughly 4.5 billion years. The result of major mergers like this is typically a giant elliptical galaxy, a large, smooth blob of stars with little organized structure.

- Star formation will decline over the next trillion years as galaxies exhaust their reserves of gas and dust. Without raw material, fewer new stars can form. The universe's peak era of star formation actually occurred about 10 billion years ago, so we're already on the downslope.

- Galaxies will redden as their stellar populations age. Short-lived, hot blue stars (blue supergiants) will die off first, leaving behind long-lived, cool red dwarfs that burn their fuel so slowly they can shine for trillions of years. The overall color of galaxies will shift from blue-white to deep red.

- Dark energy and accelerating expansion will push distant galaxy clusters beyond our reach. Galaxies outside the Local Group will recede faster and faster. Over time, they'll cross the cosmic horizon, the distance beyond which light can never reach us. The observable universe will effectively shrink, even as the actual universe grows.

Concept of island universes

The term "island universes" here doesn't refer to the old historical usage (where it meant individual galaxies). In this context, it describes the fate of gravitationally bound galaxy groups that become completely isolated from each other by cosmic expansion.

- Galaxy clusters that are gravitationally bound, like our Local Group (containing the Milky Way, Andromeda, and a few dozen smaller galaxies), will hold together against the expansion. Gravity within these groups is strong enough to resist the stretching of space.

- The space between clusters, however, will expand faster than light can cross it. Clusters separated by billions of light-years today will become permanently unreachable from one another.

- Within each island universe, galaxies will still interact. Mergers, tidal stripping, and gas exchange will continue to reshape the member galaxies. But there will be no new material or information arriving from outside.

- The accelerating expansion means light emitted from one island universe will never reach another. Each bound group becomes a self-contained cosmos, with no way to observe or communicate with anything beyond it. An astronomer in the far future, born into one of these island universes, would see nothing beyond their own cluster and might reasonably conclude that nothing else exists.

Ultimate fate of celestial objects

On truly enormous timescales, even the stars and remnants within these island universes will wind down.

Stellar evolution plays out by mass:

1. Low-mass stars (like red dwarfs) will exhaust their hydrogen and become white dwarfs, which then slowly radiate away their residual heat over trillions of years, eventually becoming cold, dark black dwarfs.

2. High-mass stars will end in supernovae, leaving behind neutron stars or black holes depending on the mass of the collapsing core.

After the last stars die, the universe will be populated almost entirely by stellar remnants: white dwarfs cooling toward invisibility, neutron stars, and black holes. This transition takes place over roughly 10^{15} years (a quadrillion years, far longer than the current age of the universe at ~1.4×10^{10} years).

Black holes evaporate. Through Hawking radiation, black holes slowly lose mass by emitting particles. The process is extraordinarily slow. A stellar-mass black hole would take around 10^{67} years to evaporate, and a supermassive black hole could persist for 10^{100} years or longer. But given enough time, even they vanish.

Proton decay remains an open question. Some grand unified theories predict that protons are not truly stable and will eventually decay into lighter subatomic particles (positrons and pions, which further decay into photons and leptons). If proton decay occurs, it would happen on timescales of 10^{34} to 10^{41} years, meaning all ordinary matter, every atom, would eventually disintegrate. This has not been experimentally confirmed, but it's a real theoretical possibility.

Heat death is the projected endpoint. If these processes play out, the universe approaches maximum entropy: energy is spread perfectly evenly, temperature is uniform and near absolute zero, and no gradients exist to drive any physical process. No work can be done, no structures can form, and nothing changes. The universe becomes a vast, cold, empty expanse, effectively frozen in time.

13.4 Implications of dark energy for the universe's fate

Dark Energy and the Universe's Fate

Properties of dark energy

Dark energy is the name given to whatever is causing the universe's expansion to accelerate. It makes up roughly 70% of the total energy content of the universe, yet its fundamental nature remains unknown. What we can do is describe its behavior through two key quantities: its energy density and its equation of state.

- Dark energy density (ρ_{DE})

- A positive value drives accelerated expansion of the universe

- If this density stays constant over time, the universe expands exponentially, approaching what's called a de Sitter universe

-

If the density increases over time, expansion accelerates without bound, eventually overpowering gravity at every scale and tearing apart galaxies, stars, and even atoms in a Big Rip scenario

•

Equation of state parameter (w) relates dark energy's pressure (P) to its energy density (ρ):

$$P = w\rho$$

The value of w is what really determines the universe's fate:

- $w = -1$: cosmological constant. The universe accelerates and asymptotically approaches a de Sitter state (exponential expansion).
- $w < -1$: phantom energy. Energy density grows over time, leading to a Big Rip at a finite time in the future.
- $-1 < w < -1/3$: accelerated expansion still occurs, but the expansion rate evolves differently than in the de Sitter case. The acceleration gradually changes rather than locking into a fixed exponential rate.
- $w > -1/3$: expansion decelerates. This would not produce the accelerated expansion we actually observe, so it's ruled out by current data.

Role of the cosmological constant

The cosmological constant (Λ) is the simplest model of dark energy. It corresponds to a constant energy density filling all of space, with $w = -1$ exactly.

Einstein originally introduced Λ into his field equations to produce a static universe, which was the prevailing assumption before Hubble's discovery of cosmic expansion. He later dropped it, but the discovery of accelerating expansion in 1998 brought it back as the leading explanation for dark energy.

A positive cosmological constant drives the following sequence:

1. The universe undergoes continued accelerated expansion.

2. Over time, the expansion approaches a de Sitter state, where the Hubble parameter settles to a constant value:

$$H = \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}$$

1. As expansion accelerates, distant galaxies and galaxy clusters recede faster than light can travel toward us. They cross the cosmic event horizon and become permanently unobservable. Eventually, only gravitationally bound structures (our Local Group) remain accessible.

This is sometimes called the "heat death" trajectory: the observable universe becomes increasingly empty, cold, and dilute.

Observational constraints on dark energy

Three independent lines of evidence constrain dark energy's properties, and the fact that they all converge on the same answer is what makes the case so strong:

- Type Ia supernovae serve as standard candles. Their known intrinsic brightness lets you measure luminosity distances to high-redshift galaxies. This is how accelerating expansion was first discovered in 1998.
- Cosmic microwave background (CMB) anisotropies constrain the total energy density and geometry of the universe. Combined with matter density measurements, they pin down how much dark energy there must be.

- Baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO) are a characteristic scale imprinted in the distribution of galaxies. They act as a standard ruler for measuring the expansion history at different epochs.

Current best-fit values from combined datasets:

- Dark energy density parameter: $\Omega_\Lambda \approx 0.7$ (about 70% of the universe's total energy budget)
- Equation of state: $w = -1.03 \pm 0.03$

That value of w is consistent with -1, meaning the data so far can't distinguish dark energy from a pure cosmological constant. The implications are:

- Accelerated expansion will continue indefinitely
- The universe will asymptotically approach a de Sitter-like state
- Structures beyond the Local Group (including the Virgo Cluster and everything farther) will eventually redshift beyond detectability and cross our event horizon
- Whether dark energy is truly a cosmological constant or something more dynamic (such as quintessence, a slowly evolving scalar field, or phantom energy with $w < -1$) remains an open question. Next-generation surveys like DESI and the Vera Rubin Observatory are designed to measure w with enough precision to potentially distinguish between these models.

Unit 14 – Cosmological Models and Alternative Theories

14.1 The standard ΛCDM model

Key Components and Observations

The ΛCDM model (Lambda–Cold Dark Matter) is the standard framework of modern cosmology. It describes the universe's composition, its evolution from the Big Bang to today, and the physics driving its accelerating expansion. Three ingredients make up the model: dark energy, cold dark matter, and ordinary (baryonic) matter. Together, they account for observations of the CMB, large-scale structure, and distant supernovae.

Components of the ΛCDM Model

Dark energy, represented by the cosmological constant Λ, drives the accelerating expansion of the universe. It accounts for roughly 68% of the universe's total energy density. In the ΛCDM framework, Λ acts as a constant energy density filling space uniformly.

Cold dark matter (CDM) is non-baryonic matter that does not interact electromagnetically, meaning it neither emits nor absorbs light. It makes up about 27% of the energy density. CDM is called "cold" because its particles move slowly compared to the speed of light, which matters because slow-moving particles clump together gravitationally and seed the formation of galaxies and large-scale structures.

Baryonic matter is ordinary matter made of protons, neutrons, and electrons. It accounts for only about 5% of the total energy density. This is everything you can directly observe: stars, gas, planets, and dust.

The model is characterized by several key parameters:

- Hubble constant H_0: the current expansion rate of the universe, measured in km/s/Mpc. Current best estimates place it around 67–73 km/s/Mpc, depending on the measurement method.
- Density parameters describe how much each component contributes relative to the critical density:
- Ω_Λ: density parameter for dark energy (~0.68)
- Ω_m: density parameter for total matter, both dark and baryonic (~0.32)
- Ω_k: density parameter for spatial curvature, which is nearly zero in ΛCDM, meaning the universe is very close to spatially flat

ΛCDM and Cosmic Observations

Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB). The CMB is relic radiation released about 380,000 years after the Big Bang, when the universe cooled enough for photons to travel freely. ΛCDM predicts the tiny temperature fluctuations (on the order of 1 part in 100,000) observed in the CMB, as well as the detailed shape of the angular power spectrum. The relative heights and positions of the peaks in that power spectrum are directly sensitive to the baryonic matter density and dark matter density, making the CMB one of the most precise tests of the model.

Large-scale structure. On scales of hundreds of millions of light-years, galaxies are not randomly distributed. They form a "cosmic web" of filaments, walls, and voids. ΛCDM explains this pattern through gravitational instability: small density fluctuations in the early universe (visible in the CMB) grew over billions of years as dark matter gravitationally attracted surrounding matter, eventually forming the scaffolding on which galaxies and clusters assembled.

Accelerating expansion. Observations of distant Type Ia supernovae in the late 1990s revealed that the universe's expansion is speeding up, not slowing down. In ΛCDM, the cosmological constant Λ provides the repulsive dark energy responsible for this acceleration. The model accurately predicts the observed relationship between redshift and luminosity distance for these supernovae.

Successes, Limitations, and Evidence

Successes vs. Limitations of ΛCDM

Successes:

- Accurately predicts the observed CMB temperature fluctuations and the detailed shape of the angular power spectrum
- Explains the formation and evolution of large-scale structure from initial density perturbations
- Accounts for the observed accelerating expansion of the universe
- Provides a self-consistent framework that ties together the universe's composition, geometry, and expansion history using just six free parameters

Limitations:

- Does not explain what dark matter and dark energy actually are. Λ is a placeholder, not a physical explanation.
- The observed value of the cosmological constant is roughly 10^{120} times smaller than naive quantum field theory predictions, a discrepancy known as the cosmological constant problem (or fine-tuning problem).
- Struggles with several small-scale discrepancies:
- Cuspy halo problem: simulations predict dark matter halos with steep central density profiles, but many observed galaxies have flatter cores.
- Missing satellites problem: simulations predict far more small satellite galaxies around hosts like the Milky Way than are actually observed.
- Does not incorporate a quantum theory of gravity, which would be needed to describe the universe's earliest moments (the Planck epoch).

Evidence for the ΛCDM Model

Multiple independent lines of evidence converge on ΛCDM, which is a major reason cosmologists treat it as the standard model.

- CMB observations from COBE, WMAP, and Planck satellites match ΛCDM predictions for temperature fluctuations and the angular power spectrum with remarkable precision. Planck data alone constrain the model's six parameters to percent-level accuracy.
- Large-scale structure surveys such as SDSS and DES map the distribution of galaxies and clusters across billions of light-years. The observed cosmic web is consistent with ΛCDM simulations of structure growth driven by cold dark matter.

- Type Ia supernovae serve as standardizable candles. Their observed redshift-luminosity distance relation confirms accelerating expansion, as predicted by a universe dominated by dark energy.
- Baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO) are periodic fluctuations in visible matter density imprinted by sound waves in the early universe. The characteristic BAO scale (~490 million light-years in today's universe) acts as a "standard ruler" and provides an independent measurement of the expansion history that agrees with ΛCDM.
- Gravitational lensing, both strong lensing (multiple images of background objects) and weak lensing (subtle statistical distortions of galaxy shapes), maps the distribution of mass including dark matter. The observed lensing signals are consistent with the dark matter distribution predicted by ΛCDM.

14.2 Modified gravity theories

Motivation and Varieties of Modified Gravity Theories

Modified gravity theories attempt to explain the universe's accelerated expansion and large-scale structure without relying on dark energy or dark matter. Instead of adding mysterious new substances to the cosmic inventory, these theories modify the gravitational equations themselves, changing how gravity behaves on the largest scales.

Motivation for Modified Gravity Theories

The standard ΛCDM model fits observational data remarkably well, but it carries unresolved baggage. The cosmological constant problem asks why the observed value of dark energy is roughly 120 orders of magnitude smaller than quantum field theory predicts. Meanwhile, decades of experiments have failed to directly detect dark matter particles. These gaps motivate a different approach: what if the issue isn't missing matter or energy, but an incomplete theory of gravity?

Modified gravity theories tackle this by altering the Einstein-Hilbert action of general relativity, introducing additional fields, or embedding spacetime in higher dimensions. The goals include:

- Explaining accelerated cosmic expansion without a cosmological constant
- Reproducing the gravitational effects currently attributed to dark matter (flat galaxy rotation curves, gravitational lensing patterns)
- Potentially unifying gravity with the other fundamental forces (electromagnetism, strong nuclear force, weak nuclear force)

Comparison of Modified Gravity Models

Three major families of modified gravity stand out, each with a distinct strategy:

f(R) Gravity replaces the Ricci scalar R in the Einstein-Hilbert action with a general function $f(R)$. By choosing the right form of this function, the theory can mimic dark energy and drive accelerated expansion. The trade-off is that the resulting field equations contain higher-order derivatives, which can introduce Ostrogradsky instabilities that make certain solutions physically unreliable.

Scalar-Tensor Theories add a scalar field that couples directly to the metric tensor. Brans-Dicke theory is the classic example, while the broader Horndeski framework represents the most general scalar-tensor theory with second-order field equations (avoiding those dangerous higher-order instabilities). These theories can reproduce effects similar to both dark energy and dark matter, depending on how the scalar field evolves.

The DGP (Dvali-Gabadadze-Porrati) Model takes a geometric approach, proposing that our 4D spacetime sits on a brane embedded in a 5D bulk. Gravity "leaks" into the extra dimension at very large distances, weakening its pull and producing accelerated expansion. However, the self-accelerating branch of this model suffers from ghost instabilities, where certain field modes carry negative kinetic energy, signaling a fundamental theoretical problem.

Each model trades one set of puzzles for another. f(R) gravity risks instabilities from higher derivatives, scalar-tensor theories add free parameters, and the DGP model struggles with ghosts. No single alternative yet matches ΛCDM's combination of simplicity and observational fit.

Observational Consequences and Evaluation of Modified Gravity Theories

Observational Tests of Modified Gravity

Modified gravity theories earn their keep by making predictions that differ from general relativity on testable scales. The key observational signatures fall into two categories.

Cosmological-scale tests probe how gravity shapes the universe's largest structures:

- Growth rate of structure: Modified gravity changes how fast galaxy clusters and cosmic filaments form. Measuring redshift-space distortions in galaxy surveys reveals this growth rate and can flag deviations from ΛCDM predictions.
- Weak gravitational lensing: The bending of light by large-scale matter distributions depends on the theory of gravity. Modified models predict subtle differences in lensing patterns that surveys like Euclid and the Vera Rubin Observatory are designed to detect.
- CMB power spectrum: Alterations to gravity affect how photons travel through evolving gravitational potentials (the integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect), leaving imprints on the CMB at large angular scales.
- Gravitational slip parameter: In general relativity, the two metric potentials governing space curvature and time dilation are equal. Many modified gravity theories break this equality, and measuring the "slip" between them provides a direct test.

Smaller-scale tests check whether modifications to gravity conflict with well-established physics:

- Deviations in planetary and lunar orbital motion within the solar system
- Anomalous precession of orbiting bodies beyond what general relativity predicts
- Differences in gravitational wave signals from compact binary mergers (neutron star collisions, black hole mergers), where the speed and polarization of gravitational waves can reveal extra degrees of freedom

Strengths vs. Weaknesses in Cosmology

Strengths:

- Provide a path to accelerated expansion without invoking an unexplained cosmological constant
- Some frameworks unify the roles of dark matter and dark energy into a single gravitational modification
- May offer a more natural resolution to the cosmological constant problem by removing the need to fine-tune vacuum energy

Weaknesses:

- Many models harbor instabilities (ghost modes, gradient instabilities) that undermine their physical viability
- Additional free parameters reduce predictive power and make it easier to fit data without genuinely explaining it
- Solar system tests and laboratory gravity experiments place stringent constraints that many models struggle to satisfy simultaneously with their cosmological predictions

Current observational precision cannot yet definitively distinguish most modified gravity theories from ΛCDM. Upcoming surveys and gravitational wave observatories will tighten these constraints considerably, but for now, modified gravity remains a collection of promising alternatives rather than a confirmed replacement for the standard model.

14.3 Multiverse theories and eternal inflation

Multiverse theories propose the existence of multiple universes, each with unique physical laws. This concept stems from inflationary cosmology, where quantum fluctuations during rapid expansion create separate "bubble universes" with distinct properties.

Eternal inflation suggests this process continues indefinitely, forming an infinite number of universes. While challenging to test observationally, the multiverse concept has profound implications for our understanding of reality, fine-tuning, and the nature of existence.

Multiverse Theories and Eternal Inflation

Multiverse and inflationary cosmology

- Hypothetical collection of multiple universes, each with potentially different physical laws and properties
- Universes are causally disconnected, cannot interact with each other (no exchange of information or matter)
- Inflationary cosmology, exponential expansion of early universe, provides mechanism for generating multiverse
- During inflation, quantum fluctuations cause different regions to expand at different rates (some faster, some slower)
- Fluctuations lead to formation of separate "bubble universes" with distinct properties (different laws of physics, constants)

Eternal inflation and universe formation

- Variant of inflationary cosmology where inflation never completely ends
- Inflationary phase continues indefinitely in some regions, while other regions stop inflating and form distinct bubble universes (self-contained, isolated)
- Mechanism relies on scalar field called inflaton
- Inflaton field has potential energy that drives expansion of universe (cosmological constant, dark energy)

- Quantum fluctuations in inflaton field cause some regions to remain in state of inflation while others "tunnel" out and form separate universes (quantum tunneling, bubble nucleation)
- As process continues, infinite number of bubble universes can form, each with potentially different physical properties determined by local conditions at time of formation (initial conditions, symmetry breaking)

Challenges in multiverse theory testing

- Observational challenges:
- Other universes in multiverse are causally disconnected from our own, making direct observation impossible (beyond cosmic horizon, unobservable)
- Any observational evidence for multiverse would likely be indirect, such as signatures in cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation (non-Gaussianity, tensor modes)
- Theoretical challenges:
- Multiverse concept introduces vast landscape of possible universes, making precise predictions difficult (parameter space, model selection)
- Some critics argue multiverse is not falsifiable, any observation can be accommodated by invoking existence of appropriate universe (untestable, unscientific)
- Lack of well-defined measure for comparing probabilities across different universes makes assessing likelihood of our universe's properties challenging (measure problem, typicality)

Implications of multiverse concept

- Philosophical implications:
- Challenges notion of unique, finely-tuned universe and may provide solution to apparent "fine-tuning" of our universe's physical constants (anthropic reasoning, selection effects)
- Raises questions about nature of reality and existence of parallel worlds (many-worlds interpretation, modal realism)
- Has implications for anthropic principle, which states our universe's properties must be compatible with existence of conscious observers (observer selection, self-locating belief)
- Scientific implications:
- Provides potential explanation for observed uniformity and flatness of our universe, as these properties are natural outcomes of inflation (cosmic no-hair theorem, attractor solution)
- Offers framework for understanding apparent fine-tuning of physical constants, as different universes may have different values for these constants (string theory landscape, multiverse distribution)
- Has inspired new research in areas such as string theory and quantum cosmology, which attempt to describe fundamental nature of reality and origin of universe (M-theory, holographic principle)

14.4 Cyclic and ekpyrotic models

Cyclic and ekpyrotic models offer alternative explanations for the universe's origins and evolution. These theories propose endless cycles of expansion and contraction or collisions between higher-dimensional branes, challenging the traditional Big Bang and inflationary paradigms.

These models aim to solve cosmological puzzles like the horizon and flatness problems. They make distinct predictions about primordial density fluctuations and gravitational waves, which can be tested through cosmic microwave background observations and large-scale structure studies.

Cyclic and Ekpyrotic Models

Principles of cyclic and ekpyrotic models

- Cyclic models propose the universe undergoes endless cycles of expansion and contraction with each cycle beginning with a big bang and ending with a big crunch resulting in no true beginning or end to the universe
- Ekpyrotic models suggest the universe is the result of a collision between two 3-dimensional branes (thin, spatially extended objects) in a higher-dimensional space triggering a big bang and leading to the expansion of the universe with repeated collisions causing cycles of expansion and contraction
- Both models aim to address the same cosmological problems as inflation such as the horizon problem (why the universe appears uniform on large scales), flatness problem (why the universe appears geometrically flat), and monopole problem (the absence of magnetic monopoles)

Cyclic vs inflationary model predictions

- Inflationary paradigm predicts a nearly scale-invariant spectrum of primordial density fluctuations, Gaussian distribution of density perturbations, and the existence of primordial gravitational waves
- Cyclic models predict a scale-invariant spectrum of density fluctuations, non-Gaussian distribution of density perturbations, and the absence or suppression of primordial gravitational waves
- Ekpyrotic models predict a nearly scale-invariant spectrum of density fluctuations, non-Gaussian distribution of density perturbations, and strongly suppressed primordial gravitational waves

Observational tests for alternative models

- Cosmic microwave background (CMB) observations search for non-Gaussianity in the CMB temperature anisotropies and measure the tensor-to-scalar ratio to constrain primordial gravitational waves
- Large-scale structure observations study the distribution of galaxies and clusters (Sloan Digital Sky Survey) to test the predicted non-Gaussianity
- Gravitational wave detection experiments directly search for primordial gravitational waves using ground-based (LIGO, Virgo) and space-based detectors (LISA)
- Precision measurements of the cosmic expansion history test the predicted cycles of expansion and contraction using Type Ia supernovae, baryon acoustic oscillations (sound waves in the early universe), and other probes

Strengths and weaknesses of alternative cosmologies

- Strengths: 1. Provide alternative explanations for the observed flatness and homogeneity of the universe 2. Avoid the initial singularity (the beginning of the universe) and the need for a quantum theory of gravity 3. Offer a potential resolution to the problem of initial conditions (why the universe started with the conditions it did)

• Weaknesses: 1. Require extra dimensions or exotic physics beyond the standard model (string theory, M-theory) 2. Face challenges in producing a nearly scale-invariant spectrum of density fluctuations 3. Difficulty in reconciling with observational constraints on non-Gaussianity and primordial gravitational waves

• Ongoing research focuses on refining the models to better match observations and exploring ways to test and distinguish between cyclic, ekpyrotic, and inflationary models using future cosmological data (CMB polarization, 21cm cosmology)

Unit 15 – Cosmology: Philosophical & Social Impact

15.1 Anthropic principle and fine-tuning arguments

The anthropic principle explores why our universe seems perfectly tuned for life. It's a mind-bending concept that questions whether we're here by chance or design. This idea has sparked heated debates among scientists and philosophers alike.

The weak and strong versions of this principle offer different perspectives on our cosmic existence. They challenge us to ponder the universe's apparent fine-tuning and what it means for our place in the cosmos.

Anthropic Principle

Weak vs strong anthropic principles

- Weak Anthropic Principle (WAP) states that the universe's observed properties must be compatible with the existence of conscious observers as an observational selection effect
- Does not imply any form of design or purpose behind the universe's properties
- Simply acknowledges that our observations are necessarily limited to universes that can support conscious observers like ourselves
- Strong Anthropic Principle (SAP) asserts that the universe must have properties that allow life to develop at some point in its history
- Suggests that the universe is somehow fine-tuned or designed for the emergence of life and consciousness
- Implies a stronger connection between the universe's properties and the existence of observers
- Both principles attempt to address the apparent fine-tuning of the universe's physical constants (gravitational constant, speed of light) and initial conditions (density fluctuations, inflation parameters) that allow for the existence of life and observers

Fine-tuning arguments for life

- The universe's physical constants appear to be fine-tuned for the existence of life
- Strength of fundamental forces (gravitational, electromagnetic, strong and weak nuclear forces) fall within narrow ranges that permit complex structures (atoms, stars, galaxies) to form
- Ratio of proton to electron mass allows for stable atoms and chemical bonding necessary for life
- Cosmological constant is small enough to allow the universe to expand at a rate that enables galaxy and star formation
- Initial conditions of the universe also seem fine-tuned for life
- Density fluctuations in the early universe were just right to allow galaxies and stars to form without collapsing or dispersing too quickly

- Inflation and its parameters appear to be tuned to create a flat, homogeneous universe with the right properties for life
- Slight changes in these constants or conditions could make the universe inhospitable to any form of life
- Suggests the universe may be purposefully designed for life or that we exist in one of many universes (multiverse) with varying properties

Critiques of anthropic reasoning

- Philosophical critiques argue that the anthropic principle is a tautology or truism
- It does not provide a causal explanation for the universe's life-friendly properties
- The strong anthropic principle is unfalsifiable and cannot be tested scientifically
- Scientific critiques suggest that the apparent fine-tuning may have other explanations
- The universe's properties may be determined by a deeper underlying theory (string theory, quantum gravity) that constrains the possible values of constants and conditions
- The apparent fine-tuning could be a result of observational bias (we only observe universes that can support us) or incomplete understanding of the universe's workings
- The existence of a multiverse with varying properties could explain fine-tuning without invoking design or purpose

Implications of anthropic thinking

- Philosophical implications suggest that the universe may have a purpose or goal related to the emergence of life and consciousness
- Our existence in the universe may be a necessary consequence of its life-friendly properties
- The anthropic principle could be seen as a form of the "weak" teleological argument for the universe's design or purpose
- Scientific implications motivate the search for a more fundamental theory of physics that explains the universe's life-friendly properties
- The existence of a multiverse becomes a plausible scientific hypothesis to explain fine-tuning without design
- The study of the universe's habitability (Goldilocks zone, exoplanets) and the search for extraterrestrial life (SETI) gain increased significance in light of anthropic reasoning

15.2 The role of cosmology in shaping worldviews

Cosmology shapes our understanding of the universe and our place in it. From ancient geocentric models to modern theories like the Big Bang, our cosmic perspective has evolved dramatically, challenging long-held beliefs and sparking philosophical debates.

As we uncover more cosmic mysteries, like dark matter and potential multiverse scenarios, our worldviews continue to shift. These discoveries not only fuel scientific curiosity but also influence religious, philosophical, and societal attitudes about our existence and purpose in the cosmos.

The Influence of Cosmology on Worldviews

Cosmology's influence on worldviews

- Ancient civilizations developed cosmological models based on observations and religious beliefs
- Babylonians, Egyptians, and Greeks (geocentric model, Earth at center of universe)
- Supported by Aristotle and Ptolemy
- Copernican Revolution challenged geocentric worldview
- Nicolaus Copernicus proposed heliocentric model with Sun at center
- Galileo Galilei's telescope observations provided supporting evidence
- Scientific Revolution provided mathematical framework for understanding the universe
- Isaac Newton's laws of motion and universal gravitation
- Mechanistic worldview of the universe as a clockwork machine governed by natural laws
- 20th-century discoveries led to Big Bang theory and expanded universe
- Edwin Hubble's observations revealed expanding universe
- Georges Lemaître, Catholic priest, proposed primordial atom hypothesis reconciling Big Bang with religious beliefs

Impact of modern cosmological theories

- Big Bang theory challenges notion of steady-state, eternally existing universe and raises questions about its origin and cause
- Anthropic principle suggests universe's properties are fine-tuned for life, sparking debates about the role of a creator or intelligent design
- Multiverse theories propose multiple universes with varying properties, challenging the idea of a unique, purposefully designed universe
- Cosmological arguments debate the nature and necessity of a creator in light of evidence
- Kalam cosmological argument posits the universe had a beginning, implying a cause or creator

The Future of Cosmology and Its Implications

Implications of future discoveries

- Dark matter and dark energy could revolutionize understanding of the universe's structure and evolution
- May provide insights into ultimate fate (heat death, Big Rip, cyclic models)
- Confirming cosmic inflation theory would support multiverse idea and shed light on conditions preceding Big Bang and universe's origin
- Discovering extraterrestrial life would profoundly impact understanding of our place in the universe and influence philosophical and religious views on human existence's uniqueness and purpose

Cosmology's role in societal attitudes

- Cosmological discoveries capture public imagination and inspire curiosity about the universe
- Popular science communication bridges gap between scientific findings and public understanding
- Discoveries prompt discussions about compatibility or conflict between scientific and religious worldviews
- Some religious leaders and scholars seek to reconcile findings with beliefs
- Cosmology influences philosophical discussions about the nature of reality, time, space, and causality
- Multiverse and anthropic principle theories challenge traditional notions of a single, deterministic universe
- Public interest and attitudes influence funding and support for cosmological research
- Balancing curiosity-driven research with practical applications and societal benefits remains an ongoing challenge

15.3 Ethical considerations in cosmological research

Cosmological research raises fascinating ethical questions. From allocating resources fairly to considering the implications of discovering extraterrestrial life, scientists grapple with complex moral issues while pushing the boundaries of human knowledge.

Communicating findings responsibly and shaping research priorities ethically are crucial. As we explore the cosmos, we must balance scientific curiosity with ethical considerations, ensuring our quest for understanding benefits humanity and respects potential alien civilizations.

Ethical Considerations in Cosmological Research

Ethical issues in cosmological research

- Allocation of resources involves prioritizing research projects based on their scientific merit and potential impact, ensuring a fair distribution of funding and resources across different areas of cosmological research (cosmology, astrophysics, astrobiology), and promoting equitable access to research opportunities and facilities for scientists from diverse backgrounds (gender, ethnicity, nationality)
- Assessing the potential risks associated with certain research activities, such as the use of powerful telescopes (Hubble Space Telescope, James Webb Space Telescope) or the transmission of signals into space (Active SETI)
- Weighing the potential benefits of research, like advancing our understanding of the universe and its origins (Big Bang theory, dark matter, dark energy), against any potential risks or unintended consequences
- Considering the long-term implications of research findings and their potential impact on society and human knowledge, such as reshaping our understanding of our place in the universe or influencing philosophical and religious beliefs

Implications of extraterrestrial life search

- Respecting the autonomy and rights of any potential extraterrestrial civilizations, recognizing their inherent value and avoiding actions that could harm or exploit them
- Developing protocols for contact and communication with extraterrestrial life forms, considering factors such as language barriers, cultural differences, and the potential for misunderstandings
- Assessing the potential risks and benefits of actively searching for and attempting to contact extraterrestrial life, weighing the scientific value of such efforts against the possibility of unintended consequences (attracting unwanted attention, introducing contaminants)
- Evaluating the psychological, social, and cultural impact of confirming the existence of extraterrestrial intelligence, which could challenge our understanding of our place in the universe and alter our sense of identity and purpose
- Considering the potential for cultural exchange, collaboration, or conflict with extraterrestrial civilizations, and developing strategies for peaceful coexistence and mutual understanding
- Addressing the philosophical and religious implications of the discovery of intelligent life beyond Earth, which could challenge traditional beliefs and prompt a reevaluation of our understanding of the nature of life and consciousness

Cosmologists' public communication responsibilities

- Presenting research results in a clear, unbiased, and understandable manner, avoiding sensationalism or oversimplification and providing appropriate context and caveats
- Explaining the limitations and uncertainties associated with cosmological discoveries, emphasizing the ongoing nature of scientific inquiry and the potential for future revisions or refinements
- Engaging in public outreach and education to promote scientific literacy and understanding, using accessible language and relatable examples to convey complex concepts (analogies, visualizations)
- Acknowledging the potential societal implications of cosmological research and discoveries, such as the impact on worldviews, belief systems, or public policy
- Participating in interdisciplinary discussions and collaborations to address the broader impacts of cosmological research, engaging with experts from fields such as philosophy, ethics, sociology, and theology
- Encouraging open and inclusive dialogue about the ethical, social, and philosophical questions raised by cosmological findings, fostering a respectful and constructive exchange of ideas

Ethics in shaping research priorities

- Considering the potential ethical implications of research questions and methodologies, such as the use of human subjects, the handling of sensitive data, or the development of technologies with dual-use potential
- Engaging in ethical review processes and seeking input from diverse stakeholders, including research participants, community members, and subject matter experts
- Prioritizing research that aligns with ethical values and promotes the responsible advancement of knowledge, such as projects that address pressing societal needs or contribute to the greater good

- Recognizing the inherent value of scientific exploration and the pursuit of knowledge, while also acknowledging the importance of balancing curiosity with responsibility
- Weighing the potential benefits of research against any ethical concerns or risks, considering factors such as the scale of the impact, the likelihood of success, and the availability of alternative approaches
- Developing guidelines and best practices for conducting cosmological research in an ethically responsible manner, drawing on established principles such as respect for persons, beneficence, and justice

15.4 The impact of cosmology on culture and society

Cosmology has left an indelible mark on human culture, shaping art, literature, and popular media. From ancient myths to modern sci-fi, our fascination with the cosmos has inspired countless creative works, reflecting our evolving understanding of the universe.

Scientific breakthroughs in cosmology have revolutionized our worldview and driven technological progress. These discoveries challenge traditional beliefs, spark public interest in science, and raise profound philosophical questions about our place in the vast cosmos.

Cultural Representations of Cosmology

Cosmology in cultural representations

- Art depicts cosmological ideas and stories across civilizations and eras
- Ancient Mesopotamian and Egyptian art portrays celestial bodies and mythological cosmic stories
- Renaissance paintings and frescoes incorporate astronomical knowledge and religious beliefs about the cosmos
- Modern and contemporary art includes abstract representations of the universe and its phenomena (black holes, nebulae)
- Literature explores cosmic themes and ideas in various genres
- Ancient myths and epics contain creation stories and tales of celestial deities from different cultures (Greek, Norse, Mayan)
- Science fiction delves into space travel, extraterrestrial life, and the nature of the universe (Dune, 2001: A Space Odyssey)
- Popular science books provide accessible explanations of cosmological concepts and discoveries for general readers (A Brief History of Time, Cosmos)
- Popular culture incorporates cosmological ideas in entertainment media
- Films and TV series in the science fiction genre explore cosmic themes and ideas (Star Wars, Star Trek, Interstellar)
- Music artists create songs and albums inspired by the cosmos and its mysteries (Dark Side of the Moon, Space Oddity)
- Video games feature space settings, cosmic exploration, and related themes (Mass Effect, No Man's Sky, Outer Wilds)

Impact of cosmological discoveries

- Scientific revolutions reshape our understanding of the universe
- Copernican revolution shifted worldview from Earth-centered to Sun-centered model
- Hubble's discovery of the expanding universe introduced the concept of a dynamic, evolving cosmos not static and unchanging
- Technological advancements driven by cosmological research
- Space exploration enabled by satellites, space probes, and telescopes provides deeper understanding of the universe (Hubble Space Telescope, Voyager missions)
- Computing power advancements facilitate data processing and analysis for cosmological research (supercomputers, machine learning)
- Public perception and interest in science
- Cosmological discoveries increase public interest in science education and careers in related fields
- Cosmological understanding inspires technological innovation and progress in various industries
- Philosophical and religious implications
- Cosmological findings challenge traditional beliefs about the origin and nature of the universe (Big Bang theory, cosmic inflation)
- Efforts to reconcile scientific discoveries with religious and philosophical worldviews (science and faith dialogues, cosmological arguments)

Implications of cosmology research

- Space exploration goals and possibilities
- Search for habitable planets and extraterrestrial life guided by cosmological understanding (exoplanet research, astrobiology)
- Potential for human colonization of other worlds based on cosmological knowledge (Mars missions, interstellar travel concepts)
- Resource utilization opportunities in space
- Mining of asteroids and other celestial bodies for rare materials enabled by cosmological research (platinum, rare earth elements)
- Development of space-based solar power systems as alternative energy sources informed by cosmological insights
- Long-term survival and future of humanity
- Mitigating existential risks, such as asteroid impacts or solar system catastrophes, through cosmological awareness and planning
- Ensuring the continuation of human knowledge and culture beyond Earth in light of cosmic perspectives (interstellar message projects, cultural preservation efforts)
- Ethical considerations in space exploration and utilization

- International cooperation and governance frameworks for responsible space exploration and resource utilization
- Preservation of pristine environments and potential life forms on other worlds as informed by cosmological understanding (planetary protection protocols)

Cosmology's role in public discourse

- Scientific literacy and communication
- Effective science communication to the public is crucial for understanding cosmological ideas
- Educators, journalists, and popular science writers play key roles in disseminating accurate, accessible cosmological knowledge
- Philosophical and existential questions prompted by cosmology
- Cosmological research informs discussions about the origin and ultimate fate of the universe (Big Crunch, heat death, Big Rip)
- Cosmological theories explore the possibility of multiple universes or dimensions (multiverse, string theory, M-theory)
- Cosmological insights shape our understanding of the nature of time, space, and matter (spacetime, dark matter, dark energy)
- Humanity's place in the cosmos
- Cosmological perspective prompts the search for meaning and purpose in an immense universe
- Cosmological research informs the potential for life and intelligence beyond Earth (Drake equation, Fermi paradox)
- Influence on policy and decision-making
- Allocation of resources for cosmological research and space exploration based on scientific and societal priorities
- Consideration of long-term societal goals and priorities informed by cosmological understanding (sustainability, interplanetary expansion)